

Existence and approximation for a 3D model
of thermally induced phase transformations in shape-memory alloys

Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

January 30, 2008

The phase transformations of the crystallographic lattice are characterized by an internal variable $z : \Omega \rightarrow Z = \text{conv}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$.

Interpretation: if $z(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k(x) e_k$, $\lambda_k(x)$ = volume fraction of phase k .

The model is described by

- a stored energy density $W(e(u), z, \theta)$ where u denotes the displacements, $e(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + \nabla u^T)$, and θ is the temperature,
- a dissipation $\mathcal{D}(z_1, z_2)$ due to phase changes.

We assume that \mathcal{D} is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(z_1, z_2) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, z_2 - z_1) dx$$

where $\psi(x, \cdot)$ is convex, l.s.c. and positively homogeneous of degree 1 for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

We study the quasi-static evolution in small strain regime, within the framework of the variational theory of rate-independent processes.

The potential energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(t, u, z, \theta) = \int_{\Omega} \left(W(e(u), z, \theta) + \frac{\sigma}{2} |\nabla z|^2 \right) dx - \langle \ell(t), u \rangle$$

where ℓ is a time-dependent applied loading and $\frac{\sigma}{2} |\nabla z|^2$, with $\sigma > 0$, takes into account some non-local effects for the internal variable z .

We assume that $\theta = \theta_{\text{appl}}(t, x)$ is a given data (valid if Ω is small in at least one direction) and that $u = u_{\text{Dir}}(t)$ on $\Gamma_{\text{Dir}} \subset \partial\Omega$.

We define the set $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{Z}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \{ \tilde{u} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d); \tilde{u}|_{\Gamma_{\text{Dir}}} = 0 \}, \\ \mathcal{Z} &= \{ z \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N); z(x) \in Z \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \}. \end{aligned}$$

We look for an **energetic solution** for the rate independent problem associated to \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{D} , i.e $q = (\tilde{u} = u - u_{\text{Dir}}, z) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ satisfying the **global stability condition** (S) and the **global energy balance** (E)

$$(S) \forall \bar{q} = (\bar{u}, \bar{z}) \in \mathcal{Q} : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \bar{q}) + \mathcal{D}(z(t), \bar{z}),$$

$$(E) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; 0, t) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \partial_s \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) \, ds.$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) = \mathcal{E}(t, \tilde{u}(t) + u_{\text{Dir}}(t), z(t), \theta_{\text{appl}}(t))$$

and

$$\text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; r, s) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \mathcal{D}(z(t_{j-1}), z(t_j)) \mid p \in \mathbb{N}, r \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p \leq s \right\}$$

for all $(r, s) \in [0, T]^2$ such that $r < s$.

Let us observe that (S) is equivalent to

$$q(t) \in \mathcal{S}(t) = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}; \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \bar{q}) + \mathcal{D}(z, \bar{z}) \quad \bar{q} \in \mathcal{Q}\} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

In order to construct **approximate solutions** we consider closed subspaces \mathcal{F}_h and V_h of \mathcal{F} and $V = H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ respectively (e.g. **finite dimensional subspaces**) and we define

$$\mathcal{Q}_h = \mathcal{F}_h \times \mathcal{Z}_h, \quad \mathcal{Z}_h = \{z_h \in V_h; z_h(x) \in Z \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\} = \mathcal{Z} \cap V_h.$$

We assume that for all $q = (\tilde{u}, z) \in \mathcal{Q}$ there exists a sequence $(q_h)_{h>0}$ such that

$$q_h = (\tilde{u}_h, v_h) \in \mathcal{Q}_h \quad \forall h > 0, \quad q_h \rightarrow q \quad \text{strongly in } \mathcal{Q}.$$

We consider also a partition $\Pi = (t_k^\tau)_{0 \leq k \leq k^\tau}$ of $[0, T]$, i.e.

$$0 = t_0^\tau < t_1^\tau < \dots < t_{k^\tau}^\tau = T$$

such that $\Delta(\Pi) = \sup\{t_k^\tau - t_{k-1}^\tau, 1 \leq k \leq k^\tau\} \leq \tau$, with $\tau \in (0, T)$.

