

Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

A new approach to the single point catalytic super-Brownian motion

K. Fleischmann¹, J.F. Le Gall²

submitted: 24th January 1994

¹ Institute of Applied Analysis and Stochastics Mohrenstraße 39 D – 10117 Berlin Germany	² Laboratoire de Probabilités Université Paris VI 4, Place Jussieu, Tour 56 F – 75252 Paris Cedex 05 France
---	--

Preprint No. 81
Berlin 1994

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60J80; Secondary 60J55, 60G57.

Key words and phrases. Super-stable subordinator, sample path smoothness, Campbell measure formula, canonical measures, backward measurability, point-catalytic medium, critical branching, super-Brownian motion, superprocess, measure-valued branching process, total extinction.

Edited by
Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (IAAS)
Mohrenstraße 39
D — 10117 Berlin
Germany

Fax: + 49 30 2004975
e-mail (X.400): c=de;a=d400;p=iaas-berlin;s=preprint
e-mail (Internet): preprint@iaas-berlin.d400.de

A New Approach to the Single Point Catalytic Super-Brownian Motion

Klaus Fleischmann¹ and Jean-François Le Gall²

¹Institute of Applied Analysis and Stochastics Berlin

² Université Paris VI

January 23, 1994

Abstract

A new approach is provided to the (critical continuous) super-Brownian motion X in R with a single point-catalyst δ_c as branching rate. We start from a superprocess U with constant branching rate and spatial motion given by the stable subordinator with index $1/2$. We prove that the total occupation time measure $\int_0^\infty ds U_s$ of U is distributed as the occupation density measure λ^c of X at the catalyst c . This result is a superprocess analogue of the classical fact that the set of zeros of a linear Brownian motion is the range of a stable subordinator with index $1/2$. We then show that the value X_t of the process X at time t is determined from the measure λ^c by an explicit representation formula. On a heuristic level, this formula says that a mass $\lambda^c(ds)$ of "particles" leaves the catalyst at time s and then evolves according to the Itô measure of Brownian excursions. This representation formula has important applications. First of all, with probability one, the density field x of X satisfies the heat equation outside of c with the noisy boundary condition at c given by the singularly continuous random measure λ^c . In particular, x is C^∞ outside the catalyst. This property is in sharp contrast to the constant branching rate case. Another consequence is that the total mass $X_t(R)$ is always strictly positive but dies out in probability as $t \rightarrow \infty$. As a final application a new derivation of the singularity of the measure λ^c is provided.

AMS Subject Classification Primary 60J80; Secondary 60J55, 60G57

Keywords super-stable subordinator, sample path smoothness, Campbell measure formula, canonical measures, backward measurability, point-catalytic medium, critical branching, super-Brownian motion, superprocess, measure-valued branching process, total extinction

1 Introduction and Main Results

1.1 Basic Model and Motivation

The (critical continuous) *single point catalytic super-Brownian motion* X_t , $t \geq 0$ on the real line R has been introduced by Dawson and Fleischmann [4] (see also [3]). Intuitively, the process X describes the evolution of a large population of small branching particles on the real line, in the case when the branching phenomenon occurs only at a fixed point $c \in R$ called the *catalyst*. When particles are away from c , they move according to independent linear Brownian motions. On the other hand, when the particles arrive at c , they are subject to a critical branching mechanism, heuristically with an infinite branching rate (to compensate for the smallness of the "branching area"). In Dynkin's formulation [7], the local time at c of the Brownian particles governs the branching phenomenon.

A rigorous description of X can be given in terms of Laplace functionals. To this purpose, we denote by \mathcal{M}_f the set of all finite measures on R equipped with the topology of weak convergence, by $\mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$ the set of all bounded nonnegative continuous functions on R , and by $\mathcal{B}(R, R_+)$ the set of all bounded nonnegative Borel measurable functions on R . Once and for all we fix a constant $\varrho > 0$, representing a multiplicative weight of the branching intensity δ_c at c . By definition, X is the time-homogeneous continuous Markov process on \mathcal{M}_f such that, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$, $t > 0$ and $h \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$,

$$E[\exp - \langle X_t, h \rangle \mid X_0 = \mu] = \exp - \int \mu(db) v(0, b), \quad (1)$$

where the function $v(s, b)$, $s \geq 0$, $b \in R$ is the unique nonnegative solution of the integral equation

$$v(s, b) + \varrho \int_s^\infty dr p(r-s, c-b) v^2(r, c) = 1_{\{s < t\}} \int dy p(t-s, y-b) h(y). \quad (2)$$

Here $p(s, b)$ denotes the *Brownian transition density*

$$p(s, b) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \exp - \frac{b^2}{2s}, \quad s > 0, b \in R. \quad (3)$$

Formulas (1) and (2) can be extended to get the Laplace functionals associated with the *finite-dimensional marginals* of X . In fact, using the

Markov property, for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$,

$$E \left[\exp - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle X_{t_i}, h_i \rangle \mid X_0 = \mu \right] = \exp - \int \mu(db) v(0, b), \quad (4)$$

where the function $v(s, b)$, $s \geq 0$, $b \in R$ is the unique nonnegative solution of

$$v(s, b) + \varrho \int_s^\infty dr p(r-s, c-b) v^2(r, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{s < t_i\}} \int da p(t_i-s, a-b) h_i(a). \quad (5)$$

For this formula, see Lemma 3.1.1 of [4], with a slightly different formulation, and Lemma 4.1 in Dynkin [7] (in a much more general setting).

Let us note some important features of equation (5). For $b \neq c$, the function $v(s, b)$, $s \geq 0$ is given by an explicit formula in terms of $v(s, c)$, $s \geq 0$ (this corresponds to the degenerate branching rate $\varrho \delta_c$). Then the function $v(s, c)$, $s \geq 0$ solves an integral equation for which the uniqueness of the nonnegative solution follows from an easy extension of the classical Gronwall lemma.

Let Y denote the *occupation time process* related to X . That is, Y_t for $t \geq 0$ fixed is the random element in \mathcal{M}_f defined by

$$\langle Y_t, h \rangle := \int_0^t ds \langle X_s, h \rangle, \quad h \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+).$$

It was proved in [4] that the measure Y_t has a density $y_t(b)$, $b \in R$ where y can be chosen to be jointly continuous in (t, b) . For every b fixed, $y_t(b)$ is a monotone increasing function of t and one can consider the associated measure $\lambda^b(dt)$ defined by $\lambda^b([u, v)) = y_v(b) - y_u(b)$. Henceforth λ^b is called the *occupation density measure* at b . When $b \neq c$, the measure λ^b is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. On the other hand, it was recently proved in [5] that λ^c is *singular*, although its carrying dimension is one ([4]).

A *heuristic explanation* can be given as follows. Mass arriving at c by the heat flow will "normally" be killed by the infinite branching rate, leading to a vanishing "density" $dy_t(c)/dt$ at c for Lebesgue almost all t . But again by this infinite rate, "occasionally" mass will be created at c . This density of mass at c will not occur at a fixed time, but nevertheless on a time set of "full dimension".

