

Scientific Computing TU Berlin Winter 2021/22 © Jürgen Fuhrmann  
Notebook 15

• using LinearAlgebra

Diagonally dominant matrices  
 Practical nonsingularity criterion  
 Perron-Frobenius Theorem  
 Application to the Jacobi method

## Diagonally dominant matrices

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

- $A$  is *diagonally dominant* if for  $i = 1 \dots n$ ,  $|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \dots n \\ j \neq i}} |a_{ij}|$
- $A$  is *strictly diagonally dominant* (sdd) if for  $i = 1 \dots n$ ,  $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \dots n \\ j \neq i}} |a_{ij}|$
- $A$  is *irreducibly diagonally dominant* (idd) if
  1.  $A$  is irreducible
  2.  $A$  is diagonally dominant: for  $i = 1 \dots n$ ,  $|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \dots n \\ j \neq i}} |a_{ij}|$
  3. for at least one  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq n$ ,  $|a_{rr}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \dots n \\ j \neq r}} |a_{rj}|$

## Practical nonsingularity criterion

**Theorem** (Varga, Th. 1.21): Let  $A$  be strictly diagonally dominant or irreducibly diagonally dominant. Then  $A$  is nonsingular.

If in addition,  $a_{ii} > 0$  is real for  $i = 1 \dots n$ , then all real parts of the eigenvalues of  $A$  are positive:

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i > 0, \quad i = 1 \dots n$$

### Proof

- Assume  $A$  strictly diagonally dominant. Then the union of the Gershgorin disks does not contain 0 and  $\lambda = 0$  cannot be an eigenvalue  $\Rightarrow A$  is nonsingular.
- As for the real parts, the union of the disks is  $\bigcup_{i=1 \dots n} \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - a_{ii}| \leq \Lambda_i\}$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \mu$  must be larger than zero if  $\mu$  should be contained.



**Theorem** (Varga, Th. 2.7) Let  $A \geq 0$  be an irreducible  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

- (i)  $A$  has a positive real eigenvalue equal to its spectral radius  $\rho(A)$ .
- (ii) To  $\rho(A)$  there corresponds a positive eigenvector  $\vec{x} > 0$ .
- (iii)  $\rho(A)$  increases when any entry of  $A$  increases.
- (iv)  $\rho(A)$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $A$ .

**Proof:** See Varga.  $\square$

Each  $n \times n$  matrix can be brought to the normal form

$$PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \dots & R_{1m} \\ 0 & R_{22} & \dots & R_{2m} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & R_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for  $j = 1 \dots m$ , either  $R_{jj}$  irreducible or  $R_{jj} = (0)$ .

**Theorem** (Varga, Th. 2.20) Let  $A \geq 0$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

- (i)  $A$  has a nonnegative real eigenvalue equal to its spectral radius  $\rho(A)$ . This eigenvalue is positive unless  $A$  is reducible and its normal form is strictly upper triangular
- (ii) To  $\rho(A)$  there corresponds a nonzero eigenvector  $\vec{x} \geq 0$ .
- (iii)  $\rho(A)$  does not decrease when any entry of  $A$  increases.

**Proof:** See Varga;  $\sigma(A) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \sigma(R_{jj})$ , apply irreducible Perron-Frobenius to  $R_{jj}$ .  $\square$

Let us check this experimentally:

Julia conveniently returns positive matrices when calling `rand()`

`N = 100`

```
• N=100
```

`A0 = 100×100 Matrix{Float64}:`

```
0.820517 0.340232 0.769914 0.22492 ... 0.631448 0.110252 0.16271
0.508726 0.309175 0.494175 0.0689409 ... 0.885589 0.323651 0.791291
0.179755 0.280721 0.149346 0.0122112 ... 0.423037 0.534891 0.251425
0.333994 0.820904 0.98683 0.0136435 ... 0.753926 0.0245198 0.161562
0.894072 0.374506 0.327145 0.732889 ... 0.581772 0.46325 0.946713
0.687467 0.810695 0.395317 0.38666 ... 0.108575 0.973517 0.05618
0.747385 0.882254 0.422417 0.485958 ... 0.120324 0.232926 0.785146
⋮
0.0346037 0.224155 0.338776 0.835514 ... 0.678156 0.103897 0.717401
0.414652 0.90565 0.891557 0.298527 ... 0.629689 0.771796 0.340733
0.968054 0.31567 0.44654 0.693277 ... 0.787286 0.315305 0.310824
0.251027 0.0893812 0.611264 0.858514 ... 0.538906 0.309333 0.476897
0.86239 0.20199 0.780093 0.281093 ... 0.64704 0.0656345 0.659397
0.886446 0.761422 0.713692 0.577544 ... 0.0735567 0.905476 0.892443
```

```
• A0=rand(N,N)
```