We approximate the initial condition q_0 by $[q_0]^h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ and we solve the following incremental problems:

$$(\text{IP})_{\text{II}}^h \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } k = 1, \dots, k^\tau \text{ find} \\ q_k^{\tau, h} = (\tilde{u}_k^{\tau, h}, z_k^{\tau, h}) \in \text{Argmin} \{ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, \bar{q}^{\tau, h}) + \mathcal{D}(z_{k-1}^{\tau, h}, \bar{z}^{\tau, h}) \mid \bar{q}^{\tau, h} = (\bar{u}^{\tau, h}, \bar{z}^{\tau, h}) \in \mathcal{Q}_h \}. \end{array} \right.$$

Let us assume that :

$$(\text{H1}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_{\text{appl}} \in C^1([0, T]; L^\infty(\Omega; [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}])) \\ \ell \in C^1([0, T]; (H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))') \\ u_{\text{Dir}} \in C^1([0, T]; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)) \end{array} \right.$$

$$(\text{H2}) \quad \exists c_\psi, C_\psi > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c_\psi |v|_1 \leq \psi(x, v) \leq C_\psi |v|_1 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}_0^N, \quad |v|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^N |v_j|$$

$$(\text{H3}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d} \times Z \times [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}]; \mathbb{R}) \\ W(\cdot, z, \theta) \text{ is strictly convex for all } (z, \theta) \in Z \times [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}] \\ \exists c, C > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c(|e|^2 + |z|^2) - C \leq W(e, z, \theta) \leq c(|e|^2 + |z|^2) + C \\ \forall (e, z, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d} \times Z \times [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}] \end{array} \right.$$

Proposition 1. The following properties hold:

- \mathcal{D} is continuous for the weak topology of $H^1(\Omega)$,
- for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \cdot)$ has weakly compact sublevels,
- there exist positive real numbers C_0, c_0, C_1, c_1 such that

$$C_0 \|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 - c_0 \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q) \leq C_1 \|q\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 - c_1$$

for all $(t, q) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{Q}$.

We infer that the incremental problems $(\text{IP})_{\Pi}^h$ admit a solution and, for all $k = 1, \dots, k^\tau$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, q_k^{\tau, h}) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, \bar{q}^{\tau, h}) + \mathcal{D}(z_{k-1}^{\tau, h}, \bar{z}^{\tau, h}) - \mathcal{D}(z_{k-1}^{\tau, h}, z_k^{\tau, h}) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, \bar{q}^{\tau, h}) + \mathcal{D}(z_k^{\tau, h}, \bar{z}^{\tau, h})$$

for all $\bar{q}^{\tau, h} \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ i.e.

$$q_k^{\tau, h} \in \mathcal{S}_h(t_k^\tau) = \{q^h \in \mathcal{Q}_h; \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q^h) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \bar{q}^h) + \mathcal{D}(z^h, \bar{z}^h) \quad \bar{q}^h \in \mathcal{Q}_h\}.$$

Let us assume moreover that $\partial_\theta W \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d} \times Z \times [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}]; \mathbb{R})$ and $\partial_e W \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d} \times Z \times [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}]; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, q_k^{\tau,h}) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_{k-1}^\tau, q_{k-1}^{\tau,h}) + \mathcal{D}(z_{k-1}^{\tau,h}, z_k^{\tau,h}) \leq \int_{t_{k-1}^\tau}^{t_k^\tau} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{k-1}^{\tau,h}) dt,$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq k^\tau$ (discrete upper energy inequality) and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, q_k^{\tau,h}) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_{k-1}^\tau, q_{k-1}^{\tau,h}) + \mathcal{D}(z_{k-1}^{\tau,h}, z_k^{\tau,h}) \geq \int_{t_{k-1}^\tau}^{t_k^\tau} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_k^{\tau,h}) dt,$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq k^\tau$ (discrete lower energy inequality).

We define the approximate solution $q_R^{\tau,h}$ by

$$q_R^{\tau,h}(t) = q_{k-1}^{\tau,h} \quad \forall t \in [t_{k-1}^\tau, t_k^\tau), \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, k^\tau, \quad q_R^{\tau,h}(T) = q_{k^\tau}^{\tau,h}.$$

Next we investigate the asymptotics as h and τ tend to zero.

