### Scientific Computing WS 2017/2018

Lecture 24

Jürgen Fuhrmann juergen.fuhrmann@wias-berlin.de

### Stability tests

## Time dependent Robin boundary value problem

▶ Choose final time T > 0. Regard functions  $(x, t) \to \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla u &= f & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T] \\ \kappa \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} + \alpha (u - g) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T] \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) & \text{in} \Omega \end{aligned}$$

- ► This is an initial boundary value problem
- ▶ This problem has a weak formulation in the Sobolev space  $L^2\left([0,T],H^1(\Omega)\right)$ , which then allows for a Galerkin approximation in a corresponding subspace
- We will proceed in a simpler manner: first, perform a finite difference discretization in time, then perform a finite element (finite volume) discretization in space.
  - ▶ Rothe method: first discretize in time, then in space
  - Method of lines: first discretize in space, get a huge ODE system, then apply perfom discretization

#### Time discretization

- ▶ Choose time discretization points  $0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_N = T$
- let  $\tau_i = t_i t_{i-1}$ For  $i = 1 \dots N$ , solve

$$\begin{split} &\frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{\tau_i} - \nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla u_\theta = f & \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T] \\ &\kappa \nabla u_\theta \cdot \vec{n} + \alpha (u_\theta - g) = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T] \end{split}$$

where 
$$u_{\theta} = \theta u_i + (1 - \theta)u_{i-1}$$

- $\theta=1$ : backward (implicit) Euler method Solve PDE problem in each timestep
- $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ : Crank-Nicolson scheme Solve PDE problem in each timestep
- $\theta = 0$ : forward (explicit) Euler method This does not involve the solution of a PDE problem. What do we have to pay for this ?

## Time discretization: stability test

- ► Influence of
  - Forward/backward Euler
  - ► Mass lumping
  - ► Time vs space stepsize

## The convection - diffusion equation

Search function  $u:\Omega\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$  such that  $u(x,0)=u_0(x)$  and

$$\partial_t u - \nabla \cdot (D\nabla u - u\mathbf{v}) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, T]$$
$$(D\nabla u - u\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} + \alpha(u - w) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, T]$$

- $\boldsymbol{v}(x,t)$ : species concentration, temperature
- ▶  $\mathbf{j} = D\nabla u u\mathbf{v}$ : species flux
- D: diffusion coefficient
- $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$ : velocity of medium (e.g. fluid)
  - ► Given analytically
  - ► Solution of free flow problem (Navier-Stokes equation)
  - Flow in porous medium (Darcy equation):  $\mathbf{v} = -\kappa \nabla p$  where

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla p) = 0$$

▶ For constant density, the divergence condition  $\nabla \cdot v = 0$  holds.

### Finite volumes for convection diffusion

Search function  $u: \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$  and

$$\partial_t u - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$$
 in  $\Omega \times [0, T]$   
 $\mathbf{j}\mathbf{n} + \alpha(u - w) = 0$  on  $\Gamma \times [0, T]$ 

▶ Integrate time discrete equation over control volume

$$0 = \int_{\omega_{k}} \left( \frac{1}{\tau} (u - v) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} \right) d\omega = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\omega_{k}} (u - v) d\omega - \int_{\partial \omega_{k}} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{k} d\gamma$$

$$= -\sum_{I \in \mathcal{N}_{k}} \int_{\omega_{k}} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{kI} d\gamma - \int_{\gamma_{k}} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\gamma - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\omega_{k}} (u - v) d\omega$$

$$\approx \underbrace{\frac{|\omega_{k}|}{\tau} (u_{k} - v_{k})}_{\rightarrow M} + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{N}_{k}} \underbrace{\frac{|\sigma_{kI}|}{h_{kI}} g_{kI} (u_{k}, u_{I})}_{\rightarrow A_{0}} + \underbrace{|\gamma_{k}| \alpha (u_{k} - g_{k})}_{\rightarrow D}$$

 $ightharpoonup rac{1}{\tau}Mu + Au = rac{1}{\tau}Mv$  where  $A = A_0 + D$  ,  $A_0 = (a_{kj})$ 

