

# Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Preprint

ISSN 0946 – 8633

## Identical synchronization of time-continuous chaotic oscillators

Serhiy Yanchuk<sup>1</sup>, Yuri Maistrenko<sup>2</sup>, Erik Mosekilde<sup>3</sup>

submitted: 22nd November 2002


<sup>1</sup> Weierstrass Institute  
for Applied Analysis  
and Stochastics  
Mohrenstr. 39  
D – 10117 Berlin  
Germany  
E-Mail: yanchuk@wias-berlin.de

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematics  
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
Kiev, 01601  
Ukraine  
E-Mail: maistrenko@imath.kiev.ua

<sup>3</sup> Center for Chaos and Turbulence Studies  
Technical University of Denmark  
2800 Kgs. Lyngby  
Denmark  
E-Mail: Erik.Mosekilde@fysik.dtu.dk

No. 788

Berlin 2002



---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C15, 34D05, 34D20.

*Key words and phrases.* chaotic synchronization, coupled oscillators, Rössler systems, riddled basins.

Edited by  
Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS)  
Mohrenstraße 39  
D — 10117 Berlin  
Germany

Fax: + 49 30 2044975  
E-Mail: [preprint@wias-berlin.de](mailto:preprint@wias-berlin.de)  
World Wide Web: <http://www.wias-berlin.de/>

## Abstract

Considering a system of two coupled identical chaotic oscillators, the paper first establishes the conditions of transverse stability for the fully synchronized chaotic state. Periodic orbit threshold theory is applied to determine the bifurcations through which low-periodic orbits embedded in the fully synchronized state lose their transverse stability, and the appearance of globally and locally riddled basins of attraction is discussed in terms of the sub-, respectively super-critical nature of the riddling bifurcations. We show how the introduction of a small parameter mismatch between the interacting chaotic oscillators causes a shift of the synchronization manifold. The presence of a coupling asymmetry is found to lead to further modifications of the destabilization process. Finally, the paper considers the problem of partial synchronization in a system of four coupled Rössler oscillators.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the early 1980's, Fujisaka and Yamada [1] showed how two identical chaotic oscillators under variation of the coupling strength can attain a state of complete synchronization in which the motion of the coupled system takes place on an invariant subspace of phase space, the synchronization manifold. This type of chaotic synchronization has subsequently been studied by a significant number of investigators, and a variety of applications for chaos suppression, for monitoring and control of dynamical systems, and for different communication purposes have been suggested [2, 3]. In addition to various electronic systems [4, 5], synchronization of identical chaotic oscillators has also been investigated for laser systems [6], for coupled superconducting Josephson junctions [7], and for interacting electrochemical reactors [8].

Similar phenomena are of interest in connection with a variety of different biological [9, 10] and economic [11] systems. It has long been recognized, for instance, that the insulin producing  $\beta$ -cells of the pancreas produce complicated patterns of spikes and bursts in their membrane potentials [12], and these dynamics may also become chaotic [13]. The  $\beta$ -cells interact with one another via the diffusive exchange of ions and small molecules through gap junctions, and, as experiments show [14], this interaction can lead to synchronization of larger groups of cells in an islet of Langerhans. In the field of macroeconomics, individual sectors and industries interact via the exchange of goods and units of capital, and this interaction may cause the industries to synchronize their individual commodity and investment cycles so as to produce the well-known business cycles [15].

For systems of three (or more) coupled identical chaotic oscillators, one can observe the phenomenon of partial synchronization [16] where some of the oscillators synchronize while others do not. This phenomena is again of interest in connection with the development of various communication systems. In the biological systems one often encounters large ensembles of interacting cells or functional units, each displaying complicated nonlinear dynamic phenomena. With dominating local (i.e., nearest-neighbor) coupling, such ensembles trend to display various forms of traveling waves and spatiotemporal chaos [17]. With dominant global coupling, on the other hand, i.e., when each oscillator is coupled to a mean field produced by the average dynamics of the whole ensemble of oscillators, one can observe the phenomenon of clustering [18, 19]. The fully synchronized state splits up into groups of oscillators such that the oscillators within each group maintain synchrony, but there is no synchronization between the groups.

An important question that arises in connection with the synchronization of identical chaotic oscillators concerns the form of the basin of attraction for the fully synchronized chaotic state, i.e. for which initial conditions will the oscillators be able to synchronize if they are started out of synchrony? Other important questions relate to the stability of the synchronized state to a small parameter mismatch between the interacting oscillators and to the behavior of the coupled system, once the synchronization breaks down. Studies of these and related phenomena have lead to the discovery of variety of new phenomena, including riddled basins of attraction [20], soft and hard riddling bifurcations [21], and on-off intermittency [22]. Interesting is also the particular form of chaos-hyperchaos transition [23, 24], that occurs in connection with the loss of complete synchronization.

The purpose of the present paper is to illustrate some of the basic phenomena that one can observe in connection with chaotic synchronization in time-continuous systems. Our presentation is based on a series of recent publications in which we have investigated riddling and blowout bifurcations in systems of coupled Rössler oscillators [25, 26, 27] and coupled pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells [28]. Periodic orbit threshold theory [29, 30] is applied to a system of two coupled Rössler oscillators in order to determine the bifurcations through which low-periodic orbits embedded in the fully synchronized chaotic state lose their transverse stability. The appearance of locally and globally riddled basins of attraction is discussed in terms of the sub-, respectively supercritical nature of the riddling bifurcations in which the first low periodic orbit loses its transverse stability. We show how the introduction of a small parameter mismatch between the interacting oscillators and of a coupling asymmetry modifies the riddling bifurcation. The significance of other stable states in the vicinity of the synchronized chaotic state is discussed, and the paper also presents some preliminary results on clustering in systems of many coupled chaotic oscillators.

## II. TRANSVERSE STABILITY OF THE SYNCHRONOUS ATTRACTOR

We consider a system of two coupled oscillators of the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + C(y - x), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = f(y) + C(x - y). \quad (1)$$

Here  $x$  and  $y$  represent the state variables for the oscillators, and the matrix  $C$  stands for the coupling. The nonlinear function  $f$  determines the dynamics of the individual system without coupling. For simplicity, we consider a diagonal coupling matrix  $C = \text{diag}\{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$  where  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and  $d_3$  are parameters measuring the coupling strength.