Our *goal in this work* is to provide a new approach to the process X . The motivation was to get a better understanding of the role of the occupation time measure λ^c at the catalyst. We will present a self-contained construction of X , which makes it clear that λ^c is the basic object in the model. Indeed, the process X is given in terms of λ^c by a deterministic formula. Our construction will allow us to rederive several known properties of X , such as the existence of the density field x of X and the singularity of the measure λ^c . We will also obtain some interesting new properties concerning the smoothness of x and the long-time behavior of X .

1.2 The Super-Stable Subordinator

A key ingredient of our construction is the (critical continuous) superprocess U with constant branching rate $\varrho > 0$ whose spatial motion is the (one-dimensional) stable subordinator with index $1/2$. For convenience we call this process U the *super-stable subordinator*. Recall that the *stable subordinator* with index $1/2$ is the Lévy process on the real line whose transition probabilities are given by

$$q(s, b) := 1_{\{b > 0\}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{2\pi b^3}} \exp -\frac{s^2}{2b}, \quad s > 0, b \in R. \quad (6)$$

Notice that $q(s, \cdot)$ can also be interpreted as the density function of the (first) *hitting time* of the point s by a linear Brownian motion started at the origin.

The associated superprocess U with constant branching rate $\varrho > 0$ is by definition the \mathcal{M}_f -valued time-homogeneous continuous Markov process characterized as follows. For $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$,

$$E \left[\exp - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle U_{t_i}, h_i \rangle \mid U_0 = \nu \right] = \exp - \int \nu(db) u(0, b) \quad (7)$$

where $u(t, b)$, $t \geq 0, b \in R$ is the unique nonnegative solution to the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(s, b) + \varrho \int_s^\infty dr \int da q(r-s, a-b) u^2(r, a) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{s < t_i\}} \int da q(t_i-s, a-b) h_i(a). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Observe the analogy between equations (5) and (8): The Brownian transition density p is replaced by the stable transition density q , and the catalytic point measure $\varrho \delta_c(a) da$ is replaced by the measure ϱda corresponding to a constant branching rate ϱ . Both formulas are special cases of a formula valid for more general superprocesses (recall again Lemma 4.1 in Dynkin [7]).

Consider the *total occupation measure* $V := \int_0^\infty ds U_s$ of U . This is a random *finite* measure on R . The finiteness of V follows from the well-known property that $U_s = 0$ for s sufficiently large, a.s. Moreover, the total mass process $U_s(R)$, $s \geq 0$ is a critical Feller diffusion (i.e. a zero-dimensional squared Bessel process). Hence its integral $V(R)$ is a stable random variable with index $1/2$.

Later, we will always consider the situation when the initial value $U_0 = \nu$ of U is a (deterministic) measure supported on R_+ . Then V is also supported on R_+ and we will interpret it as a measure on R_+ .

We will need the Laplace functional of V . Such functionals were first computed by Dawson [1] and Iscoe [9] in special cases and later generalized; see Dynkin [7] or Section 7.4 in Dawson [2]. Here, we may simply start from the Laplace functional (7) for the finite-dimensional marginals of U , take $t_i = i/k$, $h_i = \varphi/k$, and, by a suitable passage to the limit, we arrive at the following result. Let $g(b)$ denote the *Green function* of the stable subordinator with index $1/2$:

$$g(b) := \int_0^\infty dt q(t, b) = 1_{\{b>0\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b}}. \quad (9)$$

Then V has the following *Laplace functional*: For $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$ with compact support,

$$E[\exp - \langle V, \varphi \rangle \mid U_0 = \nu] = \exp - \langle \nu, w \rangle \quad (10)$$

where $w(b)$, $b \in R$ is the unique nonnegative solution to the equation

$$w(b) + \varrho \int da g(a-b) w^2(a) = \int da g(a-b) \varphi(a). \quad (11)$$

The uniqueness of the solution to (11) is easily established using arguments similar to the classical Gronwall lemma. Formulas (10) and (11) can be extended to any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(R, R_+)$ with compact support, via the monotone class theorem, and more generally, by a monotonicity argument, to any

nonnegative Borel measurable function φ with compact support satisfying the following *finiteness condition*:

$$\sup_{b \in R} \int da g(a-b) \varphi(a) < \infty. \quad (12)$$

It is again easy to verify that equation (11) still has a unique nonnegative solution under this more general assumption.

1.3 Main Results

We keep the notation introduced in the previous subsections. In addition from now on we fix a nonzero measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ and assume that $X_0 = \mu$ and $U_0 = \nu_\mu$, where the measure ν_μ is defined by

$$\langle \nu_\mu, \varphi \rangle := \int \mu(db) \int_0^\infty ds q(|c-b|, s) \varphi(s), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+), \quad (13)$$

with the convention that $\int_0^\infty ds q(0, s) \varphi(s) = \varphi(0)$ (that is $q(0, \cdot) = \delta_0$). The measure ν_μ corresponds to the "law" of the hitting time of c by a Brownian motion "distributed" according to $\mu(db)$ at time 0. In particular, $\nu_\mu = \delta_0$ if $\mu = \delta_c$.

Theorem 1 (representation of λ^c) *Under the previous assumptions, the random measures V and λ^c are identically distributed. In particular, the topological support of λ^c is R_+ a.s.*

The latter theorem is in a sense a *superprocess analogue* of the classical result saying that the local time measure at 0 of a linear Brownian motion is the occupation measure of a stable subordinator of index 1/2 (equivalently, the inverse local time at 0 of a linear Brownian motion is a stable subordinator of index 1/2, see e.g. [15], p. 223).

It was proved in [4] that the point catalytic super-Brownian motion X lives on the set of all absolutely continuous measures, i.e. it can a.s. be represented as

$$X_t(db) = x_t(b) db, \quad t > 0.$$

Moreover, the *density field* $x_t(b)$ can be chosen to be *jointly continuous* on the set $\{t > 0\} \times \{b \neq c\}$. We will now obtain a representation formula for $x_t(b)$ in terms of λ^c . To this purpose we need to introduce the transition

density $p^*(t, a, b)$ of *Brownian motion killed at c* . Obviously, $p^*(t, a, b) = 0$ if $(a - c)(b - c) \leq 0$, and the reflection principle gives

$$p^*(t, a, b) = p(t, b - a) - p(t, b + a - 2c) \quad \text{if } (a - c)(b - c) > 0. \quad (14)$$

The announced representation formula now reads as follows:

Theorem 2 (representation of the mass density field) *With probability one, the density field $x_t(b)$ of X can be represented as*

$$x_t(b) = \int \mu(da) p^*(t, a, b) + \int_0^t \lambda^c(ds) q(|b - c|, t - s), \quad t > 0, b \neq c. \quad (15)$$

In particular, $x_t(b) > 0$ for every $t > 0$, $b \neq 0$, a.s.

Note that the deterministic first term of the right hand side of (15) vanishes when $\mu = \delta_c$ (so that $\nu_\mu = \delta_0$).

Let us briefly explain this representation formula. Clearly, the first term in the right hand side of (15) corresponds to the contribution of (approximating) particles that have not yet reached the catalyst by time t . In other words, it comes from the heat flow with absorption at c . To understand the second term, notice that, for a fixed $s > 0$, the function $q(|b|, s)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is the density of the *Brownian excursion* at time s , under the Itô measure denoted by $\mathbf{n}(de)$:

$$q(|b|, s) db = \mathbf{n}\{\ell(e) > s, e(s) \in db\}$$

where $\ell(e)$ is the duration of the excursion e and $e(s)$ its location at time s (see e.g. [15], p. 456). This allows us to give the following *intuitive interpretation* for the second term in the representation formula (15). The mass present at time s in the vicinity of the catalyst can be measured by $\lambda^c(ds)$. In terms of an approximating particle system, particles then move away from c according to Brownian excursions (recall that no branching is allowed outside of c). Such an excursion gives a contribution to $x_t(b)$ if it has not yet returned to the catalyst and has position b at time t .

