ON STATIONARY SCHRÖDINGER–POISSON EQUATIONS MODELLING AN ELECTRON GAS WITH REDUCED DIMENSION

HANS–CHRISTOPH KAISER AND JOACHIM REHBERG

*corrected reprint of IAAS — Preprint No. **66** (1993)*

January 25th, 1995

ABSTRACT. We regard the Schrödinger–Poisson system arising from the modelling of an electron gas with reduced dimension in a bounded up to three–dimensional domain and establish the method of steepest descent. The electrostatic potentials of the iteration scheme will converge uniformly on the spatial domain. To get this result we investigate the Schrödinger operator, the Fermi level and the quantum mechanical electron density operator for square integrable electrostatic potentials. On bounded sets of potentials the Fermi level is continuous and bounded, and the electron density operator is monotone and Lipschitz continuous. — As a tool we develop a Riesz–Dunford functional calculus for semibounded self–adjoint operators using paths of integration which enclose a real half axis.

Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

Mohrenstraße 39
D–10117 Berlin
Germany

Fax: + 49 30 2004975
E–mail: preprint@iaas-berlin.d400.de

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J05/10/20/60/65, 35P15, 47A55/60/75, 47H05, 49J50, 49M10, 81Q10/15.

Key words and phrases. stationary Schrödinger–Poisson system, monotone potential operators, iterative methods, electron gas with reduced dimension, nanoelectronics.

On Stationary Schrödinger–Poisson Equations Modelling an Electron Gas with Reduced Dimension

Contents

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Professor Herbert Gajewski who suggested the subject to us, him and Professor Konrad Gröger for their helpful encouragement and Professor Arno Langenbach for the kind hospitality he offered in his seminar.

1. The Schrödinger–Poisson Equation

The Schrödinger–Poisson system is a nonlinear Poisson equation

$$-\Delta V = n(V_0 + V) - n_D \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad V|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

for the electrostatic potential V, the right–hand side of which is up to a fixed part n_D the quantum mechanical expression of the electron density

$$n(V_0 + V)(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_0)|\psi_l(x)|^2, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\epsilon_l = \epsilon_l(V_0 + V)$ are the eigenvalues (in increasing order, counting multiplicity) and $\psi_l = \psi_l(V_0 + V)$ the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger equation

$$(-\Delta + V_0 + V)\psi_l = \epsilon_l \psi_l \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \psi_l|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \int_\Omega |\psi_l|^2 = 1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots . \tag{1.3}$$

$V_0 \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$ is a given external potential and $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(V_0 + V)$ denotes the Fermi level which is defined by the electric–neutrality condition

$$\int_\Omega n_D(x)dx = \int_\Omega n(V_0 + V)(x)dx = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(\epsilon_l(V_0 + V) - \epsilon_0(V_0 + V)), \tag{1.4}$$

f being the thermodynamical equilibrium distribution function. Thus, ϵ_0 in general depends on the potential in the Schrödinger equation. We will also regard the auxiliary problem (1.1)–(1.3), where ϵ_0 is a given parameter. The Schrödinger–Poisson system (1.1)–(1.4) models an electron gas with reduced dimension situated in the bounded spatial domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, $d \leq 3$ (cf. [3], [4] and the references cited there). For a zero–, one– or two–dimensional electron gas the dimension d of the spatial domain Ω is $d = 3, 2, 1$, respectively. N.B. we make use of the assumption $d \leq 3$ without further notice throughout this paper which comes to bear especially when Sobolev's Embedding Theorem is involved.

The Schrödinger–Poisson system can be written as a nonlinear operator equation

$$A(V) = -n_D, \quad V \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}) \tag{1.5}$$

in the Sobolev space $H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$. The Schrödinger–Poisson operator $A \in (H_0^1 \to H^{-1})$ is defined by

$$\langle A(V)\,,\, W\rangle := \int_\Omega (\nabla W \cdot \nabla V - W n(V_0 + V))dx, \quad \forall V, W \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}), \tag{1.6}$$

i.e. it is the difference of the duality mapping $J \in \mathcal{B}(H_0^1, H^{-1})$ of the space $H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{H_0^1} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$ and the electron–density operator n which maps $L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$ into $C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbf{R})$ (cf. §6.1). The operator equation (1.5) makes sense if $n_D \in H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$. On the other hand we need $n_D \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$ in order to formulate the electric–neutrality condition (1.4). To cover both demands we assume $n_D \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$.

