# Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Preprint

ISSN 0946 - 8633

## A condition for weak disorder for directed polymers in random environment

Matthias Birkner<sup>1</sup>

submitted: 20th November 2003

 Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics Mohrenstr. 39 10117 Berlin E-Mail: birkner@wias-berlin.de

> No. 891 Berlin 2003



<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 60K37, 82B44.

Key words and phrases. Directed polymer in random environment, weak disorder, size-biasing.

Edited by Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS) Mohrenstraße 39 10117 Berlin Germany

Fax:+ 49 30 2044975E-Mail:preprint@wias-berlin.deWorld Wide Web:http://www.wias-berlin.de/

## A condition for weak disorder for directed polymers in random environment

Matthias Birkner<sup>1</sup>

20th November 2003

#### Abstract

We give a sufficient criterion for the weak disorder regime of directed polymers in random environment, which extends a well-known second moment criterion. We use a stochastic representation of the size-biased law of the partition function.

AMS 2000 subject classification. 60K37, 82B44

*Keywords.* Directed polymer in random environment, weak disorder, sizebiasing

We consider the so-called directed polymer in random environment, being defined as follows: Let p(x, y) = p(y - x),  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  be a shift-invariant, irreducible transition kernel,  $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  the corresponding random walk. Let  $\xi(x, n), x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be i.i.d. random variables satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta\xi(x,n))] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R},\tag{1}$$

we denote their cumulant generating function by

$$\lambda(\beta) := \log \mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta\xi(x, n))].$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

We think of the graph of  $S_n$  as the (directed) polymer, which is influenced by the random environment generated by the  $\xi(x, n)$  through a reweighting of paths with

$$e_n := e_n(\xi, S) := \exp\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta\xi(S_j, j) - \lambda(\beta)\bigg),$$

that is, we are interested in the random probability measures on path space given by

$$\mu_n(ds) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \mathbb{E}[e_n \mathbf{1}(S \in ds) \,|\, \xi(\cdot, \cdot)],$$

<sup>1</sup>Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics Mohrenstr. 39 D-10117 Berlin Germany Email: birkner@wias-berlin.de where the normalising constant (or partition function) is given by

$$Z_n = \mathbb{E}[e_n|\xi] = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \prod_{j=1}^n p(s_{j-1}, s_j) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \beta\xi(s_k, k) - \lambda(\beta)\right).$$

Note that  $(Z_n)$  is a martingale, and hence converges almost surely. This model has been studied by many authors, see e.g. [2] and the references given there. It is known that the behaviour of  $\mu_n$  as  $n \to \infty$  depends on whether  $\lim_n Z_n > 0$  or  $\lim_n Z_n = 0$ . One speaks of *weak disorder* in the first, and of *strong disorder* in the second case. Our aim here is to give a condition for the weak disorder regime.

Let  $(S_n)$  and  $(S'_n)$  be two independent *p*-random walks starting from  $S_0 = S'_0 = 0$ , and let  $V := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}(S_n = S'_n)$  be the number of times the two paths meet. Define

 $\alpha_* := \sup \{ \alpha \ge 1 : \mathbb{E}[\alpha^V | S'] < \infty \text{ almost surely} \}.$ (3)

**Proposition 1** If  $\lambda(2\beta) - 2\lambda(\beta) < \log \alpha_*$ , then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n > 0 \quad almost \ surrely,$ 

that is, the directed polymer is in the weak disorder regime.

Note that Proposition 1 implicitly requires that the difference random walk S-S' be transient, for otherwise we would have  $\log \alpha_* = 0$ , but we also have  $\lambda(2\beta) - 2\lambda(\beta) \ge 0$  by convexity. For symmetric simple random walk in dimension d = 1, 2 we have  $Z_n \to 0$  almost surely for any  $\beta \ne 0$ , see [2], Thm. 2.3 (b).

Observe that

$$\alpha_* \ge \alpha_2 := \sup \{ \alpha \ge 1 : \mathbb{E}[\alpha^V] < \infty \} = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{P}_{(0,0)}(S_n \ne S'_n \text{ for } n \ge 1)}.$$

An easy calculation shows that  $(Z_n)$  is an  $L^2$ -bounded martingale iff  $\lambda(2\beta) - 2\lambda(\beta) < \log \alpha_2$ , cf. e.g. [2], equation (1.8) and the paragraph below it on p. 707 and the references given there (note that for symmetric simple random walk,  $\mathbb{P}_{(0,0)}(S_n \neq S'_n \text{ for } n \geq 1) = \mathbb{P}_0(S_n \neq 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1) =: q)$ .

If S - S' is transient and p satisfies

$$\sup_{n,x} \frac{p_n(x)}{\sum_y p_n(y)p_n(-y)} < \infty$$
(4)

then we have

$$\alpha_* = 1 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-H(p_n)\right)\right)^{-1} > \alpha_2,$$
(5)

where  $p_n(x) := \mathbb{P}_0(S_n = x)$  is the *n*-step transition probability of a *p*-random walk, and  $H(p_n) = -\sum_x p_n(x) \log(p_n(x))$  is its entropy, see [1], Thm. 5. Note that (4) is automatically satisfied if a local central limit theorem holds for *p*, in particular, it holds for symmetric simple random walk. Thus, Proposition 1 is an extension of the second moment condition (1.8) in [2].