We can combine Theorems 1 and 2 to get a *complete construction of the process X* . Indeed, starting from the total occupation measure V of the super-stable subordinator U , we define for every $t > 0$

$$Z_t(db) := \left(\int \mu(da) p^*(t, a, b) + \int_{[0, t)} V(ds) q(|b - c|, t - s) \right) db. \quad (16)$$

By our two theorems, the measure-valued process Z is then a single point catalytic super-Brownian motion started at μ , and the occupation density measure of Z at the catalyst is V .

1.4 Proof of the Main Results

We will prove Theorems 1 and 2 *simultaneously* by checking that the process Z defined from V via formula (16) is a single point catalytic super-Brownian motion, and then that the occupation density measure of Z at c is $V(ds)$. Since the density of the random measure Z_t , as given in formula (16), is clearly a continuous function on $\{t > 0\} \times \{b \neq c\}$, we will thus obtain that this function coincides with the density field of X , completing the proof of formula (15).

We will make use of the following *identities* (recall the notations introduced in (3,6,9,14)): For $0 \leq s < t$ and $a, b \in R$,

$$g(t-s) = p(t-s, 0), \quad (17)$$

$$p(t, b-a) = p^*(t, a, b) + \int_0^t dr q(|c-a|, r) p(t-r, b-c). \quad (18)$$

The first equality is trivial. Formula (18) is easy to prove by a probabilistic argument. Indeed, the function $p(t, \cdot - a)$, which is the density at time t of a linear *Brownian motion* B started at a , is the sum of two contributions: The first one coming from those paths that do not hit c by time t , and the second one from the remaining paths. To obtain the contribution of the latter, notice that $q(|c-a|, \cdot)$ is the density function of the hitting time of c by B , and apply the strong Markov property at that hitting time.

Note the special case of (18) when $b = c$:

$$p(t, c-a) = \int_0^t dr q(|c-a|, r) p(t-r, 0). \quad (19)$$

We will compute now the *Laplace functionals* of Z . Let $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in C(R, R_+)$. Then, by the definition (16) of Z ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle Z_{t_i}, h_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \int \mu(da) \int db p^*(t_i, a, b) h_i(b) + \langle V, \varphi \rangle$$

with

$$\varphi(s) := \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{0 \leq s < t_i\}} \int db q(|b-c|, t_i-s) h_i(b), \quad s \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

It is easily checked that φ satisfies the finiteness condition (12). So we can use (10), (11) to calculate the Laplace functional of Z :

$$E \exp - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Z_{t_i}, h_i \rangle \quad (21)$$

$$= \exp \left[- \sum_{i=1}^n \int \mu(da) \int db p^*(t_i, a, b) h_i(b) - \langle \nu_\mu, w \rangle \right]$$

where, for $s \geq 0$,

$$w(s) + \varrho \int_s^\infty dr g(r-s) w^2(r) = \int_s^\infty dr g(r-s) \varphi(r).$$

By the definition (20) of φ and using the identities (17) and (19), we get that w satisfies the equation

$$w(s) + \varrho \int_s^\infty dr p(r-s, 0) w^2(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{s < t_i\}} \int da p(t_i - s, a - c) h_i(a).$$

Comparing this with (5) in the special case $b = c$, we obtain $w(s) = v(s, c)$ where v is the unique nonnegative solution of (5). We then use this integral equation and the definition (13) of ν_μ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu_\mu, w \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int \mu(db) \int_0^{t_i} ds q(|c-b|, s) \int da p(t_i - s, a - c) h_i(a) \\ &\quad - \varrho \int \mu(db) \int_0^\infty ds q(|c-b|, s) \int_s^\infty dr p(r-s, 0) v^2(r, c). \end{aligned}$$

Next we exploit (19) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu_\mu, w \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int \mu(db) \int_0^{t_i} ds q(|c-b|, s) \int da p(t_i - s, a - c) h_i(a) \\ &\quad - \varrho \int \mu(db) \int_0^\infty dr p(r, c-b) v^2(r, c). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the identity (18) the exponent in the right hand side of (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n \int \mu(db) \int da p^*(t_i, b, a) h_i(a) + \langle \nu_\mu, w \rangle \\ &= \int \mu(db) \sum_{i=1}^n \int da p(t_i, b-a) h_i(a) - \varrho \int \mu(db) \int_0^\infty dr p(r, c-b) v^2(r, c). \end{aligned}$$

But by (5) with $s = 0$, this is nothing else than $\int \mu(db) v(0, b)$. Inserting into (21) and comparing with (4), we get that Z has the same finite-dimensional

marginals as X . Hence [4] a version \bar{Z} of Z must be a (continuous) single point catalytic super-Brownian motion. However, it is immediate on the defining formula (16) of Z that $\langle Z_t, \varphi \rangle$ is a.s. continuous whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$ has a compact support not containing c . For such functions φ we have thus $\langle Z_t, \varphi \rangle = \langle \bar{Z}_t, \varphi \rangle$ for every $t \geq 0$ a.s. Moreover, we know from [4] that $\bar{Z}_t(\{c\}) = 0$, for every $t \geq 0$, a.s. Since the same property holds for Z by definition, we conclude that \bar{Z} and Z are indistinguishable, so that Z itself is a single point catalytic super-Brownian motion, what had to be proved.

Next we want to calculate the occupation density measures related to Z . Set

$$g^*(t, a, b) := \int_0^t ds p^*(s, a, b), \quad F(s) = \int_0^s dr q(1, r). \quad (22)$$

Observe that by the definition (16) of Z , for $h \in \mathcal{C}(R, R_+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t ds \int Z_s(db) h(b) \\ &= \int db h(b) \int \mu(da) g^*(t, a, b) + \int db h(b) \int_{[0,t]} V(ds) \int_s^t dr q(|b-c|, r-s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the scaling property $K^2 q(Ks, K^2b) = q(s, b)$ of the stable subordinator and the definition (22) of F , we conclude that the measure $\int_0^t ds Z_s$ has a density given by

$$b \mapsto \int \mu(da) g^*(t, a, b) + \int_{[0,t]} V(ds) F\left(\frac{t-s}{(b-c)^2}\right), \quad b \neq c. \quad (23)$$

Since F is a distribution function, by setting $F(\infty) = 1$ we see that the previous formula defines a continuous function of $b \in R$, which we can therefore identify with the (jointly continuous) occupation density field of Z (denoted again by y), taken at time t . Hence $\lambda^c([0, t]) = y_t(c) = V([0, t])$ a.s., for every fixed t . But both functions are left-continuous in t , and we get $\lambda^c = V$ a.s., as wanted.