Recently Nier showed [11], [12] that the Schrödinger–Poisson operator (1.6) is a strongly monotone potential operator. To that end he regarded the functional

$$\phi(U) = \epsilon_0(U)\ \mathrm{tr}\,(f(H_U - \epsilon_0(U))) + \mathrm{tr}\,(F(H_U - \epsilon_0(U)))\,. \tag{1.7}$$

for electrostatic potentials $U = V_0 + V$ from the affine space $V_0 + H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$. In (1.7) $H_U = -\Delta + V_0 + V \in (L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega))$ is the Schrödinger operator with domain $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and F is the primitive

$$F(t) = -\int_t^\infty f(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbf{R} \tag{1.8}$$

of the distribution function f. ϕ is infinitely often Fréchet differentiable and the first derivative of ϕ is just the electron density the negative of which turns out to be a strictly monotone operator $-n \in (V_0 + H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}) \to H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}))$. Thus, the operator equation (1.5) is equivalent to the minimization problem

$$\mathcal{I}(V) = \min_{W \in H_0^1} \mathcal{I}(W), \quad \mathcal{I}(W) := \frac{1}{2}\|W\|_{H_0^1}^2 - \phi(V_0 + W) + \langle n_D, W\rangle. \tag{1.9}$$

We will regard the functional (1.7) on the space $L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$. In order to get the Fréchet differentiability of ϕ and n on that space we will prove

i. the uniform equivalence of the graph–norms belonging to Schrödinger operators with potentials from any bounded set in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R})$ (cf. §3.4),
ii. the boundedness of the Fermi level on every L^2–bounded set of electrostatic potentials (cf. §5).

We also prove that the negative electron–density operator is monotone and bounded Lipschitzian as an operator $-n \in (L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R}) \to L^2(\Omega; \mathbf{R}))$. This guarantees the convergence of the method of steepest descent applied to the Schrödinger–Poisson equation (1.5) and allows to establish even uniform convergence on Ω for the iteration sequence of electrostatic potentials. Thus we can estimate the distance of the spectra and even of single eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operators corresponding to the potentials from the iteration sequence, using eigenvalue perturbation theory [17], [10], [14].

NIER [12] operates with a functional calculus for self–adjoint operators due to HELFFER, SJÖSTRAND and GÉRARD. We develop a Riesz–Dunford calculus instead (cf. §4) which in principle allows an extension to m–accretive operators. One has to cope with Schrödinger operators of this kind when a magnetic field is included in the problem, or when other boundary conditions are regarded.

When dealing with the Schrödinger–Poisson equation we operate with spaces of real–valued functions whereas the Schrödinger operator is defined on a space of complex–valued functions. N.B. we regard solely real–valued potentials for the Schrödinger operator. In the sequel we will use the same notation for spaces of complex–valued functions and the corresponding subspaces of real valued functions.

Throughout this paper we make the following assumptions about the data of the problem:

• The spatial domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, $(d \leq 3)$ in which we regard the Schrödinger–Poisson system is assumed to be in the GRÖGER–class $\mathfrak{R}_{p_0}$ (cf. [7]) for some $p_0 > d$, i.e. Ω is regular in the sense of GRÖGER (e.g. bounded and open) and the duality mapping

$$J \in \left(H_0^1(\Omega) \to H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \quad \langle Ju, v\rangle = \int_\Omega \nabla v \cdot \nabla \bar{u} dx \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \tag{1.10}$$

of the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ maps $W_0^{1,p_0}(\Omega)$ **onto** $W^{-1,p_0}(\Omega)$. Thus, the operator

$$J_p = J|_{W_0^{1,p}} \in \left(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,p}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.11}$$

is a continuous bijection for all $2 \le p \le p_0$ (cf. [7]). A theorem of Gröger and Rehberg [8] then gives that the mapping

$$J_p + \lambda I \in \left(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,p}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.12}$$

is a continuous bijection from $W_0^{1,p}$ onto $W^{-1,p}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re\lambda \ge 0$. Hence the adjoint of the linear operator (1.12)

$$\left(J_p + \lambda I)|_{W_0^{1,p}}\right)^* \in \left(W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,q}(\Omega)\right), \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1$$

is a continuous bijection from $W_0^{1,q} = (W^{-1,p})^*$ onto $W^{-1,q} = \left(W_0^{1,p}\right)^*$. There is for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}$ and $v \in W_0^{1,q}$