Although system (1) has a relatively simple form, it incorporates important features of a large class of coupled oscillator systems and, as we will show, it manifests a great variety of complex behaviors. Hence, Eq. (1) can be regarded as a model for the qualitative description of many different phenomena that arise in coupled oscillator systems.

An important feature of system (1) is that the hyperplane  $x = y$  is invariant. This set is usually called the *synchronization manifold*. The invariance implies that if at a given time  $t_1$  the states of the two oscillators coincide  $x(t_1) = y(t_1)$ , then they will coincide for all subsequent moments  $x(t) = y(t)$ . The motion within the synchronization manifold is described by the equation for the single oscillator  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ .

If we consider models of real-world systems, it is naturally to assume that there exists an attracting set  $\mathcal{A}$  for the single uncoupled oscillator, i.e.  $x(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for all initial conditions from some neighborhood of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We confine our analysis to the case where the set  $\mathcal{A}$  is chaotic. As a specific example, we consider a Rössler system with an isochronous attractor determined by the following right-hand side:

$$f_R = \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 - x_3 \\ x_1 + ax_2 \\ b + x_3(x_1 - c) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

with the constants  $a = 0.42$ ,  $b = 2.0$ , and  $c = 4.0$ . Illustrations of how similar approaches work for a model of chaotically spiking pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells may be found in our papers [27, 28]. The  $\beta$ -cell model [31] is a stiff system of differential equations in  $R^3$ . The attractors of the corresponding individual oscillators are shown in Fig. 1(a) for the Rössler oscillator and in Fig. 1(b) for the biological cell model, respectively.

In both cases the synchronization manifold of the coupled system (1) contains a chaotic attractor  $A = \{(x, y) : x = y \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . The stability properties of this attractor constitutes the main problem of identical synchronization. In the following, we will refer to  $A$  as the synchronous attractor. We will say that the system becomes *synchronized* if the set  $A$  is asymptotically stable, i.e.  $(x, y) \rightarrow A$  with  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for some open set of initial conditions  $U$  in full phase space. As we shall see later, the




Figure 1: Typical attractors of the Rössler system (a) and of the pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell model (b).

coupled oscillators can become synchronized for sufficiently strong coupling. If the condition  $\|x(t) - y(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  holds for all initial conditions from  $U = R^6$ , then we have global identical synchronization. Strictly speaking, the above definition depends on the choice of the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence, in the case of a multistability for the individual oscillator, the situation may arise where one synchronous attractor is stable while, for the same coupling, another is not.

The largest transverse Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_{\perp}$  provides a measure of the average stability of the synchronized chaotic state with respect to perturbations perpendicular to the synchronization manifold. As long as  $\lambda_{\perp}$  is negative, the synchronized state is at least weakly stable [32]. The transition in which  $\lambda_{\perp}$  becomes positive is referred to as the blowout bifurcation. This transition may lead to an abrupt loss of stability for the synchronized chaotic state. Alternatively, one may observe the interesting form of bursting behavior known as on-off intermittency. Immediately after the blowout bifurcation, as long as  $\lambda_{\perp}$  is still relatively small, a trajectory started near the synchronized chaotic state may spend a long time in the neighborhood of this state. However, sooner or later the repelling character of the synchronized state manifests itself, and the trajectory exhibits a burst in which it moves far out in phase space. Provided that the trajectory does not “find” another limiting state or diverge to infinity, after some time it will return to the neighborhood of the synchronized state, and the process will continue to repeat itself in an apparently random manner. On-off intermittency is distinguished from other, more conventional, forms of intermittency by the fact that the laminar phase is chaotic.

Riddled basins of attraction may be observed on the other side of the blowout bifurcation where  $\lambda_{\perp} < 0$ . Even though the synchronized chaotic state is now attracting on the average, particular orbits embedded in this state may be transversely unstable. As a result one can observe a situation where the synchronized chaotic state attracts a positive Lebesgue measure set of points from its vicinity. Arbitrary close to any such point, however, there will be a positive Lebesgue measure set of points that are repelled from the synchronized state. The transition in which the first orbit on the synchronized state loses its transverse stability is referred to as the riddling bifurcation. As we shall show, this bifurcation may be either sub- or supercritical in nature, and the type of criticality has a significant influence on the character of

the riddling behavior.

The fact that the synchronization manifold has a simple geometrical structure (i.e. just a hyperplane) gives us an easy way to introduce a coordinate system that can separate the state variables into the longitudinal  $\eta = x + y$  coordinates and the coordinates  $\xi = x - y$  transverse to the synchronization manifold. Then for all synchronous motions we have  $\xi = 0$  and

$$x(t) = y(t) = s(t), \quad \dot{s} = f(s), \quad \eta(t) = 2s(t). \quad (3)$$

Stability of the attractor  $A$  within the synchronization manifold follows from the stability of the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  for the individual oscillator. Hence, the main problem is reduced to an analysis of the stability of the synchronous attractor along the transverse directions  $\xi$ . The local analysis for transverse perturbations  $\delta\xi$  brings us to the following equation:

$$\delta\dot{\xi} = [Df(s(t)) - 2C]\delta\xi, \quad (4)$$

where  $s(t)$  is the considered synchronous motion (3). Equation (4) can be obtained as the transverse part of the variational equation for the synchronous solution (3):

$$\delta\dot{\xi} = [Df(s(t)) - 2C]\delta\xi, \quad \delta\dot{\eta} = Df(s(t))\delta\eta. \quad (5)$$

Hence, the local stability properties of the synchronous attractor  $A$  are given by the stability properties of the equilibrium  $\delta\xi = 0$  of system (4) with  $s(t) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

### III. CONDITIONS FOR SYNCHRONIZATION

The methods for obtaining the conditions of synchronization described in the literature [1, 3, 25, 27, 33] are mainly based on an investigation of equation (4), i.e. they exploit local analysis. We can roughly divide them into two groups: analytical and numerical.

#### A. Analytical methods.

Applying the Lyapunov function criteria with the function  $V = \|\delta\xi\|^2$  to equation (4) we obtain the following sufficient conditions for synchronization [33, 34]:

$$\delta\xi^T (Df^T(s) + Df^T(s) - 4C)\delta\xi < 0 \quad (6)$$

provided that (6) is fulfilled for all points  $s$  of the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  and for all nonzero  $\delta\xi$ . In Fig. 2 we show the region  $D_1$  of synchronization determined by Eq. (6) for our coupled Rössler systems. More precisely [27], if the coupling parameters satisfy  $d_2 > a/2$  and  $(d_1, d_3) \in D_1$  then the attractor  $A$  (see Fig. 1(a)) of system (1) is synchronized. Note, that all three coupling parameters must be “large enough” to guarantee the synchronization. This observation is in agreement with the results obtained by Pecora et al. [3], stating that coupled Rössler systems exhibit a desynchronization transition with increasing  $d_1$  in the case where  $d_2 = d_3 = 0$ .