We still have to prove the remaining assertions of Theorems 1 and 2. If μ is not concentrated at c , then by the definition (13) of the initial measure ν_μ , the topological support of ν_μ is R_+ . On the other hand, $\mu = \alpha \delta_c$ implies $\nu_\mu = \alpha \delta_0$. In both cases, the topological support of the total occupation measure V is R_+ by Theorem 1.5 of Perkins [13]. In particular, $V([0, \varepsilon]) > 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, a.s. Then Theorem 1 and the representation formula (15) show that $x_t(b) > 0$ for every $t > 0$, $b \neq c$, a.s. \square

Remark Let us briefly discuss the relationship between our approach and the results of [4]. Without any reference to [4], the previous proof shows that the process Z defined by (16) has the marginal distributions of the single point catalytic super-Brownian motion, as given in (4) and (5). We mainly needed to refer to [4] for the almost sure continuity of Z (a proof not depending on [4] would require some information on the local behavior of the random measure V). Our construction clearly gives the existence and joint continuity of the mass density field of Z on $\{t > 0\} \times \{b \neq c\}$. The joint continuity of the associated occupation density field on $R_+ \times R$ also follows from the explicit formula (23), provided we know a priori that the random measure V has no atoms (this fact can be easily deduced from the second moment formulas for V , see (39) and (48) below). Finally, we can also remark that the Laplace functionals of the density field $x_t(b)$ or the occupation density field $y_t(b)$ follow immediately from the expression of these quantities in terms of λ^c and the formulas of § 1.2. \diamond

2 Some Applications

In this section, we develop a few simple applications of our main results.

2.1 Measurability of the Past with respect to the Present

We will use Theorem 2 to obtain a somewhat surprising measurability property of X . We assume that this process is defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Corollary 3 ("backward" measurability) *Fix $t \geq 0$. Denote by $\sigma(X_t)$ the σ -field generated by X_t , augmented by the \mathcal{F} -measurable sets of P -probability zero. The random measure $1_{[0,t)}(s) \lambda^c(ds)$ is $\sigma(X_t)$ -measurable. Consequently, $(X_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ is $\sigma(X_t)$ -measurable.*

Proof Clearly, the densities $x_t(b)$, $b \neq c$ are $\sigma(X_t)$ -measurable. By the representation Theorem 2, this implies that, for every $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_0^t \lambda^c(ds) q(\alpha, t-s) = \int_0^t \lambda^c(ds) \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)^3}} \exp -\frac{\alpha^2}{2(t-s)}$$

is measurable with respect to $\sigma(X_t)$. Let $\eta(ds)$ denote the image measure of $1_{[0,t)}(s)(t-s)^{-3/2}\lambda^c(ds)$ by the mapping $s \mapsto (t-s)^{-1}$. We get that

$$\int \eta(dr) \exp -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} r$$

is $\sigma(X_t)$ -measurable for every $\alpha > 0$. It follows that η , hence $1_{[0,t)}(s)\lambda^c(ds)$ is $\sigma(X_t)$ -measurable. Finally, if r belongs to $[0, t]$, then by the representation formula (15), X_r is a measurable function of $1_{[0,t)}(s)\lambda^c(ds)$, finishing the proof. \square

2.2 Smoothness of the Mass Density Field

The next result shows that (outside the catalyst) $x_t(b)$ is much smoother than in the constant branching rate case (recall that the one-dimensional super-Brownian motion density field is commonly believed to have a critical Hölder index $1/2$, with respect to regularity in the space variable; see Reimers [14] for a one-sided estimate).

Corollary 4 (smoothness of the mass density field) *With probability one, the density field $x_t(b)$ is a C^∞ -function of (t, b) on the set $\{t > 0, b \neq c\}$ and satisfies the heat equation:*

$$\frac{\partial x_t(b)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 x_t(b)}{\partial b^2}, \quad t > 0, \quad b \neq c. \quad (24)$$

Proof By symmetry, we may restrict our attention to the domain $D := \{(t, b); t > 0, b > c\}$. We start from the representation formula (15) of x and first observe that the function

$$b \mapsto \int \mu(da) p^*(t, a, b)$$

is of class C^∞ on D and solves the heat equation in this domain. Therefore, we only need to consider the term

$$\bar{x}_t(b) := \int_0^t \lambda^c(ds) q(b-c, t-s), \quad (t, b) \in D. \quad (25)$$

Note that, for every choice of integers $k, \ell \geq 0$, the partial derivative

$$q_{k,\ell}(b, t) := \frac{\partial^{k+\ell}}{\partial t^k \partial b^\ell} q(b, t)$$

can be written as a finite linear combination of terms of the type

$$b^i t^{-3/2-j} \exp(-b^2/2t)$$

where i, j are nonnegative integers such that $i \leq j+1$. However $b^i t^{-3/2-j} = (b^2/t)^{3/2+j} b^{-3-2j+i}$ where $-3-2j+i \leq -2-j < 0$. Hence, for $k, \ell \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed,

$$\sup_{t>0, b \geq \varepsilon} |q_{k,\ell}(b, t)| < \infty. \quad (26)$$

We can then use this bound to verify by induction that the function $\bar{x}_t(b)$ defined in (25) is C^∞ in D and that

$$\frac{\partial^{k+\ell}}{\partial t^k \partial b^\ell} \bar{x}_t(b) = \int_0^t \lambda^c(ds) q_{k,\ell}(b-c, t-s). \quad (27)$$

In order to justify derivatives with respect to t , we also use the fact that, for $t < t'$,

$$\frac{1}{t'-t} \int_t^{t'} \lambda^c(ds) q_{k,\ell}(b-c, t'-s) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t'-t \rightarrow 0. \quad (28)$$

Since $q(b, t)$ satisfies the heat equation in $\{t > 0, b > 0\}$, from (27) it follows readily that $\bar{x}_t(b)$ solves the heat equation in D . \square

Remark The function $x_t(b)$ solves the heat equation in D with the *generalized boundary conditions* $\mu(db)$ on $\{t = 0\}$ and $\lambda^c(dt)$ on $\{b = c\}$. The latter condition should be understood as the a.s. statement

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow c} \int dt \varphi(t) x_t(b) = \int \lambda^c(dt) \varphi(t),$$

for every $\varphi \in C(R, R_+)$ (this convergence follows easily from the joint continuity of the occupation density field $y_t(b)$ on $R_+ \times R$). \diamond

2.3 Asymptotic Behavior

Recall that, for $a \geq 0$, $F(a) = \int_0^a db q(1, b)$, and for $a, b \in R$ set

$$g^*(a, b) := g^*(\infty, a, b) = \int_0^\infty dt p^*(t, a, b)$$

so that

$$g^*(a, b) = 1_{\{(a-c)(b-c)>0\}} 2(|a-c| \wedge |b-c|). \quad (29)$$

The next result is a refinement of Theorem 1.3.2 in [4]:

Corollary 5 (total occupation density) *With probability one, for every b in R ,*

$$y_t(b) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} y_\infty(b) := \int \mu(da) g^*(a, b) + \lambda^c(R_+),$$

where the random variable $\lambda^c(R_+)$ has a stable distribution with index $1/2$.