$$\langle u, (J_p + \lambda I)^* v\rangle = \langle (J_p + \lambda I)u, v\rangle = \langle (J + \lambda I)u, v\rangle = \int_\Omega (\lambda v\bar{u} + \nabla v \cdot \nabla \bar{u})\, dx,$$

i.e. $(J_p + \lambda I)^*$ is the extension $J_q + \lambda I$ of the operator $J + \lambda I \in \mathcal{B}\left(W_0^{1,2}, W^{-1,2}\right)$, to the space $W_0^{1,q}$. Thus,

$$J_p + \lambda I \in \mathcal{B}\left(W_0^{1,p}, W^{-1,p}\right) \qquad \Re\lambda \ge 0 \qquad \frac{p_0}{p_0 - 1} \le p \le p_0 \tag{1.13}$$

is a continuous bijection.
For any Lipschitz domain Ω there is a $p_0 > 2$ such that $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}_{p_0}$ [7]. This suffices in the two–dimensional case ($d = 2$). In general, as we regard homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, $\Omega \in \mathfrak{R}_p$ is satisfied for all $2 \le p < \infty$ if Ω is a bounded domain of class $\mathcal{C}^1$ (cf. [16]). Furthermore we assume Ω to be such that J maps the space $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ **onto** $L^2(\Omega)$, i.e. the Laplacian

$$-\Delta = J|_{H^2 \cap H_0^1} \in \left(H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.14}$$

is a continuous bijection. This hypothesis is satisfied if Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain with an outer ball condition of uniform radius (cf. [2]) e.g. a bounded domain of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ or convex polygonal (cf. [6] and the references cited there).

• The given external potential V_0 is assumed to be real–valued and square integrable on Ω. It represents the electronic characteristics of the material, the positive background charge, the applied voltage and eventually inhomogeneous boundary conditions for the potential.

• The fixed part n_D on the right–hand side of the nonlinear Poisson equation also shall be a square integrable function on Ω. It stands for a given density of ionized impurities.

• The thermodynamical equilibrium distribution function f defines the occupation factor $N_l = f(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_0)$ which is the average number of electrons in the quantum state l with the energy ϵ_l at thermodynamical equilibrium. f is assumed to be positive and strictly decreasing and the primitive (1.8) should exist. We will further specify f in §4.2.

2. The Schrödinger operator

According to the assumptions about the regularity of the spatial domain Ω the Laplacian with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (cf. (1.14)) has the following properties:

- $-\Delta$ is a self–adjoint, positive definite operator with compact resolvent in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$.
- The spectrum of $-\Delta$ is discrete; there is a countable number of real eigenvalues λ_l (counting multiplicity)

$$0 < m = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots\,, \quad \lambda_l \to \infty$$

  and eigenfunctions ψ_l spanning an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$; the asymptotical distribution of the eigenvalues is [18], [14]

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{l^2}{\lambda_l^d} = c\,|\Omega|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

- The H^2–norm, the graph norm of $-\Delta$ and $\|(\rho-\Delta)\cdot\|_{L^2}$, $\rho > 0$ are equivalent on $\operatorname{dom}\Delta = H^2(\Omega)\cap H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\|\cdot\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \sim \|(i-\Delta)\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \sim \|(\rho-\Delta)\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \rho > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let be $\rho > 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1/2$. The operator $(\rho-\Delta)^{1-\theta}$ is a continuous bijection of $H^{2(1-\theta)}(\Omega)\cap H_0^{1-\theta}(\Omega)$* ***onto*** *$L^2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. The operator $\rho-\Delta$ is self–adjoint and positive definite in L^2, and its domain $H^2\cap H_0^1$ is a Hilbert space $(\operatorname{dom}(\rho-\Delta), \|(\rho-\Delta)\cdot\|_{L^2})$ which is dense in L^2. Hence the interpolation space

$$\operatorname{dom}(\rho-\Delta)^{1-\theta} = \left[\operatorname{dom}(\rho-\Delta), L^2\right]_\theta = \left[H^2, L^2\right]_\theta \cap \left[H_0^1, L^2\right]_\theta = H^{2(1-\theta)} \cap H_0^{1-\theta}$$

becomes a Hilbert space with the graph norm of $(\rho-\Delta)^{1-\theta}$ [9]. Moreover, the graph norm of $(\rho-\Delta)^{1-\theta}$ and the norm of $H^{2(1-\theta)}\cap H_0^{1-\theta}$ are equivalent. Thus, $(\rho-\Delta)^{1-\theta} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H^{2(1-\theta)}\cap H_0^{1-\theta}, L^2\right)$. As $t^{1-\theta}$ maps $\mathbf{R}^+$ one–to–one onto $\mathbf{R}^+$, $(\rho-\Delta)^{1-\theta}$ is a bijection because $(\rho-\Delta)$ is. $\square$

