



# An abstract approach to the Landauer-Büttiker formula with application to an LED toy model

Lukas Wilhelm

joint work with Hagen Neidhardt

#### Motivation and ideas

#### Motivation

- Create a simple mathematical (toy) model of a quantum dot LED
- Calculate the electron current through the dot

#### Model ideas

- Electron-photon interaction via minimal coupling
- Electrons on a lattice
- Localized electron-photon interaction
- Restriction to a single photon
- → interaction operator is trace class

#### Currenct calculation

- Independent electrons
- Every electron with individual photon field
- → Landauer-Büttiker-type formula



The Landauer-Büttiker formula expresses the steady current through a quantum device in terms of the scattering data

$$\mathfrak{J}_{j} = 2\pi q \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}_{j}(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{jk}^{*}(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{jk}(\lambda) \Big) - \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}_{k}(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{kj}^{*}(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{kj}(\lambda) \Big)$$

Among other approaches, Nenciu [2] derived it from  $ho(t)=e^{itH}
ho\,e^{-itH}$  ,  $[
ho,H_0]=0$ 

$$\mathfrak{J}_{j} = iq \operatorname{Tr} \Big( W_{-}(H, H_{0}) \rho W_{-}^{*}(H, H_{0}) [H, P_{j}] \Big)$$

for trace class perturbations  $V = H - H_0$  using

- lacksquare generalized eigenfunctions  $\psi_j$  of  $H_0$  and  $\psi_j^\pm=W_\pm(H,H_0)\psi_j$  of H
- $\blacksquare$  Lippmann-Schwinger equation  $\psi_j^\pm = \psi_j (H_0 \lambda \pm i0)^{-1} V \psi_j^\pm$
- $\widehat{T}_{kj}(\lambda) = \langle \psi_k(\lambda), VW_-(H, H_0)\psi_j(\lambda) \rangle$
- $\blacksquare$  principal value formula  $\frac{1}{x-i0}=i\pi\delta(0)+\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{x}$



#### New abstract approach

In this talk we present a new abstract approach that

- does not use generalized eigenfunctions
- does not use the Lippmann-Schwinger equation
- lacktriangle applies to perturbations V that are only locally trace class

The ideas of the approach are to

- $\blacksquare$  construct a special spectral representation of  $H_0$  using the trace class property of V
- use only the resolvent identity instead of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation
- $\blacksquare$  make use of the relation between T and  $VW_{-}(H, H_0)$  (like Nenciu in [2])
- lacksquare work with stationary pre-wave operators  $W_-(\epsilon)$
- lacksquare pass to the limit  $\epsilon 
  ightarrow +0$  only as a final step



#### Spectral representation

 $H_0$  selfadjoint operator on  $\mathfrak{H}$ , spectral measure  $E_0(\cdot)$ , and  $H=H_0+V$ , where  $VE_0(\Delta)$  is trace class for some  $\Delta\subset\mathbb{R}$ .

Now  $C_{\Delta}:=\sqrt{|V|}E_0(\Delta)$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and

$$K_{\Delta}(\lambda) := \frac{dC_{\Delta}E_0^{ac}((-\infty,\lambda))C_{\Delta}^*}{d\lambda} \ge 0$$

is trace class. If  $\mathfrak{H}^{ac}:=E_0^{ac}(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\{E_0^{ac}(\delta)\operatorname{ran}(C_\Delta)|\delta\in\mathcal{B}(\Delta)\}}$ , then

$$\left(\Phi_{\Delta}E_{0}^{ac}(\delta)C_{\Delta}f\right)(\lambda):=\chi_{\delta}(\lambda)\sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)}f,\quad f\in\mathfrak{H}$$

extends to isometric isomorphism  $\Phi_{\Delta}:\mathfrak{H}^{ac}\to L^2(\Delta,d\lambda,\mathfrak{H}_{\lambda}), \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda}=\overline{\mathrm{ran}\big(K_{\Delta}(\lambda)\big)}.$ 