Proof We simply pass to the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the formula

$$y_t(b) = \int \mu(da) g^*(t, a, b) + \int_{[0,t)} \lambda^c(ds) F\left(\frac{t-s}{(b-c)^2}\right),$$

(recall (23)) using that $F(t) \uparrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In § 1.2 we have already noticed that $\lambda^c(R_+)$, or equivalently $V(R_+)$, has a stable distribution with index $1/2$. \square

Now we want to sharpen the local extinction Proposition 1.3.1 of [4]:

Corollary 6 (total mass process) *The total mass of X at time t is*

$$X_t(R) = \int \mu(da) \left(1 - F\left(\frac{t}{(a-c)^2}\right)\right) + \int_0^t \lambda^c(ds) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi(t-s)}}. \quad (30)$$

This total mass is (strictly) positive for every $t > 0$ a.s. and converges to 0 in probability as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof To get (30), integrate (15) with respect to db . The positivity of $X_t(R)$ follows from that of $x_t(b)$ (recall Theorem 2). Then, by dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \mu(da) \left(1 - F\left(\frac{t}{(a-c)^2}\right)\right) = 0.$$

To complete the proof, first notice that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t-1} \lambda^c(ds) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi(t-s)}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

because the measure λ^c is finite. To calculate the first moment of the remaining part of the integral we may replace λ^c by V and get by the well-known superprocess first-moment formula,

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_{t-1}^t \frac{V(ds)}{\sqrt{t-s}} &= \int_0^t \nu_\mu(dr) \int_r^\infty ds g(s-r) \frac{1_{\{t-1 \leq s \leq t\}}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \\ &= \int_0^t \nu_\mu(dr) \int_{(t-1) \vee r}^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)(s-r)}}. \end{aligned}$$

But this obviously converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by dominated convergence. \square

3 Singularity of the Occupation Density Measure at the Catalyst

3.1 Statement of the Result

By Theorem 1 the topological support of the measure λ^c coincides a.s. with R_+ . We also already mentioned that λ^c is a continuous measure. Nonetheless, in the case when $X_0 = \delta_c$, Dawson, Fleischmann, Li and Mueller [5] have recently proved that λ^c is a.s. singular. We will propose an *alternative approach* to that result, which applies to the case of a general initial state $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f$ and also provides some additional information.

Recall that by Theorem 1 the random measures λ^c and V are identically distributed provided that X and U are started with μ and ν_μ , respectively. We will now consider the super-stable subordinator U with a general initial state $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f$, and its total occupation measure $V = \int_0^\infty ds U_s$.

Set $h(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon \log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and denote by h -m the associated *Hausdorff measure*.

Theorem 7 (singularity of V). *We can find two positive constants C, C' such that, with probability one, for the set*

$$H := \left\{ b \in R; \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{V([b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon])}{\varepsilon \log \log(1/\varepsilon)} \geq C \right\}$$

we have $V(R \setminus H) = 0$ and $h\text{-m}(H) \leq C' V(R) < \infty$.

Roughly speaking, the theorem states that for V -almost all b one can find a sequence $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n(b) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that V has mass of order $\geq \varepsilon_n \log \log(1/\varepsilon_n)$ in the ε_n -vicinity of b . In particular, the "upper density" of the measure V in these points must be $+\infty$.

Note that the bound $h\text{-m}(H) \leq C' V(R)$ follows from the well-known density theorems of Rogers and Taylor [16] so that we only have to check that almost surely V is concentrated on H .

3.2 Canonical Measures and Campbell Measure Formula

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 7, we recall, in the special case of the process U , a few basic facts about canonical measures of superprocesses.

We refer to Section 4 in El Karoui and Roelly [8] (see also Dawson and Perkins [6] and Le Gall [10] for related results).

We assume that the process U is the *canonical* process on the space $\Omega := \mathcal{C}(R_+, \mathcal{M}_f)$ of all continuous functions from R_+ into \mathcal{M}_f . Denote by \mathbf{P}_ν the probability on Ω under which U is a super-stable subordinator (with index $1/2$) started at $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_f$. We also set $\sigma := \sup\{s; U_s \neq 0\}$ which represents the *extinction time* of U . Then, for every $b \in R$, the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{\varepsilon \delta_b} =: \mathbf{Q}_b \quad (31)$$

exists in the following "weak" sense. \mathbf{Q}_b is a σ -finite measure on Ω that does not charge the zero trajectory and satisfies:

- (i) $\mathbf{Q}_b(\sigma \geq t) < \infty$ for every $t > 0$,
- (ii) for every $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$, for every function φ continuous and bounded on \mathcal{M}_f^n such that $\varphi(0, \dots, 0) = 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon \delta_b} \varphi(U_{t_1}, \dots, U_{t_n}) = \mathbf{Q}_b \varphi(U_{t_1}, \dots, U_{t_n}).$$

The measures \mathbf{Q}_b are called the *canonical measures* of the super-stable subordinator U . Conversely, we can recover the laws \mathbf{P}_ν from the collection \mathbf{Q}_b in the following way. If $\mathcal{N}(d\omega)$ denotes a Poisson point measure on Ω with intensity $\int \nu(db) \mathbf{Q}_b(\cdot)$, then the process

$$\mathcal{U}_t = \int \mathcal{N}(d\omega) U_t(\omega), \quad t \geq 0$$

has distribution \mathbf{P}_ν (see Théorème 17 in [8]). In other words, the measures \mathbf{Q}_b describe the "cluster processes" of the infinitely divisible law \mathbf{P}_ν . Notice that $\mathcal{V} := \int \mathcal{N}(d\omega) V(\omega)$ is the total occupation measure of \mathcal{U} .

We now observe that it is enough to check that the statement of Theorem 7 holds a.e. under every measure \mathbf{Q}_b (by translation invariance it even suffices to consider \mathbf{Q}_0). Indeed, we can then apply this result to each atom in the (countable) support of $\mathcal{N}(d\omega)$ and easily conclude that the same property holds for the total occupation measure \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} .

We need to derive a few properties of the canonical measures \mathbf{Q}_b . Using the classical *first-moment formula* for superprocesses and the connection between measures \mathbf{P}_ν and \mathbf{Q}_b , one immediately obtains the formula

$$\mathbf{Q}_b \langle V, \varphi \rangle = \int da g(a-b) \varphi(a) \quad (32)$$

for any nonnegative measurable function φ on R .

Another key ingredient is the Campbell measure formula we will now establish. On the Skorohod space $\mathcal{D}([0, t], R_+)$, let $P_b^t(df)$ denote the law of the stable subordinator with index $1/2$ started at b (and running on the time interval $[0, t]$). Let Θ denote the space of all *point measures* on $R \times \Omega$ (precisely, the set of all counting measures on $R \times \Omega$ that are finite on sets of the type $[0, t] \times \{\sigma \geq \varepsilon\}$) and write θ for the generic element of Θ . For $f \in \mathcal{D}([0, t], R_+)$, we let $\mathbf{P}^f(d\theta)$ denote the unique probability measure on Θ under which $\theta(ds d\omega)$ is a *Poisson point measure on $R \times \Omega$ with intensity*

$$2\varrho 1_{[0, t]}(s) ds \mathbf{Q}_{f(s)}(d\omega). \quad (33)$$

The announced formula now reads as follows:

Proposition 8 (Campbell measure formula) *For $b \in R$ and every non-negative measurable function Φ on $R \times \mathcal{M}_f$,*

$$\mathbf{Q}_b \int V(da) \Phi(a, V) = \int_0^\infty dt \int P_b^t(df) \mathbf{E}^f \Phi\left(f(t), \int \theta(ds d\omega) V(\omega)\right). \quad (34)$$