Figure 2: Regions of synchronization obtained by different methods. Curve 1 corresponds to the Lyapunov function method; curve 2 is the blowout bifurcation curve; curve 3 delineates the transverse stability region for the equilibrium point  $O$ . Here  $d_2 = 1$  is fixed.

Another analytical method [25], which allows us to approximate the synchronization region, is based on a calculation of the transverse stability of some simple synchronous orbit of coupled system (1). As an example, consider our system of coupled Rössler oscillators. It is known that, in the individual system, near the chaotic attractor there exists a saddle equilibrium point, cf. point  $O$  in Fig. 1(a). We can determine the transverse stability properties for this point analytically [25] and compare the results with other criteria for synchronization. The transverse stability region of the equilibrium point is shown in Fig. 2 as the upper-right region delineated by the dashed line. Comparing with the exact desynchronization threshold in the next section, we will show that at least for the considered Rössler system, this method gives a reasonable approximation to the synchronization region for the chaotic attractor. The advantage of the above method is that it provides a relatively simple analytical expression, which can be used for any coupling matrix  $C$ . For example, we have showed in [25] that for first-component coupling  $d_2 = d_3 = 0$ , the equilibrium undergoes a similar desynchronization transition at large  $d_1$  as the chaotic attractor itself. Sometimes, this approach also allows us to determine whether the riddling bifurcation is sub- or supercritical [25].

## B. Transverse Lyapunov exponents and low-periodic orbits

The minimal condition for transverse stability of the fully synchronized state is to have the transverse Lyapunov exponents associated with Eq. (4) to be negative [3]. Generally speaking, these exponents depend on the orbit  $s(t)$ . Numerical “long-



time” simulations reveal the average transverse stability of the chaotic attractor or the transverse stability of typical orbits embedded in the attractor. In the following when speaking about transverse Lyapunov exponents we understand those calculated along the typical orbit, unless another orbit is explicitly mentioned. For the case of coupled Rössler systems, the region where the transverse Lyapunov exponent is negative is shown in Figure 1 as curve 2. The negativeness of the transverse Lyapunov exponents ensures only the transverse stability of the chaotic attractor in “average” while some non-typical, transversely unstable orbits may still exist in the attractor. Hence, we conclude that negativeness of the transverse Lyapunov exponents gives only the necessary conditions for synchronization. To obtain the precise desynchronization threshold it is necessary to investigate the transverse stability of non-typical orbits in the attractor, for example, saddle periodic cycles. The importance of the unstable periodic orbits for the description of the properties of chaotic attractors was pointed out in [35, 36] and, in particular for the blowout bifurcation, in [37, 38, 39]. In connection with the stability properties of the fully synchronized chaotic state, unstable periodic orbits may determine the riddling bifurcation, i.e. the moment when the first orbit on the attractor loses its transverse stability [3, 25]. Figure 3 shows a two-dimensional stability diagram for the low-periodic orbits embedded into the chaotic attractor. Thin curves delineate regions of transverse stability for each of these orbits (up to period-4). The bold curve denotes the blowout bifurcation. The right-upper envelope of the thin curves determine when the first of the considered periodic orbits loses its transverse stability, i.e. it approximates the riddling bifurcation. Here we have assumed that the desynchronization thresholds for high periodic orbits are closer to the blowout bifurcation and, hence, do not contribute directly to the riddling. Figure 4(a) shows the transverse stability threshold for the period-10 orbit, cf. Fig. 4(b). Note how close this orbit is to the blowout bifurcation curve. A possible *ad-hoc* explanation of this fact can be that high-periodic orbits for the Rössler system with the considered parameter values cover the attractor rather “uniformly” and their average properties are close to the corresponding properties of the chaotic attractor. Additional evidence for the importance of low-periodic orbits in the desynchronization of our system will be given in Secs. IV and V. In particular, we will show how the structure of the riddled basins can be explained by exploring transverse bifurcations associated with the period-1 orbit. As previously mentioned we have performed a similar analysis for a system of two coupled pancreatic cells [27]. It is interesting to note the high degree of qualitative concordance with the results for the coupled Rössler systems.

## IV. MECHANISMS OF DESYNCHRONIZATION

### A. Blowout bifurcation and desynchronization thresholds.

Blowout takes place when the transverse Lyapunov exponent of the synchronous chaotic set becomes positive and this set becomes a repeller on average. The curve where this bifurcation occurs in coupled Rössler systems is shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The region of weak synchronization is delineated by the blowout curve. In




Figure 3: Thresholds of transverse stability for low-periodic orbits (thin lines) together with the blowout bifurcation curve (heavy line).




Figure 4: Transverse stability region for the period-10 cycle (a). The boundary is shown by the solid black line. The cycle is shown in (b). The blowout curve (heavy gray line) and the boundary of the stability region for the period-1 cycle (dashed line) are shown for comparison.

this region it is possible to observe not only complete synchronization but also on-off intermittency of the orbits to the synchronization manifold [22] caused by the existence of transversely unstable periodic orbits.

As we have seen in the previous section, synchronization can be achieved when all three coupling parameters  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ , and  $d_3$  are sufficiently large. For example, having only one-component coupling in the coupled Rössler systems, i.e.  $d_2 = d_3 = 0$ , we observe a desynchronization transition with increasing coupling parameter  $d_1$  [3].

An interesting case may also be observed when  $d_1 = 0.14$  and  $d_2 = 1.0$  are fixed and  $d_3$  is increasing, cf. Fig. 5. Under these conditions we find synchronization-desynchronization-synchronization transitions. This phenomena arises because of the multivalued form of the blowout bifurcation curve in the bottom part of the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 4(a).




Figure 5: Nontrivial behavior of the largest transverse exponent for  $d_1 = 0.14$ ,  $d_2 = 1.0$  and  $d_3$  as indicated in the figure.