We regard the Schrödinger operator

$$H = H_V = -\Delta + V \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)\right), \quad \operatorname{dom} H = H^2(\Omega)\cap H_0^1(\Omega) \tag{2.3}$$

for real–valued potentials $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ and recall (cf. [12])

Proposition 2.2. *For each $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ the multiplication operator $V \in (L^2 \to L^2)$ is infinitesimally small with respect to $-\Delta$ i. e. the relative $-\Delta$–bound of V is zero:*

$$\|V\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \delta\|\Delta\psi\|_{L^2} + C\|\psi\|_{L^2}\,, \quad \forall \psi \in H^2(\Omega)\,, \quad \forall \delta > 0$$

with some $C = C\,(\delta, \|V\|_{L^2})$.

As V is real–valued, the multiplication operator $V \in (L^2 \to L^2)$ is self–adjoint on $\operatorname{dom} V = \{\psi \in L^2(\Omega) : V\psi \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ and by Sobolev's Embedding Theorem

$$\operatorname{dom} \Delta \subset H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega) \subset \operatorname{dom} V.$$

Thus, by the Kato–Rellich Theorem H is self–adjoint. The multiplication operator V is also relatively compact with respect to $-\Delta$, because the embedding $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ is compact. Hence, by Weyl's Theorem the essential spectrum of H and $-\Delta$ is the same and we conclude that the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator (2.3) is discrete i.e. H_V has a countable number of real eigenvalues $\{\epsilon_l(V)\}_{l=1}^\infty$ (counting multiplicity) and the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions provide a basis of $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.3. *For each (real–valued) potential $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ the graph–norms of the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *and the Laplacian* (1.14) *are equivalent:*

$$C(V)\|(i-\Delta)\psi\|_{L^2} \le \|(H+i)\psi\|_{L^2} \le \tilde{C}(V)\|(i-\Delta)\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{dom} H.$$

Proof. As H and $-\Delta$ are self–adjoint their domain $\operatorname{dom} H = \operatorname{dom} \Delta$ becomes a Banach space

$$\mathfrak{B}_H = (\operatorname{dom} H,\ \|(H+i)\cdot\|_{L^2}) \quad \mathfrak{B}_\Delta = (\operatorname{dom} H,\ \|(i-\Delta)\cdot\|_{L^2})$$

with the corresponding graph norm. As the embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ is continuous and according to (2.2) there is by Proposition 2.2

$$\|V\psi\|_{L^2} \ \le\ \|V\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \ \le\ c_0\|V\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{H^2} \ \le\ c\,\|V\|_{L^2}\|(i-\Delta)\psi\|_{L^2}$$

and we may notice

$$\|(H+i)\psi\|_{L^2} \le (1 + c\|V\|_{L^2})\ \|(i-\Delta)\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{dom} H,$$

i.e. the embedding $\mathfrak{B}_\Delta \to \mathfrak{B}_H$ is bounded and as it is bijective too, so is its inverse by the Inverse Mapping Theorem. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *For every $\rho > \max\{0, -\epsilon_1(V)\}$ the norms $\|(\rho + H)\cdot\|_{L^2}$ and $\|(\rho - \Delta)\cdot\|_{L^2}$ are equivalent on* $\operatorname{dom} H = \operatorname{dom} \Delta = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let be $M > 0$ arbitrary and $\mathcal{M}$ the closed M–ball of $L^2(\Omega)$. Then the infima $\epsilon_1(V)$ of the spectra from the Schrödinger operators $H_V = -\Delta + V$ corresponding to the potentials $V \in \mathcal{M}$ are bounded:*

$$-\infty < \sigma_M \le \epsilon_1(V) \le \Sigma_M < \infty, \qquad \forall V \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We first show that the spectra are uniformly bounded from below. By a corollary of the Kato–Rellich Theorem H_V is bounded from below with

$$\sigma_V(\delta) = m - \max\left\{\frac{C(\delta, \|V\|_{L^2})}{1-\delta}\,,\ \delta m + C(\delta, \|V\|_{L^2})\right\}\,,$$