We have the relations

$$\left(\Phi_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} dE_0^{ac}(\mu) C_{\Delta}^* A(\mu) f\right)(\lambda) = \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} A(\lambda) f$$

$$\int B(\mu) C_{\Delta} dE_0^{ac}(\mu) \Phi_{\Delta}^* \widehat{f} = \int d\mu B(\mu) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\mu)} \widehat{f}(\mu)$$



## Relation $T \longleftrightarrow VW_{-}(H, H_0)$

Consider the stationary pre-wave operators

$$W_{\pm}(\epsilon) = P_0^{ac} - \widetilde{W}_{\pm}(\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( 1 - \left( H - \lambda \pm i\epsilon \right)^{-1} V \right) dE_0^{ac}.$$

We have

$$\left(\Phi_{\Delta}E_{0}^{ac}(\Delta)VW_{-}(H,H_{0})\Phi_{\Delta}^{*}\widehat{f}\right)(\lambda) 
= s - \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \left(\Phi_{\Delta} \iint dE_{0}^{ac}(\nu)C_{\Delta}^{*}(J - JC(H_{A} - \mu - i\epsilon)^{-1}CJ)C_{\Delta}dE_{0}^{ac}(\mu)\Phi_{\Delta}^{*}\widehat{f}\right)(\lambda)$$

$$= s - \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} \int_{\Delta} d\mu M(\mu + i\epsilon) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\mu)} \widehat{f}(\mu)$$

for  $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\Delta, d\lambda, \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda})$ . We know from abstract theory (cf. [3]) that

$$\widehat{T}(\lambda) = \left(\Phi_{\Delta} E_0^{ac}(\Delta) V W_{-}(H, H_0) \Phi_{\Delta}^*\right)(\lambda, \lambda).$$

Hence,

$$\widehat{T}(\lambda) = s - \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} M(\lambda + i\epsilon) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)},$$

or in terms of the scattering matrix

$$\widehat{S}(\lambda) = I_{\mathfrak{H}_{\lambda}} - 2\pi i \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} M(\lambda + i0) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)}.$$



$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{1}{2i} \mathrm{Tr} \Big( W_{-}(\epsilon) \rho E_{0}^{ac}(\Delta) W_{-}^{*}(\epsilon) [V, P_{j}] \Big) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \Im \Big[ \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \Phi_{\Delta} \rho E_{0}^{ac}(\Delta) W_{-}^{*}(\epsilon) V P_{j} W_{-}(\epsilon) E_{0}^{ac}(\Delta) \Phi_{\Delta}^{*} \Big) \Big] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \int_{\Delta} d\lambda \Im \Big[ \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}(\lambda) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} M(\lambda - i\epsilon) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} \widehat{P}_{j}(\lambda) \Big) \Big] \\ &- \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \Im \Big[ \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \Phi_{\Delta} \rho E_{0}^{ac}(\Delta) W_{-}^{*}(\epsilon) V P_{j} \\ &\qquad \times \int_{\Delta} \underbrace{ \big( H_{0} - \nu - i\epsilon \big)^{-1} \big( 1 - V(H - \nu - i\epsilon)^{-1} \big) }_{= (H - \nu - i\epsilon)^{-1}} V dE_{0}^{ac}(\nu) \Phi_{\Delta}^{*} \Big) \Big] \\ &= \int_{\Delta} d\lambda \Im \Big[ \widehat{\rho}(\lambda) \widehat{T}^{*}(\lambda) \widehat{P}_{j}(\lambda) \Big] \\ &- \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \int_{\Delta} d\lambda \mathrm{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}(\lambda) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} M(\lambda - i\epsilon) \int_{\Delta} d\mu \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\mu)} \widehat{P}_{j}(\mu) \\ &\qquad \times \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\mu)} \frac{\epsilon}{(\mu - \lambda)^{2} + \epsilon^{2}} M(\lambda + i\epsilon) \sqrt{K_{\Delta}(\lambda)} \Big) \end{split}$$

Now the limit  $\epsilon \to +0$  and the optical theorem  $\Im m[\widehat T^*(\lambda)] = -\pi \widehat T^*(\lambda) \widehat T(\lambda)$  gives us