## B. Riddling bifurcations.

As it follows from Sec. III and Fig. 3, a large part of the riddling bifurcation curve (the rightmost envelope of the thresholds for individual periodic orbits) corresponds to the loss of transverse stability for the period-1 cycle. All the possible riddling bifurcations in the considered case include transverse period-doubling, pitchfork and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations of cycles of period 1, 2 and 3 [25] (The Neimark-Sacker bifurcation is also referred to as a secondary Hopf bifurcation of a torus-birth bifurcation). For the purpose of illustration, we confine our attention to the parameter values where the period-1 cycle plays the most important role. With this aim we introduce a new coupling parameter  $d$  such that its variation corresponds to a motion along the scan  $R_1 R_2$  in Fig. 3. In terms of this new parameter, our system has the form (1) with the coupling matrix

$$C = \text{diag}\{d - 0.6, 1.0, -3.1d + 0.7\}. \quad (7)$$

The scan was chosen in such a way that the region of complete synchronization in the middle of the scan is bounded by the points  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , which both correspond to riddling bifurcations for the period-1 cycle. Point  $R_1$  ( $d = 0.2408$ ) corresponds to a supercritical period-doubling bifurcation and  $R_2$  ( $d = 0.7360$ ) to a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation. Let us now investigate the associated bifurcations by the continuation technique. As we will show, the nature of these bifurcations play an important role for the global dynamics of the system. Figure 6 shows a schematic

overview of the bifurcation diagram versus parameter  $d$  for the period-1 cycle and the associated bifurcations. The horizontal line in the middle of the figure corresponds to the period-1 cycle. This line is dashed for the parameter values where the cycle is transversely stable and has a single unstable longitudinal multiplier, i.e. in the parameter interval between points  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . The dotted part of the line indicates parameter values for which the period-1 cycle is transversely unstable. At  $d = 0.241$  (point  $R_1$ ) the period-1 cycle undergoes a transverse supercritical period-doubling bifurcation, cf. point  $PD$ , and an asynchronous period-2 cycle appears. When decreasing the parameter  $d$ , this period-2 cycle becomes stable at point  $P$  ( $d = 0.220$ ). The evolution of this cycle in phase space is shown in Fig. 7(a). A Neimark-Sacker bifurcation takes place at  $d = 0.201$  (point  $H$ ) and gives rise to a stable asynchronous torus, cf. Fig. 7(b), which is destroyed at  $d = 0.197$ . Iterations of the Poincaré map produce the asynchronous chaotic set displayed in Fig. 8. Similarly, the right part of the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 6 shows bifurcations with increasing parameter  $d$ :  $P$  is a subcritical pitchfork bifurcation (point  $R_2$ ), which leads to the appearance of two mutually symmetric, doubly unstable period-1 cycles outside the synchronization manifold.




Figure 6: Bifurcation diagram for the period-1 cycle and associated bifurcations versus the coupling parameter  $d$ . Points  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  denote moments when the transverse stability of the period-1 cycle is changed.

Important observations to be made from the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 6 are the following:

- the region of complete synchronization of the synchronous chaotic set is  $0.241 < d < 0.736$ ;
- the region where the synchronous set is transversely stable on average (i.e. weakly or asymptotically stable) is approximately  $0.19 < d < 0.80$ ;




Figure 7: (a): Evolution of the period-2 asynchronous cycle in phase space for the parameter interval  $0.201 < d < 0.241$ . A stable cycle is shown as a solid line. (b): Stable asynchronous torus at  $d = 0.2$ . Projection onto the  $(x_1, u_1)$  plane.




Figure 8: Projections onto the  $(x_1, x_3)$  plane of the invariant set of the Poincaré map for  $d = 0.197$ .

- there exists an asynchronous chaotic saddle even for parameter values of complete synchronization ( $0.708 < d < 0.736$ );
- there are parameter regions with coexistence of the weakly stable synchronous chaotic set and a stable asynchronous cycle ( $0.201 < d < 0.220$ ), a stable asynchronous torus ( $0.197 < d < 0.201$ ), and a stable asynchronous chaotic set ( $d <$

0.197);

- when increasing the parameter  $d$  to the right side of  $R_2$ , there are no stable asynchronous sets at least not associated with the bifurcations shown in Fig. 6.

In the next section, we will make use of the above information to explain the structure of the riddled basins that can be observed in our coupled Rössler system.

## V. INFLUENCE OF RIDDLING BIFURCATIONS ON RIDDLED BASIN STRUCTURES.

Riddled basins of attraction [20, 22] may be observed after the riddling bifurcation where the maximal transverse Lyapunov exponent is still negative for typical trajectories. Hence the parameter region where riddling may occur is bounded by the blowout bifurcation curve from one side and the riddling bifurcation from another side. With respect to the coupling parameter  $d$ , this region consists of two pieces:  $0.19 < d < 0.241$  and  $0.736 < d < 0.8$ , cf. Fig. 6. It follows from this figure that the basin of the synchronous chaotic set is riddled with points belonging to the basin of a stable asynchronous period-2 cycle for the parameter values  $0.201 < d < 0.220$ . Similarly, the basin will be riddled with points attracted to an asynchronous torus for  $0.197 < d < 0.201$ , and to a stable asynchronous chaotic set for  $0.19 < d < 0.197$ . For other parameter values where riddling occurs we may observe either attractor bubbling or riddling with points diverging to infinity. The first situation generally arises when the riddling bifurcation is supercritical [40]. Therefore, riddling with infinity is expected for the parameters  $0.736 < d < 0.8$ . Additional evidence for this will be given in Sec. VIII, where we consider the problem of boundedness of the solutions of the coupled system.

In view of the above considerations, we calculated two-dimensional cross-sections of the basins of attraction for different values of  $d$ . Figure 9 corresponds to  $d = 0.204$ . Black points denote initial conditions that converge to the asynchronous period-2 cycle and white represents points converging to the weakly stable synchronous chaotic set. For  $d = 0.75$ , cf. Fig. 10 we have obtained the basin of attraction (white points) riddled with points (black points) from which the trajectory diverges to infinity.

Some of the tongues of the riddled basins are clearly seen in Figs. 9 and 10, cf. points  $P1$ . The probability to diverge from the synchronization manifold is highest when the initial conditions are chosen in the neighborhood of these points. In the both cases the points  $P1$  belong to the period-1 synchronous cycle embedded in the chaotic set, i.e. the cycle which loses its transverse stability first. Hence, the neighborhood of this cycle is most exposed to escapes from the weakly stable synchronization manifold for the chosen values of the coupling parameters.

