

Number-Rigidity and β -Circular Riesz Gas

David Dereudre

(joint work with Thibaut Vasseur)

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Paul Painlevé,
University of Lille, France

Workshop on Random Point Processes in Statistical physics,
June-July 2022, Berlin

Introduction

- Gibbs point process on \mathbb{R}^d interacting with the Riesz pair potential

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^s} \quad d-1 < s < d$$

- g is non-integrable at infinity, ∇g is integrable.
- canonical ensemble with constant density $\rho > 0$ and inverse temperature $\beta > 0$.
- periodic boundary condition
- number-rigidity and equivalence of ensembles

- 1 The Model
- 2 Number-Rigidity
- 3 Equivalence of ensembles

1 The Model

The Riesz energy with background

$\gamma = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ included $\Lambda_n = [-n^{1/d}/2, n^{1/d}/2]^d$

$$H(\gamma) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \gamma} g(x-y) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{Diag}} g(x-y) \gamma(dx) \gamma(dy).$$

The Riesz energy with background

$\gamma = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ included $\Lambda_n = [-n^{1/d}/2, n^{1/d}/2]^d$

$$H(\gamma) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \gamma} g(x-y) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{Diag}} g(x-y) \gamma(dx) \gamma(dy).$$

With the background on Λ_n

$$\tilde{H}_n(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\Lambda_n \setminus \text{Diag}} g(x-y) (\gamma(dx) - dx) (\gamma(dy) - dy).$$

The energy $\tilde{H}_n(\gamma)$ is of order n (the volume).

The periodic Riesz energy

For $k \geq 1$, γ^k is the concatenation of $(2k + 1)$ copies of γ in the translations of Λ_n . It is a configuration in $\Lambda_{(2k+1)d_n}$.

The periodic Riesz energy

For $k \geq 1$, γ^k is the concatenation of $(2k + 1)$ copies of γ in the translations of Λ_n . It is a configuration in $\Lambda_{(2k+1)d_n}$.

Proposition

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{H}_{\Lambda_{(2k+1)d_n}}(\gamma^k)}{(2k+1)^d} = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \gamma} g_n(x-y) + n\varepsilon_n$$

with $g_n(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (g(x + kn^{1/d}) - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y + kn^{1/d}) dy)$.

For all $x \in \Lambda_n$, $|g_n(x) - g(x)| \leq Cn^{-s/d}$.

The periodic Riesz energy

For $k \geq 1$, γ^k is the concatenation of $(2k + 1)$ copies of γ in the translations of Λ_n . It is a configuration in $\Lambda_{(2k+1)d_n}$.

Proposition

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{H}_{\Lambda_{(2k+1)d_n}}(\gamma^k)}{(2k+1)^d} = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \gamma} g_n(x-y) + n\varepsilon_n$$

with $g_n(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (g(x + kn^{1/d}) - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y + kn^{1/d}) dy)$.

For all $x \in \Lambda_n$, $|g_n(x) - g(x)| \leq Cn^{-s/d}$.

Definition

The periodic Riesz energy of γ in Λ_n is defined by

$$H_n(\gamma) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \subset \gamma} g_n(x-y).$$

Properties of g_n

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (g(x + kn^{1/d}) - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y + kn^{1/d}) dy).$$

Proposition

- (Stability) There exists a constant $A \geq 0$ such that for point configuration $\gamma \in \Lambda_n$ such that $|\gamma| = n$, we have $H_n(\gamma) \geq -An$.
- (Shift invariance) For every $u \in \Lambda_n$ and every configuration γ in Λ_n we have $H_n(\tau_u^n(\gamma)) = H_n(\gamma)$.
- (Approximation) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every point $x \in \Lambda_n$ we have

$$|g_n(x) - g(x)| \leq cn^{-s/d}$$

The canonical ensemble

$\text{Bin}_{\Lambda,n}$ is the distribution of n independent points uniformly distributed in Λ .

The canonical ensemble

$\text{Bin}_{\Lambda,n}$ is the distribution of n independent points uniformly distributed in Λ .