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \operatorname{Tr} \Big( W_{-}(\epsilon) \rho E_0^{ac}(\Delta) W_{-}^*(\epsilon) [V, P_j] \Big) \\ &= \pi \int_{\Delta} d\lambda \operatorname{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}(\lambda) \widehat{T}^*(\lambda) \widehat{P}_j(\lambda) \widehat{T}(\lambda) \Big) - \operatorname{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}(\lambda) \widehat{T}^*(\lambda) \widehat{T}(\lambda) \widehat{P}_j(\lambda) \Big) \\ &= \pi \int_{\mathbb{D}} d\lambda \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}_k(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{kj}^*(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{kj} \Big) - \operatorname{Tr} \Big( \widehat{\rho}_j(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{jk}^*(\lambda) \widehat{T}_{jk} \Big), \end{split}$$

which corresponds to the Landauer-Büttiker formula in the introduction.



## Application to an LED toy model - The model (electron)

## The electron is modelled by

- the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{h}^e = L^2(\mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda) = \mathfrak{h}^e_L \oplus \mathfrak{h}^e_S \oplus \mathfrak{h}^e_R$  with finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{h}^e_S$
- $\blacksquare$  a decoupled Hamiltonian  $h_0^e = h_L^e \oplus h_S^e \oplus h_R^e$
- $lack h_x^e = -\Delta^D + v_x, x \in \{L, S, R\}, \text{ where } v_L = v_R = \text{const}$
- $\blacksquare$  a coupled Hamiltonian  $h^e=h^e_0+v_a,$  where the coupling  $v_a$  is trace class and  $h^e_0\text{-smooth}$
- lacksquare in our case the coupling  $v_a$  is even finite dimensional



## Application to an LED toy model - The model (photon)

The photon field is modelled in the following way

- lacksquare the full Hilbert space is the symmetric Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_+(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$
- the free Hamiltonian is  $d\Gamma(M_{\omega}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dk \, \omega(k) a^*(k) a(k), \; \omega(k) = |k|$
- the vector potential is  $A_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = a^*(G_x) + a(G_x)$  with

$$G_x(k) = \frac{\kappa(|k|)}{\sqrt{|k|}} \epsilon(k) e^{i\alpha xk}$$

- we take the zero or one photon subspace  $\mathbb{C}\oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_+(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$
- lacksquare the free Hamiltonian is then  $h^p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M(\omega) \end{pmatrix}$
- lacksquare additionally, restriction of the vector potential to a bounded region  $\Xi imes \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z} imes \Lambda$
- the vector potential becomes

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \langle \chi_{\Xi}(x)G_x | \\ |\chi_{\Xi}(x)G_x \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



## Application to an LED toy model - The model (full model)

The full model

- the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{h}^e \otimes \mathfrak{h}^p = \mathfrak{h}^e \oplus \mathfrak{h}^e \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$
- we use minimal coupling to derive the full Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{H}_0 = \left(-i\nabla_x^D \otimes I_2 + \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}A(x)\right)^2 + I_1 \otimes h^p$$

- $\blacksquare$  for simplicity, drop the  $A^2$ -term (bounded operator)
- from this we get our electron-field interaction

$$V_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}\nabla_x^D\chi_\Xi(x)\langle G_x| \\ -i\alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}\chi_\Xi(x)\nabla_x^D|G_x \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- lacksquare this is a finite dimensional operator since  $\mathfrak{h}_S^e$  is finite dimensional
- the decoupled Hamiltonian  $H_0 = h_0^e \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h^p$
- lacksquare the electron-coupled Hamiltonian  $H_A=H_0+V_A$  , where  $V_A=v_A\otimes 1$
- lacksquare the fully coupled Hamiltonian  $H_B=H_A+V_B$