In order to identify the location of the other tongues of the riddled basin, we have investigated the long term behavior of trajectories starting from the following set of points:  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\xi = (0.01, 0, 0)$ , i.e. with longitudinal coordinates at the synchronous set and a small transverse perturbation. The projection of this set onto  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane




Figure 9: Riddled basin of attraction for the synchronous chaotic set (white points) with  $d = 0.204$ . Black points represent initial conditions from which the trajectory is attracted to the stable period-2 asynchronous cycle.  $P_2$  is the point of intersection with the period-2 cycle,  $P_1$  with the period-1 synchronous cycle.  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are the coordinates on the considered plane such that  $\gamma_2 = 0$  corresponds to the synchronization manifold.




Figure 10: Riddled basin of attraction for the synchronous chaotic set (white points) with  $d = 0.75$ . Black points correspond to initial conditions diverging to infinity.

has a form similar to the Rössler attractor. For a fixed  $d = 0.75$  (this corresponds to Fig. 10), we can distinguish two types of points: points that eventually converge to the synchronization manifold and points that diverge to infinity. The points diverging to infinity are shown in Fig. 11a in black color. Inspection of the figure clearly shows that the densest parts are located near the synchronous period-1 cycle. In order to explain the remaining structure of the basin in Fig. 11(a), we have used the Poincaré section  $S$ , cf. Fig. 1, of the individual Rössler oscillator. Figure 12(a) shows the invariant attracting set of this map. Considering this set to be one-dimensional, we have constructed the one-dimensional map  $h : x_3 \rightarrow h(x_3)$

acting on this set, cf. Fig. 12(b). The fixed point  $P$  of this map corresponds to the transversely unstable period-1 cycle. We will consider the orbits that start from the different preimages of  $P$ :  $P^{-1}$ ,  $P^{-2}$ ,  $P_{1,2}^{-3}$ ,  $P_{1,2,3,4}^{-4}$ , and so on. These orbits approach the period-1 cycle in one, two, three, and four loops, respectively. In this way, considering different preimages of the fixed point  $P$ , we may find different orbits of the Rössler system which after some number of loops enter the neighborhood of the period-1 cycle and, hence, share the property of transverse instability with the period-1 cycle. These orbits form the skeleton of the riddling structure in Fig. 11(a). The orbits starting from  $P_{1,2,3,4}^{-4}$  are shown in Fig. 11(b). We observe that these orbits correspond to the most transversely unstable parts of the synchronized attractor.




Figure 11: (a): Given a point on the synchronous attractor, we add a small fixed transverse perturbation  $\xi = (0.01, 0, 0)$ . For the obtained initial condition, the point is marked black if the orbit diverge to infinity. (b): Orbits starting from  $P_{1,2,3,4}^{-4}$ .




Figure 12: Invariant set of the Poincaré map for the individual Rössler oscillator (a). One-dimensional map acting on the invariant set (b).

To conclude this section, we note that the transverse stability properties of the low-periodic orbits are very important for explaining the onset of riddling and describing the structure of the riddled basin for coupled systems.



## VI. NONIDENTICAL SYSTEMS.

### A. “Shift” of the synchronization manifold for strong coupling.

One of the most important questions in the synchronization problem relates to the sensitivity of the synchronized state to a mismatch in the parameter values between the interacting systems. Some general estimations were obtained by Johnson et al. [41]. Using a similar approach, we have obtained asymptotic estimations of the solutions for a special type of parameter mismatch [27]. The observed phenomena manifested themselves as a shift and broadening of the synchronization manifold.

We assume a special form of the mismatch, namely, that the coupled system can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= f(x) + \varepsilon g + C(y - x), \\ \dot{y} &= f(y) + C(x - y),\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a parameter that measures the magnitude of the mismatch and  $g$  is a constant vector.  $f$  is a smooth function. Despite its special form, Eq. (8) has a wide scope of applications. Examples are:

- two coupled systems with a mismatch of parameters that are included in an additive way (e.g., two coupled Rössler systems with different parameters  $b$ );
- two coupled systems of the form (1) with a constant external force acting on one of the oscillators (e.g., two coupled  $\beta$ -cells with an additional constant leak current [27]);
- two coupled systems with external forces where the frequency of the external force is much smaller than the natural frequency of the individual oscillator.

We have shown [27] that for the vector coupling  $C = \alpha I$ , where  $I$  is the identical matrix, the following asymptotic estimation holds:

$$\left| \xi(t) - \frac{g}{2\alpha} \right| \leq L \frac{lg}{\alpha^2},\tag{9}$$

where the inequality and modulus  $|\cdot|$  should be considered componentwise.  $L$  is some constant that does not depend on coupling or mismatch.  $l$  is a constant that expresses the smoothness of the system, namely,  $\|Df(s(t))\| \leq l$  for the motion on the attractor. Finally, as before,  $\xi$  is a value of the transverse coordinate measuring the distance from the synchronization manifold. In the derivation of (9), we assumed smallness of the mismatch,  $\varepsilon \ll l$  and  $\varepsilon \ll \alpha$ . Moreover, we supposed that coupling is sufficiently strong to guarantee complete synchronization in the system without mismatch.

As a consequence of the obtained inequality, the influence of a parameter mismatch can be regarded as the superposition of the following effects:

- A parallel shift of the synchronous motion from the synchronization manifold by an amount  $g/2\alpha$ ;
- the amplitude of chaotic bursts around the shifted state is of the order  $1/\alpha^2$ ;

- for large coupling strength  $\alpha$ , the bursts become small compared to the shift, and one can numerically observe the phenomenon of generalized synchronization where  $\|x(t) - y(t)\| \rightarrow \text{const} \neq 0$ .

Despite of the fact that the inequality (9) was proved for a vector coupling, numerical simulations show that qualitatively the same effects take place for other coupling matrices  $C$ .