Definition

The canonical Gibbs measure in Λ_n with inverse temperature $\beta > 0$ is

$$P_n^\beta = \frac{1}{Z_n^\beta} e^{-\beta H_n} \text{Bin}_{\Lambda_n, n}.$$

The canonical ensemble

$\text{Bin}_{\Lambda,n}$ is the distribution of n independent points uniformly distributed in Λ .

Definition

The canonical Gibbs measure in Λ_n with inverse temperature $\beta > 0$ is

$$P_n^\beta = \frac{1}{Z_n^\beta} e^{-\beta H_n} \text{Bin}_{\Lambda_n, n}.$$

Theorem

The sequence $(P_n^\beta)_{n \geq 1}$ admits accumulation points for the local convergence topology. They are called β -circular Riesz gases.

Uniqueness or non-uniqueness of accumulation points is unknown.

Main arguments of the proof

- The energy is stable : For any γ such that $\#(\gamma) = n$

$$H_n(\gamma) \geq -An.$$

- The partition function : There exists $0 < a_\beta < b_\beta < +\infty$

$$a_\beta^n \leq Z_n^\beta \leq b_\beta^n.$$

- The relative entropy is uniformly bounded

$$I(P_n^\beta | \pi_{\Lambda_n}) / |\Lambda_n| \leq C.$$

- P_n^β is stationary on the torus Λ_n .

Connections with other models

- Hardin, Saff and Simanek (2014) : Periodic energy of a crystal
- Physicists : Periodic jellium ($s = d - 2$)
- Leblé-Serfaty (2017) : LDP with confining potential
- Valko, Virag (2009), Killip-Stoiciu (2009), Nakano (2014) : beta-circular ensembles and the Sine- β process ($s = 0$, $d = 1$)
- Boursier (2022) : Riesz gas on the circle ($0 < s < 1$, $d = 1$)
- Lewin (2022) : Survey on Riesz gas

2 Number-Rigidity

Number-Rigidity

Definition (Ghosh-Peres 2017)

A point process Γ in \mathbb{R}^d is said number-rigid if for any bounded set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a function F_Λ such that almost surely

$$\#\Gamma_\Lambda = F_\Lambda(\Gamma_{\Lambda^c}).$$

Are the β -circular gases number-rigid?

Number-Rigidity

Definition (Ghosh-Peres 2017)

A point process Γ in \mathbb{R}^d is said number-rigid if for any bounded set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a function F_Λ such that almost surely

$$\#\Gamma_\Lambda = F_\Lambda(\Gamma_{\Lambda^c}).$$

Are the β -circular gases number-rigid?

Previous works for Gibbs point process :

- $s > d$ summable potential : Non number-rigidity (grand canonical DLR equations)
- $s = 0, d = 2$ and $\beta = 2$: Number-Rigidity (DPP structure + linear statistics), Ghosh-Lebowitz 2017
- $s = 0, d = 1$ and $\beta > 0$: Number-Rigidity (canonical DLR equations or linear statistics), D.-Leblé-Hardy-Maïda 2019 or Chhaibi-Najnudel 2018.

One point deletion

Definition (Holroyd-Soo 2013)

A point process Γ in \mathbb{R}^d is said one-point deletion if for any random variate $X \subset \Gamma$ the distribution of $\Gamma \setminus X$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Γ .

"Non number-rigidity" and "One point deletion" are almost equivalent.

One point deletion

Definition (Holroyd-Soo 2013)

A point process Γ in \mathbb{R}^d is said one-point deletion if for any random variate $X \subset \Gamma$ the distribution of $\Gamma \setminus X$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Γ .

"Non number-rigidity" and "One point deletion" are almost equivalent.