Proposition 2. Let us assume that there exist positive constants $C_0^W, C_1^W, C^\theta, C_0^\theta, C_1^\theta, C^e, C_0^e, C_1^e$ and a nondecreasing function $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(\tau) = 0$ such that for all $e, e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}, z, z_1, z_2 \in Z$ and $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_e W(e, z, \theta)|^2 + |\partial_\theta W(e, z, \theta)| &\leq C_1^W (W(e, z, \theta) + C_0^W), \\ |\partial_\theta W(e, z, \theta_1) - \partial_\theta W(e, z, \theta_2)| &\leq C_1^\theta (W(e, z, \theta_1) + C_0^\theta) \omega(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|), \\ |\partial_e W(e, z, \theta_1) - \partial_e W(e, z, \theta_2)|^2 &\leq C_1^e (W(e, z, \theta_1) + C_0^e) \omega(|\theta_1 - \theta_2|), \\ |\partial_\theta W(e_1, z_1, \theta) - \partial_\theta W(e_2, z_2, \theta)| &\leq C^\theta (|e_1 - e_2| + |z_1 - z_2|) (1 + |e_1 + e_2| + |z_1 + z_2|), \\ |\partial_e W(e_1, z_1, \theta) - \partial_e W(e_2, z_2, \theta)| &\leq C^e (|e_1 - e_2| + |z_1 - z_2|). \end{aligned}$$

Then

(i) there exist $C_0^\mathcal{E}, C_1^\mathcal{E} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q)| \leq C_1^\mathcal{E} (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q) + C_0^\mathcal{E}) \quad \forall (t, q) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{Q}$$

(ii) for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a nondecreasing function $\omega_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

with $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_E(\rho) = 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q) - \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q)| \leq \omega_E(|t - s|) \quad \forall (s, t, q) \in [0, T]^2 \times \mathcal{Q} \text{ s.t. } \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q) \leq E.$$

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\delta_k^{\tau,h} = \mathcal{D}(z_{k-1}^{\tau,h}, z_k^{\tau,h}), \quad \eta_k^{\tau,h} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_k^\tau, q_k^{\tau,h}) \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, k^\tau\}$$

and

$$\delta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t) = \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}; 0, t), \quad \eta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t)) \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Step 1: A priori estimates

Lemma 1. $\|q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$, $|\eta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t)|$, $|\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t))|$, $\text{Var}(\eta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}; 0, t)$ and $|\delta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t)|$ are bounded independently of τ , h and t .

Step 2: Passage to the limit

We will use Helly's selection principle:

Lemma (Helly's selection principle). Assume that the following properties hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall (z, \tilde{z}) \in \mathcal{Z}^2, \forall (z^h, \tilde{z}^h) \in \mathcal{Z}_h^2 : \\ z = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} z^h \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{z} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \tilde{z}^h \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(z, \tilde{z}) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}(z^h, \tilde{z}^h),$$

and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}, \forall K \subset \mathcal{Z} \text{ sequentially compact}, \forall (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K^{\mathbb{N}} : \\ \min(\mathcal{D}(z_n, z), \mathcal{D}(z, z_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n.$$

Let $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $z_n : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \exists C > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z_n; 0, T) \leq C, \\ \exists K \subset \mathcal{Z} \text{ sequentially compact}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \in [0, T] : z_n(t) \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists a subsequence $(z_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, a nondecreasing function $\delta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a limit process $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that for all $(s, t) \in [0, T]^2$ with $s \leq t$, we have

$$z(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} z_{n_j}(t), \quad \delta(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z_{n_j}; 0, t), \quad \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; s, t) \leq \delta(t) - \delta(s).$$

Assumption (H2) implies that \mathcal{D} satisfies the previous properties and with lemma 1 we infer that there exists a subsequence $(\tau_n, h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\eta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \rightarrow \eta(t), \quad \delta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \rightarrow \delta(t), \quad z_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \rightarrow z(t) \text{ in } \mathcal{Z} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

with $\eta \in BV([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$, $\delta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a non decreasing function and $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$\text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; s, t) \leq \delta(t) - \delta(s) \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in [0, T]^2 \text{ with } s \leq t.$$

Moreover, possibly extracting another subsequence, we have

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}) \rightharpoonup \xi_* \text{ weakly } * \text{ in } L^\infty([0, T]).$$

Let $t \in [0, T]$. Since $\|q_R^{\tau, h}(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is bounded independently of τ and h , there exists a subsequence $(n_j^t)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ (depending on t) such that

$$q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j^t}, h_{n_j^t}}(t) \rightharpoonup q(t) \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{Q}$$

and thus $q(t) = (\tilde{u}(t), z(t))$.