Formulas such as (34) are part of the folklore of the subject (see [11] for the case of super-Brownian motion and also [6], Section 4, and [10] for closely related facts). For the sake of completeness, we will provide a short proof. But first let us briefly give a *heuristic interpretation* of formula (34). The left-hand side of (34) describes the "law" of the pair (a, V) where V is the total occupation measure $\int_0^\infty dt U_t$ under the canonical measure \mathbf{Q}_b , and a is a point "chosen" according to $V(da)$. In the right-hand side one selects "at random" a point t from R_+ , and considers the value $f(t)$ at time t of a stable subordinator with index $1/2$ starting at b . Moreover, at Poissonian time points s along the path $f(s)$, one starts "cluster processes" ω "distributed" according to $\mathbf{Q}_{f(s)}(d\omega)$, and then one superimposes their total occupation measures $V(\omega)$ to arrive at the quantity $\int \theta(ds d\omega) V(\omega)$. The formula (34) states that the pair $\left(f(t), \int \theta(ds d\omega) V(\omega)\right)$ constructed in this way has the same "law" as the previously defined pair (a, V) .

Proof It is enough to consider the case $\Phi(y, V) = \varphi(y) \exp - \langle V, \psi \rangle$ where both φ and ψ are nonnegative continuous functions on R with compact support. Using the Poisson exponential formula, the right-hand side of (34)

can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dt \int P_b^t(df) \varphi(f(t)) \exp -2\rho \int_0^t ds \mathbf{Q}_{f(s)} (1 - \exp - \langle V, \psi \rangle) \\ &= E_b \int_0^\infty dt \varphi(\xi_t) \exp -2\rho \int_0^t ds w(\xi_s) =: \tilde{u}(b) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $w(a) := \mathbf{Q}_a (1 - \exp - \langle V, \psi \rangle)$ and the process $(\xi_s, s \geq 0)$ is a stable subordinator with index $1/2$ that starts at b under the probability measure P_b .

On the other hand, the left-hand side of (34) is

$$u(b) := \mathbf{Q}_b \langle V, \varphi \rangle \exp - \langle V, \psi \rangle.$$

For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $b \in R$, set

$$w_\lambda(b) := \mathbf{Q}_b (1 - \exp - \langle V, \lambda\varphi + \psi \rangle). \quad (36)$$

Then $w_\lambda(b) \leq \mathbf{Q}_b \langle V, \lambda\varphi + \psi \rangle$ and the first-moment formula (32) shows that the functions w_λ are uniformly bounded over R . Now recall the way the measures \mathbf{P}_ν can be reconstructed from the canonical measures \mathbf{Q}_b . Using the exponential formula for Poisson measures and comparing with formulas (10) and (11), we immediately get that w_λ is the unique nonnegative solution of

$$w_\lambda(b) + \rho \int da g(a-b) w_\lambda^2(a) = \int da g(a-b) (\lambda\varphi + \psi)(a). \quad (37)$$

Moreover, by differentiating (36),

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} w_\lambda(b) \right|_{\lambda=0+} = \mathbf{Q}_b \langle V, \varphi \rangle \exp - \langle V, \psi \rangle = u(b).$$

The justification is easy thanks to the finiteness of first moments (32) and bounded convergence. We can then differentiate (37) with respect to λ at $\lambda = 0+$ to get

$$u(b) + 2\rho \int da g(a-b) u(a) w(a) = \int da g(a-b) \varphi(a). \quad (38)$$

The justification is again easy because both the functions w_λ and their derivatives with respect to $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ are uniformly bounded and vanish outside some common bounded region. A Gronwall lemma-type argument

shows that u is uniquely determined by equation (38) when w and φ are given. It is then a simple exercise to check that the function ("Feynman-Kac solution") \tilde{u} of (35) solves (38). Hence $u = \tilde{u}$, which completes the proof. \square

We shall finally need the *second-moment formula* for the total occupation measure V under \mathbf{Q}_b :

$$\mathbf{Q}_b \langle V, \varphi \rangle^2 = 2\varrho \int da \varphi(a) \int da' \varphi(a') \int dz g(z-b)g(a-z)g(a'-z) \quad (39)$$

for every nonnegative measurable function φ on R . This formula can for instance be obtained by taking $\Phi(a, V) = \varphi(a) \langle V, \varphi \rangle$ in (34).

3.3 Proof of the Singularity Theorem

As already explained, the proof of Theorem 7 reduces to finding a constant $C > 0$ such that, \mathbf{Q}_0 a.e., $V(da)$ a.e.,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{V([a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon])}{h(\varepsilon)} \geq C.$$

By Proposition 8, we see that this claim is in turn equivalent to checking that dt a.e., $P_0^t(df)$ a.s., $\mathbf{P}^f(d\theta)$ a.s.,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon \log \log(1/\varepsilon)} \int_{[0,t] \times \Omega} \theta(ds d\omega) V(\omega) \left([f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon] \right) \geq C. \quad (40)$$

where we now write $f_t = f(t)$ for convenience. We can in fact even verify the existence of a constant C such that (40) holds for all $t > 0$, $P_0^t(df)$ a.s., $\mathbf{P}^f(d\theta)$ a.s. We fix $t > 0$ and consider the unique integer N such that $2^{-N} \leq t < 2^{-N+1}$. For every integer $n \geq N$, we consider the following subset of $\mathcal{D}([0, t], R)$:

$$H_n := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{D}([0, t], R); f_s \in [f_t - 2^{-2n+1}, f_t - 2^{-2n}], \forall s \in [t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] \right\}.$$

Next introduce the *scaling transformation*

$$\mathbf{T} : (f(s), 0 \leq s \leq t) \mapsto \left(4 \left[f\left(\frac{t}{2} + \frac{s}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right], 0 \leq s \leq t \right).$$

Note that $\mathbf{T}^{-1}H_n = H_{n+1}$ and that the law P_0^t of the stable subordinator with index $1/2$ is invariant with respect to \mathbf{T} . We can then apply Birkhoff's

individual ergodic theorem, together with Blumenthal's zero-one law for the stable subordinator reversed at time t , to obtain $P_0^t(df)$ a.s.

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=N}^m 1_{H_n}(f) = c_0 > 0, \quad (41)$$

where $c_0 = P_0^t(H_N)$ does not depend on t , again by a scaling argument.

We now fix $f \in \mathcal{D}([0, t], R)$ such that (41) holds, and we will prove for this function f that (40) is true $\mathbf{P}^f(d\theta)$ a.s. for a suitable constant C independent of t , f and θ .