for all $0 < \delta < 1$, where m is the smallest eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ and $C = C(\delta, \|V\|_{L^2})$ is the constant from Proposition 2.2. Hence for all $V \in L^2$ with $\|V\|_{L^2} \le M$ the spectrum $\sigma(H_V)$ of H_V is bounded from below by

$$\sigma_M = \sup_{0<\delta<1}\left\{m - \max\left\{\frac{C(\delta, M)}{1-\delta}, \delta m + C(\delta, M)\right\}\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Next we give an upper bound for the infima of the spectra. The smallest eigenvalue $\epsilon_1(V)$ of H_V is given by (N.B. $\operatorname{dom} H_V = \operatorname{dom} \Delta = H^2 \cap H_0^1$)

$$\epsilon_1(V) = \inf_{\substack{\psi \in \operatorname{dom} \Delta \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2}=1}} \langle (-\Delta + V)\psi, \psi \rangle.$$

For all $\psi \in \operatorname{dom} \Delta$ there is

$$\langle (-\Delta + V)\psi, \psi \rangle \le \langle -\Delta\psi, \psi \rangle + \langle |V|\psi, \psi \rangle = \langle -\Delta\psi, \psi \rangle + \left\| \sqrt{|V|}\psi \right\|_{L^2}.$$

We estimate the second term on the right–hand side

$$\left\| \sqrt{|V|}\psi \right\|_{L^2} \le \left\| \sqrt{|V|} \right\|_{L^4} \|\psi\|_{L^4} = \sqrt{\|V\|_{L^2}}\, \|\psi\|_{L^4} \le \sqrt{M}\, \|\psi\|_{L^4}.$$

For all $\psi \in \operatorname{dom} \Delta$ there is

$$\|\psi\|_{L^4} \le c_0 \|\psi\|_{H_0^1} = c_0 \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2} = c_0 \langle -\Delta\psi, \psi \rangle,$$

where c_0 is the embedding constant of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^4(\Omega)$. Putting together the above estimates one obtains

$$\epsilon_1(V) \;\le\; \left(1 + c_0\sqrt{M}\right) \inf_{\substack{\psi \in \operatorname{dom} \Delta \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2}=1}} \langle -\Delta\psi, \psi \rangle = \left(1 + c_0\sqrt{M}\right) m, \tag{2.6}$$

where $m > 0$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $-\Delta$. $\square$

3. The resolvent of the Schrödinger operator

For a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, later on unless specifically noticed $L^2(\Omega)$ let denote $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|$ for linear operators, $\mathcal{B}_0$ the subspace of finite rank operators, $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ the closed ideal of compact operators, $(\mathcal{B}_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$, $1 \le p < \infty$ the closed ideal of p–summable operators . An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ with a discrete spectrum of eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$ belongs to the summability–class $\mathcal{B}_p$ if the sequence $\{\alpha_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ is p–summable: $\{\alpha_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \in l_p$ (cf. e.g. [15]).

3.1. The resolvent of the Laplacian. Let us regard again the homogeneous Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian. For all $z \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re z \le 0$ the corresponding resolvent of the Laplacian (1.14) is compact

$$(\Delta + z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{C},\ \Re z \le 0 \tag{3.1}$$

and there is

$$\|(\Delta + z)^{-1}\| = \|(\Delta + z)^{-1}\|_\infty = \frac{1}{|z + \lambda_1|} \le \frac{1}{\lambda_1} < \infty.$$

In the same way one obtains

$$(\Delta + z)^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{C},\ \Re z \le 0, \quad \forall \alpha > 0, \quad \|(\Delta + z)^{-\alpha}\| \le \frac{1}{\lambda_1^\alpha} < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Apart of compactness we even get p–summability (cf. [12]).

Lemma 3.1. *The resolvent $(\Delta + z)^{-1}$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{B}_p$ for any $p > d/2$ and all $z \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re z \le 0$.*

Proof. Let be $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ (counting multiplicity). Then the eigenvalues of the resolvent are $\frac{1}{z-\lambda_l}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ and as

$$|z - \lambda_l| \geq |\Re z - \lambda_l| \geq \lambda_l$$

one gets

$$\|(\Delta + z)^{-1}\|_p^p = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - \lambda_l|^p} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_l^p}.$$

This series converges for any $p > d/2$ as $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (1/l)^{2p/d}$ according to (2.1). □

Corollary 3.1. *For each $\alpha > 0$ there is*

$$(\Delta + z)^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_p \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{C},\ \Re z \leq 0, \quad \forall p > d/(2\alpha). \tag{3.3}$$

As the summability–classes $\mathcal{B}_p$ are two–sided ideals in $\mathcal{B}$ the operators $(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}$ and $V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}$ are from the same summability–class for all $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Next we will have a look at the

3.2. The operators $V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}$. For each $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ the mapping

$$\psi \in L^2 \to (\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\psi \in H^2 \to V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\psi \in L^2$$

is compact, because the embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ is compact, i. e. $V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$. We will prove $V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_p, \forall p > 2d$.