Lemma 2. We have

$$q(t) \in \mathcal{S}(t) = \{q \in \mathcal{Q}; \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \bar{q}) + \mathcal{D}(z, \bar{z}) \quad \forall \bar{q} \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Sketch of the proof. Let $\bar{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and define

$$t_j = \max\{t_k^{\tau_{n_j^t}, h_{n_j^t}} \leq t, k = 0, \dots, k_{\tau_{n_j^t}}\}.$$

We have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} t_j = t$ and $q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j^t}, h_{n_j^t}}(t) \in \mathcal{S}_{h_{n_j^t}}(t_j)$.

So using (i) in proposition 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)) &\leq \exp(C_1^{\mathcal{E}}|t-t_j|)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_j, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)) + C_0^{\mathcal{E}}(\exp(C_1^{\mathcal{E}}|t-t_j|)-1) \\ &\leq \exp(C_1^{\mathcal{E}}|t-t_j|)(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_j, q^{h_{n_j}^t}) + \mathcal{D}(z_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t), z^{h_{n_j}^t})) + C_0^{\mathcal{E}}(\exp(C_1^{\mathcal{E}}|t-t_j|)-1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $q^{h_{n_j}^t} \in \mathcal{Q}_{h_{n_j}^t}$. Then, we choose $(q^{h_{n_j}^t})_{j \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}}$ such that $q^{h_{n_j}^t} \rightarrow \bar{q}$ in \mathcal{Q} and we pass to the limit.

As a consequence

$$\tilde{u}(t) \in \text{Argmin}\{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \tilde{u}, z(t)), \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \cdot, z(t))$ is strictly convex, the whole sequence $(\tilde{u}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t))_{j \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in \mathcal{Q} .

So we have defined a **limit process** $q \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{Q})$ which satisfies the **global stability property**.

Step 3: Energy estimate

With the discrete upper energy estimate and step 1, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\eta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t) + \delta_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(t) \leq \eta_0^h + \int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau,h}(s)) ds + C(\exp(C_1^{\mathcal{E}} \tau) - 1)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, for all τ and h , which yields at the limit

$$\eta(t) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \leq \eta(t) + \delta(t) \leq \eta(0) + \int_0^t \xi_*(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover

Lemma 3. For all $t \in [0, T]$, the following convergences hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) &= \eta(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) &= \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof. Let $t \in [0, T]$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \cdot)$ is lower-semicontinuous we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) = \eta(t).$$

But $q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \in \mathcal{S}_{h_n}(t_j)$ and with the same computations as in step 2 with $q^{h_n} \rightarrow q(t)$ in \mathcal{Q} , we get

$$\eta(t) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) + \mathcal{D}(z(t), z(t)) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t))$$

and thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) = \eta(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t))$.

Then, using property (ii) of proposition 2, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)).$$

Next, we fix $t \in [0, T]$ and let $\Pi^p = \{0 = t_0^p < t_1^p < \dots < t_{N_p}^p = t\}$ be a sequence of partitions of $[0, t]$, such that $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta(\Pi^p) = 0$ and

$$\int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma, q(\sigma)) d\sigma = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_j^p, q(t_j^p))(t_j^p - t_{j-1}^p).$$

We have $q(t_{j-1}^p) \in \mathcal{S}(t_{j-1}^p)$ so, by choosing $\bar{q} = (\tilde{u}(t_j^p), z(t_j^p))$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_{j-1}^p, q(t_j^p)) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_{j-1}^p, q(t_{j-1}^p)) + \mathcal{D}(q(t_{j-1}^p), q(t_j^p)) \geq \int_{t_{j-1}^p}^{t_j^p} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(t_j^p)) ds$$

and after summation over j

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_j^p, q(t_j^p))(t_j^p - t_{j-1}^p) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \mu_j^p,$$

where $|\mu_j^p| = \left| \int_{t_{j-1}^p}^{t_j^p} (\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(t_j^p)) - \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t_j^p, q(t_j^p))) ds \right| \leq (t_j^p - t_{j-1}^p) \omega_E(\Delta(\Pi^p))$ with $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega_E(\rho) = 0$. Then passing to the limit as $\Delta(\Pi^p)$ tends to zero, we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \geq \int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) ds.$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) \, ds &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \\ &\leq \eta(t) + \delta(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \xi_*(s) \, ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\xi_* = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q) \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, T].$$

Hence all the inequalities are in fact equalities, thus

$$\delta(t) = \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

and

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_{\mathbf{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}) \rightarrow \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q) \quad \text{strongly in } L^1([0, T]).$$

Theorem 1 (Convergence of the approximate solutions).