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 \leq r \leq u \leq t$, set

$$W_\varepsilon[r, u] := \int_{[r, u] \times \Omega} \theta(ds d\omega) V(\omega) \left([f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon] \right). \quad (42)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \geq N$ be such that $2^{-2n} > 3\varepsilon$ and $f \in H_n$. By the definition of the law \mathbf{P}^f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^f W_\varepsilon[t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] &= 2\rho \int_{t-2^{-n}}^{t-2^{-n-1}} ds \mathbf{Q}_{f_s} V \left([f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon] \right) \\ &= 2\rho \int_{t-2^{-n}}^{t-2^{-n-1}} ds \int_{f_t - \varepsilon}^{f_t + \varepsilon} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{2\pi(r - f_s)}}, \end{aligned}$$

using the first-moment formula (32) together with the explicit expression (9) for the Green function g and also observing that $r - f_s \geq 2\varepsilon > 0$ in the range of integration, by our assumption $f \in H_n$. More precisely, the bound $2^{-2n-1} \leq r - f_s \leq 2^{-2n+2}$ for $r \in [f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon]$ leads to the following estimate

$$c_1 \varepsilon \leq \mathbf{E}^f W_\varepsilon[t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] \leq C_1 \varepsilon \quad (43)$$

where $c_1 = 2^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/2} \rho$, $C_1 = 2 \pi^{-1/2} \rho$.

Keeping the same assumptions on ε, n, f , we turn to the variance with respect to \mathbf{P}^f :

$$\text{var}_{\mathbf{P}^f} W_\varepsilon[t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] = 2\rho \int_{t-2^{-n}}^{t-2^{-n-1}} ds \mathbf{Q}_{f_s} V^2 \left([f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon] \right).$$

By the second-moment formula (39) and (9), for $f_s \in [f_t - 2^{-2n+1}, f_t - 2^{-2n}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Q}_{f_s} V^2([f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon]) \\
&= 2\varrho (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{[f_t - \varepsilon, f_t + \varepsilon]^2} da da' \int_{f_s}^{a \wedge a'} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z - f_s)(a - z)(a' - z)}} \\
&= 8\varrho (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int_{f_s}^{f_t + \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z - f_s}} \left(\sqrt{f_t + \varepsilon - z} - \sqrt{(f_t - \varepsilon) \vee z - z} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider first the part of the integral corresponding to $z \geq f_t - 2\varepsilon$. On this set, $z - f_s \geq 2^{-2n-2}$, and omitting the second square root, we get the upper bound $2^n(3\varepsilon)^2$ for this part of the integral. By a linear approximation, the other part can be estimated from above by

$$\varepsilon^2 \int_{f_s}^{f_t - 2\varepsilon} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z - f_s}(f_t - \varepsilon - z)}.$$

If $z \leq (f_s + f_t - 2\varepsilon)/2$ then $f_t - \varepsilon - z \geq (f_t - f_s)/2 \geq 2^{-2n-1}$, whereas

$$\int_{f_s}^{(f_s + f_t - 2\varepsilon)/2} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z - f_s}} \leq 2\sqrt{(f_t - f_s)/2} \leq 2^{-n+1},$$

resulting into a term $\varepsilon^2 2^{n+2}$. In the remaining case $z > (f_s + f_t - 2\varepsilon)/2$ we use $z - f_s \geq (f_t - f_s)/2 - \varepsilon \geq 2^{-2n-4}$, whereas

$$\int_{(f_s + f_t - 2\varepsilon)/2}^{f_t - 2\varepsilon} \frac{dz}{f_t - \varepsilon - z} = \log \frac{f_t - f_s}{2\varepsilon} \leq \log \frac{2^{-2n}}{\varepsilon},$$

giving a term $\varepsilon^2 2^{n+1} \log \frac{2^{-2n}}{\varepsilon}$. Putting all these terms together, we get

$$\text{var}_{\mathbf{P}_f} W_\varepsilon[t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] \leq c_2 \varepsilon^2 \log \frac{2^{-2n}}{\varepsilon}.$$

Combining with the first-moment upper bound in (43) we conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}^f W_\varepsilon^2[1 - 2^{-n}, 1 - 2^{-n-1}] \leq c_3 \varepsilon^2 \log \frac{2^{-2n}}{\varepsilon}. \quad (44)$$

Clearly, the constants c_2 and c_3 do not depend on t, f, ε, n , under our assumptions $f \in H_n$, $2^{-2n} > 3\varepsilon$.

Next we use the elementary inequality

$$P\left(\xi \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \geq \frac{(E\xi)^2}{4E\xi^2} \quad \text{if } E\xi \geq \delta \geq 0.$$

Then from the lower bound in (43) and (44) we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}^f\left(W_\varepsilon[t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] \geq \frac{c_1}{2} \varepsilon\right) \geq \frac{c_1^2}{4c_3} \left(\log \frac{2^{-2n}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}.$$

Now we specialize to $\varepsilon := 2^{-2m}$ where $m > n + 1$, $n \geq N$ and let the (measurable) subset A_n^m of Θ be defined by

$$A_n^m := \left\{W_{2^{-2m}}[t - 2^{-n}, t - 2^{-n-1}] \geq \frac{c_1}{2} 2^{-2m}\right\}. \quad (45)$$

Then our inequality gives

$$\mathbf{P}^f(A_n^m) \geq \frac{c_1^2}{4c_3} \left(\log \frac{2^{-2n}}{2^{-2m}}\right)^{-1} = c_4 (m - n)^{-1} \quad (46)$$

where $c_4 := c_1^2/(8c_3 \log 2) > 0$. Recall that we only considered n such that $f \in H_n$. Summing up over all these values of n yields

$$\sum_{\{n; f \in H_n, N \leq n < m-1\}} \mathbf{P}^f(A_n^m) \geq c_4 \sum_{\{n; f \in H_n, N \leq n < m-1\}} (m - n)^{-1}. \quad (47)$$

Now recall that by assumption f satisfies (41). Let c_5 be any constant with $0 < c_5 < c_0 c_4$. An elementary reasoning shows that there must exist a sequence $m_k \uparrow \infty$ (depending on the fixed function f) such that

$$\sum_{\{n; f \in H_n, N \leq n < m_k-1\}} \mathbf{P}^f(A_n^{m_k}) \geq c_5 \log m_k$$

for every k . In fact, if we assume that there exist no such sequence m_k , we easily arrive at a contradiction with (41) and (47).

We have in particular

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\{n; f \in H_n, N \leq n < m_k-1\}} \mathbf{P}^f(A_n^{m_k}) = \infty$$

which implies, using the independence of the events A_n^m (for m fixed),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\{n; f \in H_n, N \leq n < m_k-1\}} 1_{A_n^{m_k}}}{\mathbf{E}^f \sum_{\{n; f \in H_n, N \leq n < m_k-1\}} 1_{A_n^{m_k}}} = 1$$

in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbf{P}^f)$. By extracting a subsequence converging a.s., we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log m_k} \sum_{n=N}^{m_k} 1_{A_n^{m_k}} \geq c_5,$$

\mathbf{P}^f a.s. From the definition (45) of the sets A_n^m and the additivity of W_ε (recall (42)), we conclude

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{-2m_k} \log m_k} W_{2^{-2m_k}}[0, t] \geq \frac{c_5 c_1}{2},$$

\mathbf{P}^f a.s. Consequently,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{-2m_k} \log m_k} \int \theta(ds d\omega) V(\omega) \left([f_t - 2^{-2m_k}, f_t + 2^{-2m_k}] \right) \geq C$$

completing the proof of (40) and of Theorem 7. \square

3.4 Remarks

1. As we already mentioned, the carrying dimension of λ^c is 1 a.s. This result can be easily recovered from the second moment formula (39), using essentially the same argument as in [4]. Formula (39) and some easy calculations imply that, for $0 < \delta < K$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$,

$$E \int_{[\delta, K]^2} \frac{V(da)V(db)}{|a-b|^\gamma} < \infty. \quad (48)$$

Then, the classical connection between Hausdorff dimension and capacity shows that a.s. for any Borel set H supporting $V(da)$ we have $\dim H = 1$. \diamond