Figure 13 illustrates the obtained result in the case of two coupled Rössler systems. Three orbits are plotted with the coupling parameters  $\alpha = 2, 6$ , and  $12$ , respectively. The presence of the shift away from the  $y_1 = x_1$  is clearly observed. We can observe also how bursts around the shifted state are damped with increasing of  $\alpha$ . The shift decreases linearly with  $1/\alpha$  while the bursts are damped as  $1/\alpha^2$ . Figure 14 shows the orbit for  $\alpha = 2$  in the phase space as the projection onto  $(x_1, y_1)$  plane. We observe that the motion takes place at some distance from the diagonal, which represents the projection of the synchronization manifold. The nonzero width of the shifted state is caused by the bursts. Again, it is of interest to note that qualitatively the same phenomena are observed for a system of two coupled pancreatic cells [27].




Figure 13: Behavior of the first component of the transverse coordinate for the parameter mismatch  $g = (0.1, 0, 0)^T$  with different values of the coupling parameter  $\alpha$ .

## B. Influence of asymmetries on riddling bifurcations.

In the previous section we considered the case of strong coupling and full synchronization. As the coupling is reduced, it is natural to consider the effect of a mismatch on the riddling bifurcations. Here two cases may be distinguished: when the synchronization manifold still persists in the presence of the parameter mismatch and when it does not persists. The first case may be realised in the following asymmet-




Figure 14: Projection of the orbit onto the  $(x_1, y_1)$ -plane for  $g = (0.1, 0, 0)^T$  and  $\alpha = 2$ . Diagonal represents the projection of the synchronization manifold.

rically coupled system:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= f(x) + pC(d)(y - x), \\ \dot{y} &= f(y) + (2 - p)C(d)(x - y),\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

where the parameter  $p$  describes the asymmetry (provided that  $p \neq 1$ ). This type of coupling is of interest when studying the transition from one- to two-cluster dynamics in ensembles of globally coupled identical chaotic oscillators [42]. Considering the matrix  $C(d)$  as in (7), our calculations show that the subcritical pitchfork bifurcation (occurring at  $d = 0.736$ , cf. Fig. 6) transforms into a transcritical bifurcation. Figure 15 shows schematically the perturbed and original bifurcations. The associated saddle-node bifurcation occurs at  $d \simeq 0.7382$ . In the perturbed system, a doubly unstable period-1 cycle approaches the synchronization manifold and exchanges stability with the synchronous period-1 saddle cycle at the point  $T$ .

In the general case of mismatch between the subsystems, the description admits the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= f_1(x) + C(d)(y - x), \\ \dot{y} &= f_2(y) + C(d)(x - y).\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

In this case the synchronization manifold no longer exists. The transverse pitchfork bifurcation is transformed into two isolated cycle branches, one of which undergoes saddle-node bifurcations. Figure 16 illustrates this situation for the coupled Rössler system, where  $f_1 = f(x, b)$  as in Eq. (2) with parameters  $a = 0.42$ ,  $b = 2.0$ ,  $c = 4.0$  and  $f_2(x, b_1)$  is the same right hand side but with  $b_1 = 2.002$ . Then the values of coupling parameter corresponding to bifurcations in Fig. 16:  $d_{SN} = 0.7286$ ,  $d' = 0.7081$ , and  $d'' = 0.7056$ .




Figure 15: Perturbation of the subcritical transverse pitchfork bifurcation for non-symmetric coupling.




Figure 16: Perturbation of the subcritical transverse pitchfork bifurcation in the case of nonidentical oscillators.

## VII. ARRAYS OF COUPLED OSCILLATORS: A SIMPLE EXAMPLE

Many aspects of the above approach may also be applied to investigate arrays of coupled identical oscillators. Here we consider the case of four diffusively coupled Rössler oscillators with periodic boundary conditions:

$$\dot{x}_j = f(x_j) + C(x_{j+1} + x_{j-1} - 2x_j), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4; \quad x_5 = x_1, \quad x_0 = x_4. \quad (12)$$

An important property of arrays of coupled oscillators is that such systems usually have many invariant hyperplanes in phase space [43]. Recall, that system (1) generally has only one invariant hyperplane  $x = y$  (the synchronization manifold), the stability of which determines the onset of complete identical synchronization. In the case of many coupled systems, the stability of different invariant hyperplanes deter-

mines the onset of partial synchronization or clustering, where the coupled system splits into clusters of identically oscillating elements.

First, it is easy to check by substitution that the following equalities are admissible for system (12):

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_0 : & \quad x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_4; \\ \Pi_1 : & \quad x_1 \equiv x_2; \text{ and } x_3 \equiv x_4; \\ \Pi_2 : & \quad x_1 \equiv x_4; \text{ and } x_2 \equiv x_3; \\ \Pi_3 : & \quad x_1 \equiv x_3; \text{ and } x_2 \equiv x_4.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the corresponding hyperplanes determined by these equalities are invariant with respect to system (12). Note that the properties of the hyperplanes  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  are the same because of the symmetry of the system. As in the case of two coupled systems, the stability of some invariant set located in the hyperplane  $\Pi_0$  guarantees the complete synchronization ( $\|x_i - x_j\| \rightarrow 0$  with  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $i \neq j$  and some open set of initial conditions). Similarly, the stability of a set located in  $\Pi_1$  implies the partial synchronization when  $\|x_1 - x_2\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|x_3 - x_4\| \rightarrow 0$  with  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for some open set of initial conditions. Hence, in order to obtain conditions for the onset of partial synchronization it is necessary to investigate the stability of invariant sets in the hyperplanes  $\Pi_1$ ,  $\Pi_2$ , and  $\Pi_3$ . As in the case of two coupled oscillators we may consider separately longitudinal directions along the hyperplanes and directions transverse to the hyperplanes.

Consider the hyperplane  $\Pi_1$ . In order to obtain equations for the dynamics inside the hyperplane, we substitute  $x_1 = x_2 = X$  and  $x_3 = x_4 = Y$  into (12). We obtain two coupled systems of the form (1). It is clear, that equations for the dynamics inside the hyperplane describe the endogenous motions of clusters, i.e. sets of identically oscillating elements of an array. Transverse coordinates may be introduced in the following way:  $\xi_1 = x_1 - x_2$  and  $\xi_2 = x_3 - x_4$ . Similarly to the derivation of (4) we may obtain the following linearized equations for the transverse perturbations to the hyperplane  $\Pi_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta \dot{\xi}_1 &= [Df(X(t)) - 3C]\delta \xi_1 - C\delta \xi_2, \\ \delta \dot{\xi}_2 &= [Df(Y(t)) - 3C]\delta \xi_2 - C\delta \xi_1,\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  are solutions of the system of two coupled oscillators (1). Observe that we have six transverse and six longitudinal coordinates.