## Central Difference Flux Approximation

- ▶  $g_{kl}$  approximates normal convective-diffusive flux between control volumes  $\omega_k, \omega_l$ :  $g_{kl}(u_k u_l) \approx -(D\nabla u u\mathbf{v}) \cdot n_{kl}$
- ▶ Let  $v_{kl} = \frac{1}{|\sigma_{kl}|} \int \sigma_{kl} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{kl} d\gamma$  approximate the normal velocity  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{kl}$
- Central difference flux:

$$g_{kl}(u_k, u_l) = D(u_k - u_l) + h_{kl} \frac{1}{2} (u_k + u_l) v_{kl}$$
  
=  $(D + \frac{1}{2} h_{kl} v_{kl}) u_k - (D - \frac{1}{2} h_{kl} v_{kl}) u_l$ 

- ▶ if  $\mathbf{v}_{kl}$  is large compared to  $h_{kl}$ , the corresponding matrix (off-diagonal) entry may become positive
- ▶ Non-positive off-diagonal entries only guaranteed for  $h \rightarrow 0$ !
- ▶ Otherwise, we can prove the discrete maximum principle

## Simple upwind flux discretization

► Force correct sign of convective flux approximation by replacing central difference flux approximation  $h_{kl}\frac{1}{2}(u_k+u_l)v_{kl}$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
h_{kl}u_kv_{kl}, & v_{kl} < 0 \\
h_{kl}u_lv_{kl}, & v_{kl} > 0
\end{pmatrix} = h_{kl}\frac{1}{2}(u_k + u_l)v_{kl} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}h_{kl}|v_{kl}|}_{\text{Artificial Diffusion }\tilde{D}}$$

Upwind flux:

$$g_{kl}(u_k, u_l) = D(u_k - u_l) + \begin{cases} h_{kl}u_k v_{kl}, & v_{kl} > 0 \\ h_{kl}u_l v_{kl}, & v_{kl} < 0 \end{cases}$$
$$= (D + \tilde{D})(u_k - u_l) + h_{kl}\frac{1}{2}(u_k + u_l)v_{kl}$$

- ► M-Property guaranteed unconditionally !
- Artificial diffusion introduces error: second order approximation replaced by first order approximation

# Exponential fitting IV

- General case:  $Du' uv = D(u' u\frac{v}{D})$
- ▶ Upwind flux:

$$g_{kl}(u_k, u_l) = D\left(B\left(\frac{-v_{kl}h_{kl}}{D}\right)u_k - B\left(\frac{v_{kl}h_{kl}}{D}\right)u_l\right)$$

- Allen+Southwell 1955
- ► Scharfetter+Gummel 1969
- ▶ Ilin 1969
- ► Chang+Cooper 1970
- ► Guaranteed sign pattern, *M* property!

### Convection diffusion demo

- ▶ Influence of
  - upwinding

## Nonlinear problems: motivation

► Assume nonlinear dependency of some coefficients of the equation on the solution. E.g. nonlinear diffusion problem

$$-\nabla(\cdot D(u)\nabla u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = u_D \text{on} \partial \Omega$$

 FE+FV discretization methods lead to large nonlinear systems of equations

## Nonlinear problems: caution!

This is a significantly more complex world:

- Possibly multiple solution branches
- ▶ Weak formulations in *L<sup>p</sup>* spaces
- ▶ No direct solution methods
- ▶ Narrow domains of definition (e.g. only for positive solutions)

### Finite element discretization for nonlinear diffusion

▶ Find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that for all  $w_h \in V_h$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} D(u_h) \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla w_h \ dx = \int_{\Omega} f w_h \ dx$$

- Use appropriate quadrature rules for the nonlinear integrals
- Discrete system

$$A(u_h) = F(u_h)$$

### Finite volume discretization for nonlinear diffusion

$$0 = \int_{\omega_{k}} (-\nabla \cdot D(u)\nabla u - f) d\omega$$

$$= -\int_{\partial\omega_{k}} D(u)\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}_{k} d\gamma - \int_{\omega_{k}} fd\omega \qquad (Gauss)$$

$$= -\sum_{L \in \mathcal{N}_{k}} \int_{\sigma_{kl}} D(u)\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}_{kl} d\gamma - \int_{\gamma_{k}} D(u)\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} d\gamma - \int_{\omega_{k}} fd\omega$$

$$\approx \sum_{L \in \mathcal{N}_{k}} \frac{\sigma_{kl}}{h_{kl}} g_{kl}(u_{k}, u_{l}) + |\gamma_{k}| \alpha(u_{k} - w_{k}) - |\omega_{k}| f_{k}$$

with

$$g_{kl}(u_k, u_l) = \begin{cases} D(\frac{1}{2}(u_k + u_l))(u_k - u_l) \\ \text{or } \mathcal{D}(u_k) - \mathcal{D}(u_l) \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(u) = \int_0^u D(\xi) \ d\xi$  (exact solution ansatz at discretization edge)