Add some value to one of the entries

0.0

```
• @bind sval Slider(0:0.1:10, show_value=true)
```

```
• begin
```

```
• e=LinearAlgebra.eigen(A);
```

```
[49.9747+0.0im, 3.03833+0.0im, 2.65002+0.733004im, 2.65002-0.733004im, 2.46963+0.52346
```

```
◀ reverse(e.values) ▶
```

The index of the largest by absolute value eigenvalue is 100

The largest by absolute value eigenvalue is 49.97467282961314 + 0.0im

The corresponding eigenvector is real: true

A corresponding eigenvector is positive: true

## Application to the Jacobi method

**Theorem:** Let  $A$  be sdd or idd, and  $D$  its diagonal. Then

$$\rho(|I - D^{-1}A|) < 1$$

**Proof:** Let  $B = (b_{ij}) = I - D^{-1}A$ . Then

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i = j \\ -\frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}}, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

If  $A$  is sdd, then for  $i = 1 \dots n$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1 \dots n} |b_{ij}| = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \dots n \\ j \neq i}} \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right| = \frac{\Lambda_i}{|a_{ii}|} < 1$$

Therefore,  $\rho(|B|) < 1$ .

If  $A$  is idd, then for  $i = 1 \dots n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1 \dots n} |b_{ij}| &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \dots n \\ j \neq i}} \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right| = \frac{\Lambda_i}{|a_{ii}|} \leq 1 \\ \sum_{j=1 \dots n} |b_{rj}| &= \frac{\Lambda_r}{|a_{rr}|} < 1 \text{ for at least one } r \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\rho(|B|) \leq 1$ . Assume  $\rho(|B|) = 1$ . By Perron-Frobenius, 1 is an eigenvalue. As it is in the union of the Gershgorin disks, for some  $i$ ,

$$|\lambda| = 1 \leq \frac{\Lambda_i}{|a_{ii}|} \leq 1$$

it must lie on the boundary of this union. By Taussky then one has for all  $i$

$$|\lambda| = 1 \leq \frac{\Lambda_i}{|a_{ii}|} = 1$$

which contradicts the idd condition.  $\square$

**Corollary:** Let  $A$  be sdd or idd, and  $D$  its diagonal. Assume that  $a_{ii} > 0$  and  $a_{ij} \leq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\rho(I - D^{-1}A) < 1$ , i.e.-the Jacobi method converges.

**Proof** In this case,  $|B| = B \square$ .

- Here, we made assumptions on the sign pattern and the diagonal dominance of the matrix. No additional information on the nonzero pattern or the symmetry has been used.
- Does this generalize to other iterative methods?

```

• begin
• using HypertextLiteral
•     using Markdown
•     using PlutoUI
• end

```

```

• begin
•
•     highlight(mdstring,color)= htl"""<blockquote style="padding: 10px; background-
• color: $(color);">$(mdstring)</blockquote>"""
•
•     macro important_str(s) :(highlight(Markdown.parse($s),"#ffcccc")) end
•     macro definition_str(s) :(highlight(Markdown.parse($s),"#ccccff")) end
•     macro statement_str(s) :(highlight(Markdown.parse($s),"#ccffcc")) end
•
•
•     html"""
•     <style>
•     h1{background-color:#dddddd; padding: 10px;}
•     h2{background-color:#e7e7e7; padding: 10px;}
•     h3{background-color:#eeeeee; padding: 10px;}
•     h4{background-color:#f7f7f7; padding: 10px;}
•     </style>
•     """
• end

```