As an illustrative example, let us consider two coupled Rössler systems with vector coupling  $C = \alpha I$ . Figure 17 shows the largest transverse Lyapunov exponent (i.e. the Lyapunov exponent for system (13)) versus the coupling parameter  $\alpha$ . It can be seen that after the loss of complete synchronization at  $\alpha_2 = 0.04$  we have a wide range  $0.02 < \alpha < 0.04$  where this exponent is negative. This corresponds to a stable, partially synchronous state with  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $x_3 = x_4$ . Inspection of the longitudinal dynamics [26] shows that for these parameters the hyperplane contains a stable periodic solution. Hence, we have showed that for  $0.02 < \alpha < 0.04$  there exists a stable limit cycle in the hyperplane  $\Pi_1$  which implies the existence of periodic clusters with  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $x_3 = x_4$ . Taking the symmetry into account, we conclude

that there is also a stable limit cycle inside the hyperplane  $\Pi_2$ , which implies the existence of periodic clusters with  $x_1 = x_4$  and  $x_2 = x_3$ . Figure 18 illustrates both clusters for  $\alpha = 0.035$ . With different initial conditions we may approach either of these two clusters with an equal probability. It appears that in the considered case the basins of attraction of these two attracting cycles are strongly mixed. This can be seen on the two

9.




Figure 17: Maximal Lyapunov exponent that determines the stability of the partially synchronized motion  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $x_3 = x_4$  for system (12).

We have seen that partial synchronization in arrays of coupled oscillators is mediated by the existence of stable invariant sets in the invariant hyperplanes (or manifolds). The stability of these sets may be investigated by a decomposition into longitudinal and transverse coordinates as in the case of two coupled oscillators.

## VIII. CONCLUSION.

Let us conclude the paper by a discussion of the boundedness of the solutions to coupled system. As discussed in Sec. V, for some parameter values there exist orbits in the vicinity of the synchronization manifold, that diverge to infinity while for the other parameters the orbits are confined to some bounded domain in the phase space. Moreover these two cases were observed even for a coupling strength strong enough to guarantee weak synchronization.

In this section we present a general result that provides conditions for the existence of a positively invariant region around the synchronized attractor [44]. A positively invariant region (or trapping zone) is defined by the condition that trajectories that enter the region will never leave it again.

Suppose system (1) satisfies the following conditions:

1. The coupling matrix is of the form  $C = \alpha I$ ;




Figure 18: Two orbits that correspond to two clusters that can be realized in system (12) for  $\alpha = 0.035$ . (a):  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $x_3 = x_4$  for one set of initial conditions; (b):  $x_1 = x_4$  and  $x_2 = x_3$  for another set of initial conditions.

2. For an individual oscillator equation  $x' = f(x)$ ,  $x \in R^n$  there exists an invariant attracting set  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a region of attraction of this set. (Instead of this condition we may simply suppose the existence of positively invariant set  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  [44]);
3.  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  is convex.

Then for any  $\alpha > 0$  system (1) will have a positively invariant set  $U_{\mathcal{A}} \times U_{\mathcal{A}}$  around the synchronized attractor  $A$  in the phase space  $R^{2n}$ .

We shall note that a strict mathematical formulation of the above theorem is given in [44]. There we additionally suppose a good local structure of the set  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Note also that existence of the positively invariant region is proved for values of the coupling parameter corresponding not only to complete synchronization but also to weak synchronization and to the desynchronized regime. This bring us to the conclusion that the vector coupling scheme, in general, does not exhibit riddling with infinity. Moreover, in view of this result, riddling with infinity may be expected when the coupling matrix  $C$  differs significantly from identical. These observations are also supported by our calculations in Sec. V.

Let us finally conclude that the system of two coupled oscillators is important for studying many nonlinear phenomena. The importance of studying objects with invariant hyperplanes is clear not only from the point of view of complete identical synchronization of two coupled systems. This is also one of the widely accepted




Figure 19: Two dimensional cross-section of the phase space determined by  $x_{1i} = 1.0$ ,  $x_{4i} = 1.0$ ,  $x_{2j} = 1.0$ , and  $x_{3j} = 1.0$  where  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . A grid  $70 \times 70$  is used. A square is painted black if the orbit with initial conditions from the center of the square converges to the cycle corresponding to the  $x_1 = x_2$ ,  $x_3 = x_4$  cluster.

approaches for studying partial synchronization in arrays of coupled oscillators.

S.Y. acknowledges support by INTAS (grant YSF 01-084) and by SFFI of Ukraine (grant N 01.07/00109).

## References

- [1] H. Fujisaka and T. Yamada, "Stability theory of synchronized motion in coupled oscillator systems" *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **69**, 32-46 (1983).
- [2] N.F. Rul'kov, "Images of synchronized chaos: Experiments with circuits", *Chaos* **6**, 262-279 (1996).
- [3] L.M. Pecora, T.L. Carroll, G.A. Johnson, D.J. Mar, and J.F. Heagy, "Fundamentals of synchronization in chaotic systems, concepts, and applications", *Chaos* **7**, 520-543 (1997).
- [4] L.M. Pecora and T.L. Carroll, "Synchronizing chaotic systems", *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. CS*-**38**, 453-456 (1996).
- [5] M. Sushchik, N. Rulkov, and L. Larson, "Chaotic pulse position modulation: A robust method of communication with chaos", *IEEE Comm. Lett.* **4**, 128-130 (2000).