▶ Discrete system

$$A(u_h) = F(u_h)$$

### Iterative solution methods: fixed point iteration

- ▶ Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- ▶ Problem: A(u) = f:
- ▶ Assume A(u) = M(u)u, where for each u,  $M(u) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear operator.
- ► Iteration schem: Choose  $u_0$ ,  $i \leftarrow 0$ ; while not converged do Solve  $M(u_i)u_{i+1} = f$ ;  $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ; end
- Convergence criteria:
  - ▶ residual based:  $||A(u) f|| < \varepsilon$
  - update based  $||u_{i+1} u_i|| < \varepsilon$
- ► Large domain of convergence
- ► Convergence may be slow
- Smooth coefficients not necessary

#### Iterative solution methods: Newton method

Solve

$$A(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(u_1 \dots u_n) \\ A_2(u_1 \dots u_n) \\ \vdots \\ A_n(u_1 \dots u_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} = f$$

▶ Jacobi matrix (Frechet derivative) for given u:  $A'(u) = (a_{kl})$  with

$$a_{kl}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}A_k(u_1\ldots u_n)$$

▶ Iteration scheme:

Choose  $u_0$ ,  $i \leftarrow 0$ ;

while not converged do

Calculate residual  $r_i = A(u_i) - f$ ;

Calculate Jacobi matrix  $A'(u_i)$ ;

Solve update problem  $A'(u_i)h_i = r_i$ ;

Update solution:  $u_{i+1} = u_i - h_i$ ;

 $i \leftarrow i + 1$ ;

end

#### Newton method II

- ▶ Convergence criteria: residual based:  $||r_i|| < \varepsilon$  update based  $||h_i|| < \varepsilon$
- ► Limited domain of convergence
- ► Slow initial convergence
- ► Fast (quadratic) convergence close to solution

### Damped Newton method

Remedy for small domain of convergence: damping

```
Choose u_0, i \leftarrow 0, damping parameter d < 1;
```

#### while not converged do

```
Calculate residual r_i = A(u_i) - f;
Calculate Jacobi matrix A'(u_i);
Solve update problem A'(u_i)h_i = r_i;
Update solution: u_{i+1} = u_i - dh_i;
i \leftarrow i + 1;
```

#### end

- Damping slows convergence down from quadratic to linear
- ▶ Better way: increase damping parameter during iteration:

```
Choose u_0, i \leftarrow 0,damping d < 1, growth factor \delta > 1; while not converged do
```

```
Calculate residual r_i = A(u_i) - f;
Calculate Jacobi matrix A'(u_i);
Solve update problem A'(u_i)h_i = r_i;
Update solution: u_{i+1} = u_i - dh_i;
Update damping parameter: d_{i+1} = \min(1, \delta d_i); i \leftarrow i+1;
```

end

## Newton demo

#### Newton method: further issues

- Even if it converges, in each iteration step we have to solve linear system of equations
  - Can be done iteratively, e.g. with the LU factorization of the Jacobi matrix from first solution step
  - Iterative solution accuracy my be relaxed, but this may diminuish quadratic convergence
- Quadratic convergence yields very accurate solution with no large additional effort: once we are in the quadratic convergence region, convergence is very fast
- Monotonicity test: check if residual grows, this is often an sign that the iteration will diverge anyway.

## Newton method: embedding

- Embedding method for parameter dependent problems.
- ▶ Solve  $A(u_{\lambda}, \lambda) = f$  for  $\lambda = 1$ .
- ▶ Assume  $A(u_0, 0)$  can be easily solved.
- ▶ Parameter embedding method:

```
Solve A(u_0,0)=f;
Choose initial step size \delta;
Set \lambda=0;
while \lambda<1 do \Big| Solve A(u_{\lambda+\delta},\lambda+\delta)=0 with initial value1 u_{\lambda};
\lambda\leftarrow\lambda+\delta;
```

- Possibly decrease stepsize if Newton's method does not converge, increase it later
- Parameter embedding + damping + update based convergence control go a long way to solve even strongly nonlinear problems!

#### Examination dates

```
Mon Feb 26 (not yet confirmed)
Mon March 5
Wed March 7
Mon March 12
Tue March 13
Wed March 14
Mon March 26
Tue March 27
```

Time: 10:00-13:00 (6 slots per examination date)

Room: MA 379

Please mark preferred dates in the list.