Heuristically, for Gibbs point processes and if X is "typical"

$$\frac{P_{\Gamma}}{P_{\Gamma \setminus X}} \sim e^{-\beta h(X, \Gamma \setminus X)}.$$

The one point deletion property requires a good definition for

$$h(X, \Gamma \setminus X).$$

The energy of a point

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and γ an infinite configuration ($x \notin \gamma$). Three candidates for $h(x, \gamma)$:

$$h_1(x, \gamma) = \sum_{y \in \gamma} \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} = \int \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} \gamma(dy)$$

$$h_2(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda_n} \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} (\gamma(dy) - dy)$$

$$h_3(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Lambda_n} \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} \gamma(dy) - C_n(\#\gamma_{\Lambda_n}, \gamma_{\Lambda_n^c}) \right)$$

The main result

Theorem

For any $\beta > 0$, there exists a β -circular Riesz gas P_\star^β which is not number-rigid. P_\star^β is also one-point deletion.

$P_\star^\beta = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{n_k}^\beta$ for a subsequence (n_k) .

We believe that all β -circular Riesz gas are not number-rigid.

The main result

Theorem

For any $\beta > 0$, there exists a β -circular Riesz gas P_\star^β which is not number-rigid. P_\star^β is also one-point deletion.

$P_\star^\beta = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{n_k}^\beta$ for a subsequence (n_k) .

We believe that all β -circular Riesz gas are not number-rigid.

Corollary

For any bounded Λ and $k \geq 0$ then for all P_\star^β -a.s. γ ,

$$P_\star^\beta(N_\Lambda = k | \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) > 0.$$

Main ingredient of the proof

Proposition

For any $\beta > 0$, there exists a constant $K > 0$ and an subsequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that for all $k \geq 1$

$$\int |h_{n_k}(0, \gamma)| P_{n_k}^\beta(d\gamma) \leq K,$$

where

$$h_n(x, \gamma) = \sum_{y \in \gamma} g_n(x - y),$$

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (g(x + kn^{1/d}) - \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y + kn^{1/d}) dy).$$

3 Equivalence of ensembles

General principle

Canonical ensembles : The density of particles $\rho > 0$ is prescribed. In the thermodynamic limit ($\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$) the number of particles is fixed equal to $\rho|\Lambda_n|$.

General principle

Canonical ensembles : The density of particles $\rho > 0$ is prescribed. In the thermodynamic limit ($\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$) the number of particles is fixed equal to $\rho|\Lambda_n|$.

Grand canonical ensembles : The activity $z > 0$ (or the chemical potential μ) is prescribed ($z = e^{-\beta\mu}$). During the thermodynamic limit ($\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$) the number of particles is random. The Gibbs process is absolutely continuous with respect to the Poisson point process with intensity $z > 0$.

General principle

Canonical ensembles : The density of particles $\rho > 0$ is prescribed. In the thermodynamic limit ($\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$) the number of particles is fixed equal to $\rho|\Lambda_n|$.

Grand canonical ensembles : The activity $z > 0$ (or the chemical potential μ) is prescribed ($z = e^{-\beta\mu}$). During the thermodynamic limit ($\Lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$) the number of particles is random. The Gibbs process is absolutely continuous with respect to the Poisson point process with intensity $z > 0$.

Definition (Equivalence of ensembles)

The canonical ensembles and the grand canonical ensembles are the same. There exist functions $\rho \mapsto z_\rho$ and $z \mapsto \rho_z$.

The equivalence of ensembles is proved for a large class of summable pairwise potentials (Ruelle 70, Georgii 94, Vasseur 2012), including the Riesz potential for $s > d$.

Equivalence of ensembles with the DLR formalism

- A canonical ensemble P satisfies the canonical DLR (Dobrushin-Lanford-Ruelle) equations :

$$P(d\gamma_\Lambda | \#\gamma_\Lambda = k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \text{Bin}_{\Lambda, k}(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

Equivalence of ensembles with the DLR formalism

- A canonical ensemble P satisfies the canonical DLR (Dobrushin-Lanford-Ruelle) equations :

$$P(d\gamma_\Lambda | \#\gamma_\Lambda = k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \text{Bin}_{\Lambda, k}(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

- A grand canonical ensemble P satisfies the grand canonical DLR equations :

$$P(d\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(\gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \pi_\Lambda^z(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

Equivalence of ensembles with the DLR formalism

- A canonical ensemble P satisfies the canonical DLR (Dobrushin-Lanford-Ruelle) equations :

$$P(d\gamma_\Lambda | \#\gamma_\Lambda = k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \text{Bin}_{\Lambda, k}(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

- A grand canonical ensemble P satisfies the grand canonical DLR equations :

$$P(d\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(\gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \pi_\Lambda^z(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

Definition (Equivalence of ensembles)

If P satisfies the canonical DLR equations then P satisfies the grand canonical DLR equations.