2. Since the measure λ^c is a.s. singular,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda^c([t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon])}{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad dt \text{ a.e., a.s.}$$

The representation formula (15) and some easy estimates then give

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow c} x_t(b) = 0, \quad dt \text{ a.e., a.s.} \quad (49)$$

(compare with Theorem 1.2.3 of [4]). On the other hand, a minor modification of the proof of Theorem 7 gives

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda^c([t - \varepsilon, t - \varepsilon/2])}{\varepsilon \log \log(1/\varepsilon)} \geq C > 0, \quad \lambda^c(dt) \text{ a.e., a.s.}$$

This result combined with the representation (15) shows that

$$\limsup_{b \rightarrow c} \frac{x_t(b)}{\log \log(1/|b - c|)} \geq C' > 0, \quad \lambda^c(dt) \text{ a.e., a.s.}$$

which is in contrast to (49). (Recall also the heuristic explanations given in § 1.1.) \diamond

3. The method of proof of Theorem 7 is inspired from Le Gall and Perkins [12]. The function h in Theorem 7 is however certainly not the best possible. \diamond

References

- [1] DAWSON, D.A. (1978) Limit theorems for interaction free geostochastic systems. *Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* **24**, pp. 27-47, Point Processes and Queuing Problems, Keszthely (Hungary)
- [2] DAWSON, D.A. (1993) Measure-valued Markov Processes. École d'été de probabilités de Saint Flour 1991. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1541**, pp. 1-260. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York
- [3] DAWSON, D.A. and FLEISCHMANN, K. (1991) Critical branching in a highly fluctuating random medium. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **90** 241-274
- [4] DAWSON, D.A. and FLEISCHMANN, K. (1994) A Super-Brownian motion with a single point catalyst. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* (to appear)
- [5] DAWSON, D.A., FLEISCHMANN, K., LI, Y. and MUELLER, C. (1993) Singularity of super-Brownian local time at a point catalyst. Preprint No. 56, IAAS Berlin
- [6] DAWSON, D.A. and PERKINS, E.A. (1991) Historical Processes. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **454**
- [7] DYNKIN, E.B. (1991) Branching particle systems and superprocesses. *Ann. Probab.* **19** 1157-1194
- [8] EL KAROUI, N. and ROELLY, S. (1991) Propriétés de martingales, explosion et représentation de Lévy-Khintchine d'une classe de processus de branchement à valeurs mesures. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **38** 239-266
- [9] ISCOE, I. (1986) A weighted occupation time for a class of measure-valued critical branching Brownian motions. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **71** 85-116
- [10] LE GALL, J.-F. (1991) Brownian excursions, trees and measure-valued branching processes. *Ann. Probab.* **19** 1399-1439

- [11] LE GALL, J.-F. (1994) The Brownian path process. (In preparation)
- [12] LE GALL, J.-F. and PERKINS, E.A. (1994) The exact Hausdorff measure of two-dimensional super-Brownian motion. (In preparation)
- [10] PERKINS, E.A. (199) Polar sets and multiple points for super-Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.* **18** 450-491
- [14] REIMERS, M. (1989) One dimensional stochastic partial differential equations and the branching measure diffusion. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **81** 019-04
- [15] REVUZ, D. and Yor, M. (1991) Continuous martingales and Brownian motion. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York
- [16] ROGERS, C.A. and TAYLOR, S.J. (1961) Functions continuous and singular with respect to a Hausdorff measure. *Mathematika* **8** 1-01

Institute of Applied Analysis and Stochastics
 Mohrenstr. 39
 D - 10117 Berlin, Germany
 e-mail: fleischmann@iaas-berlin.d400.de

Laboratoire de Probabilités
 Université Paris VI
 4, Place Jussieu, Tour 56
 F - 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
 e-mail: gall@ccr.jussieu.fr

typeset by \LaTeX

Recent publications of the Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

Preprints 1993

52. D.A. Dawson, K. Fleischmann: Super-Brownian motions in higher dimensions with absolutely continuous measure states.
53. A. Koshelev: Regularity of solutions for some problems of mathematical physics.
54. J. Elschner, I.G. Graham: An optimal order collocation method for first kind boundary integral equations on polygons.
55. R. Schlundt: Iterative Verfahren für lineare Gleichungssysteme auf Distributed Memory Systemen.
56. D.A. Dawson, K. Fleischmann, Y. Li, C. Müller: Singularity of super-Brownian local time at a point catalyst.
57. N. Hofmann, E. Platen: Stability of weak numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations.
58. H.G. Bothe: The Hausdorff dimension of certain attractors.
59. I.P. Ivanova, G.A. Kamenskij: On the smoothness of the solution to a boundary value problem for a differential-difference equation.
60. A. Bovier, V. Gayraud: Rigorous results on the Hopfield model of neural networks.
61. M.H. Neumann: Automatic bandwidth choice and confidence intervals in nonparametric regression.
62. C.J. van Duijn, P. Knabner: Travelling wave behaviour of crystal dissolution in porous media flow.
63. J. Förste: Zur mathematischen Modellierung eines Halbleiterinjektionslasers mit Hilfe der Maxwellschen Gleichungen bei gegebener Stromverteilung.
64. A. Juhl: On the functional equations of dynamical theta functions I.
65. J. Borchardt, I. Bremer: Zur Analyse großer strukturierter chemischer Reaktionssysteme mit Waveform-Iterationsverfahren.
66. G. Albinus, H.-Ch. Kaiser, J. Rehberg: On stationary Schrödinger-Poisson equations.

67. J. Schmeling, R. Winkler: Typical dimension of the graph of certain functions.
68. A.J. Homburg: On the computation of hyperbolic sets and their invariant manifolds.
69. J.W. Barrett, P. Knabner: Finite element approximation of transport of reactive solutes in porous media. Part 2: Error estimates for equilibrium adsorption processes.
70. H. Gajewski, W. Jäger, A. Koshelev: About loss of regularity and "blow up" of solutions for quasilinear parabolic systems.
71. F. Grund: Numerical solution of hierarchically structured systems of algebraic-differential equations.
72. H. Schurz: Mean square stability for discrete linear stochastic systems.
73. R. Tribe: A travelling wave solution to the Kolmogorov equation with noise.
74. R. Tribe: The long term behavior of a Stochastic PDE.
75. A. Glitzky, K. Gröger, R. Hünlich: Rothe's method for equations modelling transport of dopants in semiconductors.
76. W. Dahmen, B. Kleemann, S. Pröbldorf, R. Schneider: A multiscale method for the double layer potential equation on a polyhedron.
77. H.G. Bothe: Attractors of non invertible maps.
78. G. Milstein, M. Nussbaum: Autoregression approximation of a nonparametric diffusion model.

Preprints 1994

79. A. Bovier, V. Gayrard, P. Picco: Gibbs states of the Hopfield model in the regime of perfect memory.
80. R. Duduchava, S. Pröbldorf: On the approximation of singular integral equations by equations with smooth kernels.