- [6] R. Roy and K.S. Thornburg, “Experimental synchronization of chaotic lasers”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 2009-2012 (1994).
- [7] K. Wiesenfeld and P. Hadley, “Attractor crowding in oscillator arrays”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1335-1338 (1989).
- [8] W. Wang, I.Z. Kiss, and J.L. Hudson, “Experiments on arrays of globally coupled electrochemical oscillators: Synchronization and clustering”, *Chaos* **10**, 248-256 (2000).
- [9] H. Bohr, K. S. Jensen, T. Petersen, B. Rathjen, E. Mosekilde, and N.-H. Holstein-Rathlou, “Parallel computer simulation of nearest-neighbour interaction in a system of nephrons”, *Parall. Comp.* **12**, 113-120 (1989).
- [10] R.C. Elson, H.I. Selverston, R. Huerta, N.R. Rulkov, M.I. Rabinovich, and H.D.I. Abarbanel, “Synchronous behavior of two coupled biological neurons”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5692-5695 (1998).
- [11] G.-I. Bischi, L. Stefanini, and L. Gardini, “Synchronization, intermittency, and critical curves in a duopoly game”, *Math. & Comp. Simul.* **44**, 559-585 (1998).
- [12] P.M. Dean and E.K. Matthews, “Glucose induced electrical activity in pancreatic islet cells”, *J. Physiol. (London)* **210**, 255-264 (1970).
- [13] E. Mosekilde, B. Lading, S. Yanchuk, and Yu. Maistrenko, “Bifurcation structure of a model of bursting pancreatic cells”, *Biosystems* **63**, 3-13 (2001).
- [14] R.M. Santos, L.M. Rosario, A. Nadal, J. Garcia-Sancho, B. Soria, and M. Valdeolmillos, “Widespread synchronous  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  oscillations due to bursting electrical activity in single pancreatic islets”, *Eu. J. Physiol. (Pflügers Arch.)* **418**, 417-422 (1991).
- [15] C. Haxholdt, C. Kampmann, E. Mosekilde, and J.D. Serman, “Entrainment in a disaggregated economic long wave model”, *Syst. Dyn. Rev.* **11**, 177-198 (1995).
- [16] K. Pyragas, “Weak and strong synchronization of chaos”, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, R4508-R4511 (1996).
- [17] K. Kaneko, “Lyapunov analysis and information flow in coupled map lattices”, *Physica D* **23**, 436-447 (1986).
- [18] K. Kaneko, “Relevance of dynamic clustering in biological networks”, *Physica D* **75**, 55-73 (1994).
- [19] O. Popovych, Yu. Maistrenko, and E. Mosekilde, “Cluster formation and cluster splitting in a system of globally coupled maps”, in “Emergent Nature”, M. M. Novac (ed.) (World Scientific, Singapore, 2002), p. 325-334.

- [20] J.C. Alexander, J.A. Yorke, Z. You, and I. Kan, “Riddled basins”, *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* **2**, 795-813 (1992).
- [21] Yu.L. Maistrenko, V.L. Maistrenko, O. Popovych, and E. Mosekilde, “Unfolding the riddling bifurcation”, *Phys. Lett. A* **262**, 355-360 (1999).
- [22] E. Ott and J.C. Sommerer, “Blowout bifurcations: The occurrence of riddled basins and on-off intermittency”, *Phys. Lett. A* **188**, 39-47 (1994).
- [23] T. Kapitaniak, “Transition to hyperchaos in chaotically forced coupled oscillators”, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, R2975-R2978 (1993).
- [24] S. Yanchuk and T. Kapitaniak, “Symmetry increasing bifurcation as a predictor of chaos-hyperchaos in coupled systems”, *Phys. Rev. E*, **64** 056235 (2001).
- [25] S. Yanchuk, Yu. Maistrenko, and E. Mosekilde, “Loss of synchronization in coupled Rössler systems”, *Physica D* **154**, 26-42 (2001).
- [26] S. Yanchuk, Yu. Maistrenko, and E. Mosekilde, “Partial synchronization and clustering in a system of diffusively coupled chaotic oscillators”, *Math. & Comp. Simul.* **54**, 491-508 (2001).
- [27] S. Yanchuk, Yu. Maistrenko, B. Lading, and E. Mosekilde, “Effects of a parameter mismatch on the synchronization of two coupled chaotic oscillators”, *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* **10**, 2629-2648 (2000).
- [28] B. Lading, E. Mosekilde, S. Yanchuk, and Yu. Maistrenko, “Chaotic synchronization between coupled pancreatic beta-cells”, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **139**, 164-177 (2000).
- [29] J. F. Heagy, T. L. Carroll, and L. M. Pecora, “Desynchronization by periodic orbits”, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, R1253-R1256 (1995).
- [30] B. R. Hunt, “Optimal periodic orbits of chaotic systems”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2254-2257 (1996).
- [31] A. Sherman and J. Rinzel, “Model for synchronization of pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells by gap junction coupling”, *Biophys. J.* **59**, 547-559 (1991).
- [32] J. Milnor, “On the concept of attractor”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **99**, 177-195 (1985).
- [33] D.J. Gauthier and J.C. Bienfang, “Intermittent loss of synchronization in coupled chaotic oscillators: Toward a new criterion for high-quality synchronization”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1751-1754 (1996).
- [34] N.F. Rul’kov and M.M. Sushchik, “Robustness of synchronized chaotic oscillations”, *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* **7**, 625-643 (1997).

- [35] C. Grebogi, E. Ott, and J.A. Yorke, “Unstable periodic orbits and the dimensions of multifractal chaotic attractors”, *Phys. Rev. A* **37**, 1711-1724 (1988).
- [36] Y.-C. Lai, Y. Nagai, and C. Grebogi, “Characterization of the natural measure by unstable periodic orbits in chaotic attractors”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 649-652 (1997).
- [37] Y. Nagai and Y.-C. Lai, “Characterization of blowout bifurcation by unstable periodic orbits”, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, R1251-R1254 (1997).
- [38] Y. Nagai and Y.-C. Lai, “Periodic-orbit theory of the blowout bifurcation”, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 4031-4041 (1997).
- [39] Y.-C. Lai, “Unstable dimension variability and complexity in chaotic systems”, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, R3807-3810 (1999).
- [40] Yu.L. Maistrenko, V.L. Maistrenko, A. Popovich, and E. Mosekilde, “Transverse instability and riddled basins in a system of two coupled logistic maps”, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 2713-2724 (1998).
- [41] G.A. Johnson, D.J. Mar, T.L. Carroll, and L.M. Pecora, “Synchronization and imposed bifurcations in the presence of large parameter mismatch”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 3956-3959 (1998).
- [42] O. Popovych, Yu.L. Maistrenko, E. Mosekilde, A.S. Pikovsky, and J. Kurths, “Transcritical loss of synchronization in coupled chaotic systems”, *Phys. Lett. A* **275**, 401 (2000).
- [43] V.N. Belykh, I.V. Belykh, and E. Mosekilde, “Cluster synchronization modes in an ensemble of coupled chaotic oscillators”, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 036216 (2001).
- [44] S. Yanchuk, “Preserving of one-sided invariance in  $R^n$  with respect to systems of ordinary differential equations”, *Nonlinear Oscillations* **2** (2002).