Let  $\hat{Z}_n$  have the size-biased law of  $Z_n$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\hat{Z}_n)] = \mathbb{E}[Z_n f(Z_n)]$$

for any bounded, measurable f. The proof of Proposition 1 hinges on the representation of the sie-biased law given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** Let  $(S'_n)$  be a p-random walk starting from  $S'_0 = 0$ , let  $\xi(x, n)$  be as above, and let  $\hat{\xi}(x, n), x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , n = 1, 2, ... be an *i.i.d.* sequence with a tilted law given by

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\hat{\xi})] = e^{-\lambda(\beta)} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta\xi) f(\xi)] \quad for any bounded \ f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{Z}_n := \mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\mathbf{1}(S_j = S'_j)\hat{\xi}(S_j, j) + \mathbf{1}(S_j \neq S'_j)\xi(S_j, j) - \lambda(\beta)\right)\Big|S', \xi(\cdot, \cdot), \hat{\xi}_\cdot\Big].$$

Then  $\hat{Z}_n$  and  $\tilde{Z}_n$  have the same distribution.

*Proof.* Note that  $\tilde{Z}_n$  is a function of S',  $\xi$  and  $\hat{\xi}$ , namely

$$\tilde{Z}_{n} = \sum_{s_{1},...,s_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(s_{j-1},s_{j}) \times \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbf{1}(s_{j}=S_{j}')\hat{\xi}(s_{j},j) + \mathbf{1}(s_{j}\neq S_{j}')\xi(s_{j},j) - \lambda(\beta))\right).$$

We have by definition for a bounded  $f:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Z_n)] = \mathbb{E}[Z_n f(Z_n)]$$

$$= e^{-n\lambda(\beta)} \sum_{s_1,\dots,s_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \prod_{j=1}^n p(s_{j-1}, s_j) \mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \beta\xi(s_k, k)\right) f(Z_n)\Big]$$

$$= e^{-n\lambda(\beta)} \mathbb{E}\Big[\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \beta\xi(S'_k, k)\right) \times f\Big(\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_n} \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_{j-1}, y_j) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta\xi(y_i, i) - \lambda(\beta)\right)\Big)\Big]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-n\lambda(\beta)} \mathbb{E}\Big[\dots \Big| S'\Big]\Big]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\Big[f\Big(\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_n} \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_{j-1}, y_j) \times \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta(\mathbf{1}_{\{y_i=S'_i\}} \hat{\xi}(y_i, i) + \mathbf{1}_{\{y_i\neq S'_i\}} \xi(y_i, i)) - \lambda(\beta)\right)\Big)\Big]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{Z}_n)].$$

Proof of Proposition 1. As  $\mathbb{P}(Z_{\infty} > 0) \in \{0, 1\}$  by Kolmogorov's 0 - 1 law (see e.g. (1.7) in [2]), the proposition will be proved if we can show that under the given condition, the sequence  $Z_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is uniformly integrable. This, in turn, is equivalent to tightness of the sequence  $\hat{Z}_n$ , see e.g. Lemma 9 in [1]. We see from Lemma 1 that this is equivalent to whether the family  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is tight. Let us denote by  $\alpha := \mathbb{E} \exp(\beta \hat{\xi} - \lambda(\beta)) = \exp(\lambda(2\beta) - 2\lambda(\beta))$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}_n|S'] = \mathbb{E}\Big[\alpha^{\#\{1 \le i \le n:S_i = S'_i\}} \Big|S'\Big],$$

hence  $\alpha < \alpha_*$  implies  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}_n | S'] < \infty$  almost surely, which in particular shows that the family of laws  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{Z}_n)$  is tight.  $\Box$ 

*Remark.* Note that we obtain a sufficient condition for weak disorder by averaging out  $\xi(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\tilde{\xi}(\cdot, \cdot)$  in the construction of  $\tilde{Z}_n$  given in Lemma 1. In order to obtain a sharp criterion one would have to analyse the distribution of  $\tilde{Z}_n$  itself. Unfortunately, this seems a rather hard problem.

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks Francis Comets for a very stimulating discussion. He pointed out that there should be a relation between the branching random walks in random environment considered in Chapter 4 of [1] and directed polymers in random environment.

Support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Forschergruppe 498 is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] Matthias Birkner, Particle systems with locally dependent branching: long-time behaviour, genealogy and critical parameters, PhD thesis, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, 2003. http://publikationen.stub.uni-frankfurt.de/volltexte/2003/314/
- [2] Francis Comets, Tokuzo Shiga, Nobuo Yoshida, Directed polymers in random environment: path localization and strong disorder, *Bernoulli* 9, No. 4, 705–723.