There exist a subsequence $\{(\tau_n, h_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to $(0, 0)$ and an energetic solution $q = (\tilde{u}, z) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ of (S) and (E) such that $q(0) = q_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u} &\in L^\infty([0, T]; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)), \\ z &\in L^\infty([0, T]; H^1(\Omega; Z)) \cap BV([0, T]; L^1(\Omega; Z)),\end{aligned}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, T]$, the following convergences hold:

$$\begin{aligned}z_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) &\rightarrow z(t) \text{ strongly in } \mathcal{Z}, \\ \tilde{u}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) &\rightharpoonup \tilde{u}(t) \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{F}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)), \\ \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}; 0, t) &\rightarrow \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; 0, t), \\ \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}) &\rightarrow \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q) \text{ strongly in } L^1([0, T]).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. Let us assume moreover that W is α_W -uniformly convex in its first two arguments. Then q is Lipschitz continuous.

Sketch of the proof. The uniform convexity of W implies that there exists $\kappa > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \forall (q_1, q_2) \in \mathcal{Q}^2 : \frac{\kappa}{2} \|q_2 - q_1\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_2) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_1) - \langle D_q \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_1), q_2 - q_1 \rangle.$$

On the other hand, (S) implies

$$\text{(Sloc)} \quad \forall s \in [0, T], \forall v = (\tilde{u}, z) \in \mathcal{Q} : \langle D_q \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s), v - q(s) \rangle + \mathcal{D}(z(s), z) \geq 0.$$

So, with $q_1 = q(s)$, $q_2 = q(t)$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa}{2} \|q(t) - q(s)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(t)) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; s, t) \\ &= - \int_s^t \partial_r \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(r, q(t)) \, dr + \int_s^t \partial_r \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(r, q(r)) \, dr \leq C \int_s^t \|q(r) - q(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Then we infer that $\|q(t) - q(s)\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq \frac{2C}{\kappa}(t - s)$.

The **strict** convexity assumption is not necessary to prove a convergence result.

Indeed, let us assume that $W(\cdot, z, \theta)$ is convex for a.e. $(z, \theta) \in \mathcal{Z} \times [\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}]$, then

- proposition 1 and proposition 2 are still true,
- the incremental problems $(\text{IP})_{\Pi}^h$ still admit a solution $q_k^{\tau, h}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, k^\tau$ such that $q_k^{\tau, h} \in \mathcal{S}_h(t_k^\tau)$ and which satisfies the discrete upper/lower energy inequalities,
- the approximate solution $q_R^{\tau, h}$ still satisfies the a priori estimates,
- we can apply Helly's selection principle and extract a subsequence $(\tau_n, h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\eta_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \rightarrow \eta(t), \quad \delta_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \rightarrow \delta(t), \quad z_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) \rightarrow z(t) \text{ in } \mathcal{Z} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

and

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}) \rightharpoonup \xi_* \text{ weakly } * \text{ in } L^\infty([0, T])$$

with $\eta \in BV([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ and $\delta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a non decreasing function such that

$$\text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(z; s, t) \leq \delta(t) - \delta(s) \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in [0, T]^2 \text{ with } s \leq t.$$

- For any fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we can define a limit displacement $\tilde{u}(t)$ by considering a subsequence $q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)$ weakly converging in \mathcal{Q} . Once again

$$q(t) = (\tilde{u}(t), z(t)) \in \mathcal{S}(t), \quad \tilde{u}(t) \in \text{Argmin}\{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \tilde{u}, z(t)), \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

But $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \cdot, z(t))$ is not strictly convex any more and we can not infer that the whole sequence $(\tilde{u}_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in \mathcal{Q} .

- We still have a upper energy estimate (which is a consequence of the discrete upper energy estimate and the a priori estimates), i.e.

$$\eta(t) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \leq \eta(t) + \delta(t) \leq \eta(0) + \int_0^t \xi_*(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

- We have also a lower energy estimate (which is a consequence of the stability of the limit process), i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \geq \int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) ds.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) ds &\leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \\ &\leq \eta(t) + \delta(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \xi_*(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and it remains to compare ξ_* and $\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q)$. So we go back to the proof of lemma 3.