## Application to an LED toy model - The current

The wave operator

$$W_{-} \equiv W_{-}(H_B, H_0) = W_{-}(H_B, H_A) (W_{-}(h^e, h_0^e) \otimes 1) \equiv W_{B,-}W_{A,-}$$

exists only on  $P:=(P_{ac}(h_0^e)\otimes 1)\mathfrak{H}$  . The electron current is formally given by

$$\mathfrak{J}_{j} = iq \operatorname{Tr} \Big( W_{B,-} W_{A,-} \rho \ W_{A,-}^* W_{B,-}^* \big[ V_A + V_B, P_j \otimes 1 \big] \Big),$$

where

- the electrons are non-interacting
- every electron has its own photon field
- $\rightarrow$  no photon-mediated electron interaction
- $\rightarrow$  no emission and reabsorption

The total perturbation  $V_A + V_B$  is not trace class, but  $v_A$  is.

→ apply same methods and make use of the tensor structure (work in progress!)



# Application to an LED toy model - The ideas

Initial state  $[\rho^e,h_0^e]=0$  and

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho^e \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., leads and system in equilibrium, no photons present.

Then obviously  $1 - W_{B,-}(\epsilon)$ , but also

$$\rho W_{A,-}^*(\epsilon) V_A = \rho^e W_-^*(h^e, h_0^e) v_a \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is trace class. Thus, the electron current

$$\mathfrak{J}_{j} = -\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} 2q \Im \left[ \operatorname{Tr} \left( P \rho \ W_{A,-}^{*}(\epsilon) W_{B,-}^{*}(\epsilon) \left( V_{A} + V_{B} \right) P_{j} W_{B,-}(\epsilon) W_{A,-}(\epsilon) \right) \right],$$

is well defined.

Note that

$$T = T_A + W_{A,+}^* T_B W_{A,-}$$



Now use

$$PW_{A,-}^*(\epsilon)W_{B,-}^*(\epsilon)(V_A + V_B)$$

$$= W_{A,-}^*(\epsilon)V_A P + W_{A,-}^*(\epsilon)W_{B,-}^*(\epsilon)V_B W_{A,+}(\epsilon) + F^*(\epsilon)$$

to get the terms of the electronic Landauer-Büttiker formula

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho W_{A,-}^{*}(\epsilon)V_{A}P\right) \leadsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(\widehat{\rho}(\lambda)\widehat{T}_{A}^{*}(\lambda)\widehat{P}(\lambda)\right),$$

$$\operatorname{\mathfrak{Im}}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho W_{A,-}^{*}(\epsilon)V_{A}P\widetilde{W}_{A,-}^{*}(\epsilon)\right)\right] \leadsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(\widehat{\rho}(\lambda)\widehat{T}_{A}^{*}(\lambda)\widehat{P}(\lambda)\widehat{T}_{A}(\lambda)\right),$$

and correction terms due to the interaction like

$$W_{A,-}^*(\epsilon)W_{B,-}^*(\epsilon)V_BW_{A,+}(\epsilon) + F(\epsilon) \leadsto \widehat{W}_{A,-}^*(\lambda)\widehat{T}_B(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,+}(\lambda),$$

and similarly

$$\begin{split} \widehat{W}_{A,-}^*(\lambda)\widehat{T}_B(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,+}(\lambda)\widehat{P}(\lambda)\widehat{T}_A(\lambda), \\ \widehat{T}_A(\lambda)\widehat{P}(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,+}^*(\lambda)\widehat{T}_B(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,-}(\lambda) \\ \widehat{W}_{A,-}^*(\lambda)\widehat{T}_B(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,+}(\lambda)\widehat{P}(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,+}^*(\lambda)\widehat{T}_B(\lambda)\widehat{W}_{A,-}(\lambda). \end{split}$$



#### References

- M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, R. Landauer, and S. Pinhas, Generalized many-channel conductance formula with application to small rings, Phys. Rev. B 31 (1985), no. 10, 6207–6215.
- [2] G. Nenciu, Independent electron model for open quantum systems: Landauer-Buttiker formula and strict positivity of the entropy production, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), no. 3, 033302.
- [3] Hellmut Baumgärtel and Manfred Wollenberg, Mathematical Scattering Theory, Akademie-Verlag, 1983.