Canonical DLR equations for β -circular Riesz gas

The energy to move a particle from 0 to x in γ is

$$M(x|\gamma) = \sum_{y \in \gamma} g(x - y) - g(y).$$

Theorem (Canonical DLR equations)

Let \mathbb{P}^β be a β -Circular Riesz gas, Λ be a bounded Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Then for \mathbb{P}^β a.e. γ

$$P^\beta(d\gamma_\Lambda | \#\gamma_\Lambda = k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(k, \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \text{Bin}_{\Lambda, k}(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

where $H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \sum_{\{x, y\} \subset \gamma_\Lambda} g(x - y) + \sum_{x \in \gamma_\Lambda} M(x | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})$.

Similar proof as D., Leblé, Hardy and Maïda for the Sine- β process.

Grand canonical DLR equations for P_\star^β

Based on the one-point deletion property of P_\star^β

Theorem (Grand canonical DLR equations)

Let Λ be a bounded Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Then for P_\star^β a.e. γ

$$P_\star^\beta(d\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda^\beta(\gamma_{\Lambda^c})} e^{-\beta H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c})} \pi_\Lambda(d\gamma_\Lambda).$$

where $\gamma_\Lambda = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ and

$$H(\gamma_\Lambda | \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) = h(x_1, \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) + h(x_2, x_1 \cup \gamma_{\Lambda^c}) + \dots + h(x_k, x_1 \cup \dots \cup x_{k-1} \cup \gamma_{\Lambda^c})$$

$$h(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{y \in \gamma_{\Lambda_n}} g(x - y) - C_n(\#\gamma_{\Lambda_n}, \gamma_{\Lambda_n^c}) \right).$$

Integral compensator

$$h(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{y \in \gamma_{\Lambda_n}} g(x - y) - C_n(\#\gamma_{\Lambda_n}, \gamma_{\Lambda_n^c}) \right).$$

We believe that the integral compensator works

$$C_n(\#\gamma_{\Lambda_n}, \gamma_{\Lambda_n^c}) = \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y) dy$$

Proposition

If P^β is hyperuniform with $\text{Var}(N_\Lambda) \leq C|\Lambda|^{s/d-\varepsilon}$ then the grand canonical DLR equations hold with

$$h(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{y \in \gamma_{\Lambda_n}} g(x - y) - \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y) dy \right).$$

It is the case for $d = 1$, Boursier 2022.

Summary of the talk

- We define infinite volume Riesz gases ($d - 1 < s < d$) in \mathbb{R}^d at inverse $\beta > 0$ with periodic boundary conditions.
- At least one of them P_\star^β is not number Rigid.
- P_\star^β satisfies canonical and grand canonical DLR equations.
- The energy of a point x in γ exists

$$h(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{y \in \gamma_{\Lambda_n}} g(x - y) - C_n(\#\gamma_{\Lambda_n}, \gamma_{\Lambda_n^c}) \right).$$

- If $d = 1$, P_\star^β is hyperuniform and so

$$h(x, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{y \in \gamma_{\Lambda_n}} g(x - y) - \int_{\Lambda_n} g(y) dy \right).$$

- We believe that the same hold for all $d \geq 2$.

Open questions

- Hyperuniformity and integral compensator for $d \geq 2$.
- DLR equations for $s \leq d - 1$?
- Does the Number-Rigidity property appear at $s = d - 1$, $s = 0$? (true for $d = 1$)
- Is it really possible to have Number-Rigidity for large d ?