Let $t \in [0, T]$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, \cdot)$ is lower-semicontinuous we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)) = \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_R^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t) = \eta(t).$$

Moreover $q_R^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t) \in \mathcal{S}_{h_{n_j}^t}(t_j)$ and with the same computations as in step 2 with $q^{h_{n_j}^t} \rightarrow q(t)$ in \mathcal{Q} , we get

$$\eta(t) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) + \mathcal{D}(z(t), z(t)) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t))$$

and thus $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)) = \eta(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t))$.

Then, using property (ii) of proposition 2, we infer that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_{n_j}^t, h_{n_j}^t}(t)) = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t))$$

but we can not conclude directly that $\xi_* = w * -\lim_{L^\infty([0, T])} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n})$ is equal to $\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q)$ a.e. in $[0, T]$.

So we choose the subsequence $(q_R^{\tau_{n_j}, h_{n_j}}(t))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in such a way that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_R^{\tau_{n_j}, h_{n_j}}(t)) = \xi_{\text{sup}}(t)$$

with $\xi_{\text{sup}} \in L^1([0, T])$ given by

$$\forall s \in [0, T] : \xi_{\text{sup}}(s) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q_R^{\tau_n, h_n}(s)).$$

Then, $\xi_{\text{sup}}(t) = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\xi_*(t) \leq \xi_{\text{sup}}(t)$ for a.e. t in $[0, T]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \xi_{\text{sup}}(s) ds &= \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s, q(s)) ds \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)) + \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \\ &\leq \eta(t) + \delta(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \xi_*(s) ds \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0, q(0)) + \int_0^t \xi_{\text{sup}}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence all the inequalities are in fact equalities, i.e.

$$\delta(t) = \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \xi_* = \xi_{\text{sup}} = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q) \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, T]$$

and

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_{\mathbf{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}) \rightarrow \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q) \quad \text{strongly in } L^1([0, T]).$$

Remark.

This construction of $\tilde{u}(t)$ does not ensure the measurability of the mapping $t \mapsto \tilde{u}(t)$ but we still have an uniform estimate of $\|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ on $[0, T]$.

Theorem 2 (Convergence of the approximate solutions).

There exist a subsequence $\{(\tau_n, h_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to $(0, 0)$ and an energetic solution $q = (\tilde{u}, z) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ of (S) and (E) such that $q(0) = q_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\text{ is uniformly bounded on } [0, T], \\ z &\in L^\infty([0, T]; H^1(\Omega; Z)) \cap BV([0, T]; L^1(\Omega; Z)), \end{aligned}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, T]$, the following convergences hold:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t) &\rightharpoonup z(t) \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{Z}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}(t)) &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(t, q(t)), \\ \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}; 0, t) &\rightarrow \text{Var}_{\mathcal{D}}(q; 0, t), \\ \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q_{\mathbb{R}}^{\tau_n, h_n}) &\rightarrow \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, q) \text{ strongly in } L^1([0, T]). \end{aligned}$$

References

- A. Mielke, F. Theil, and V. I. Levitas. "A variational formulation of rate-independent phase transformations using an extremum principle." *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 2002.
- A. Mielke. "Evolution in rate-independent systems." In C. Dafermos and E. Feireisl, editors, *Handbook of Differential Equations, Evolutionary Equations, vol. 2*, Elsevier B.V., 2005.
- G. Francfort and A. Mielke. "Existence results for a class of rate-independent material models with nonconvex elastic energies." *J. reine angew. Math.*, 2006.
- A. Mielke and T. Roubicek. "Numerical approaches to rate-independent processes and applications in elasticity." *WIAS preprint no 1169.*, 2006.
- S. Govindjee, A. Mielke, and G. Hall. "The free-energy of mixing for n -variant martensitic phase transformations using quasi-convex analysis." *J. Mech. Physics Solids*, 2002.
- A. Mielke. "A model for temperature-induced phase transformations in finite-strain elasticity." *IMA J. Applied Math.*, 2007.
- A. Mielke and A. Petrov. "Thermally driven phase transformation in shape-memory alloys." *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 2007.