Lemma 3.2. *For all $\rho > 0$ and $p > 2d$ the linear mapping*

$$\mathcal{V} \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to \mathcal{B}_p\right) \qquad \mathcal{V}(V) = V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} \tag{3.4}$$

is (uniformly in ρ) continuous.

Proof. We decompose with $0 < \theta < 1/4$

$$V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} = V(\Delta - \rho)^{\theta - 1}(\Delta - \rho)^{-\theta}.$$

According to Corollary 3.1 we have $(\Delta - \rho)^{-\theta} \in \mathcal{B}_p, \forall p > d/(2\theta)$. Now by Proposition 2.1 there is for all $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(\Delta - \rho)^{\theta-1}\psi\|_{L^2} &\leq \|V\|_{L^2}\|(\Delta - \rho)^{\theta-1}\psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0\|V\|_{L^2}\|(\Delta - \rho)^{\theta-1}\psi\|_{H^{2(1-\theta)}} \\ &\leq c\,\|V\|_{L^2}\|\psi\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

(N.B. the embedding $H^{2(1-\theta)} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ is continuous as $2(1-\theta) > d/2$ and the $H^{2(1-\theta)}$–norm is the norm of $H^{2(1-\theta)} \cap H_0^{1-\theta}$). We conclude by assembling the estimates in the following way

$$\|V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\|_p \leq \|V(\Delta - \rho)^{\theta-1}\|\ \|(\Delta - \rho)^{-\theta}\|_p \leq c\,\|V\|_{L^2}.$$

There is a suitable $\theta < 1/4$ for every $p > 2d$ and the constant c depends on p but not on ρ. □

In the two–dimensional case for $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ the operator $(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}V(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}$ is nuclear, more precisely

Corollary 3.2. *For all $1 \le p < \infty$ with $p > 2d/5$ and each $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ there is $(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} V (\Delta - \rho)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_p$ if $\rho > 0$, and*

$$\|(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} V (\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\|_p \le c \|V\|_{L^2} \quad \forall \rho > 0.$$

Proof. By means of Hölder's inequality, Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2 one obtains

$$\|(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} V (\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\|_p \le \|(\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\|_{p_1} \|V (\Delta - \rho)^{-1}\|_{p_2} \le c \|V\|_{L^2}$$

where $1 \ge 1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ and $p_1 > d/2$, $p_2 > 2d$ i.e. p is greater than $2d/5$. Thus, in the two–dimensional case (d=2) the operator $(\Delta - \rho)^{-1} V (\Delta - \rho)^{-1}$ is indeed nuclear. □

3.3. Calculations with resolvents. Let us regard the Schrödinger operators (2.3) $H_j = -\Delta + V_j$ corresponding to potentials $V_j \in L^2(\Omega)$, $j = 1, 2$ and their resolvents $R_j = R_j(z) = (z - H_j)^{-1}$ at a common regular point z. We can decompose the difference of these resolvents in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 - R_1 &= R_2(1 - R_2^{-1} R_1) = R_2(R_1^{-1} R_1 - R_2^{-1} R_1) \\ &= R_2(R_1^{-1} - R_2^{-1}) R_1 = R_2 (V_2 - V_1) R_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using this decomposition a second time one gets

$$R_2 - R_1 = R_1 V R_1 + (R_2 - R_1) V R_1 = R_1 V R_1 + R_2 V R_1 V R_1,$$

where $V = V_2 - V_1$. Continuing this way one obtains the expansion

$$R_2 = R_1 \left(\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} (V R_1)^l \right) + R_2 (V R_1)^L \quad \forall L = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{3.5}$$

By means of (3.5) the difference of resolvent–squares can be decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^2 - R_1^2 &= R_2(R_2 - R_1) + (R_2 - R_1) R_1 \\ &= R_1(R_2 - R_1) + (R_2 - R_1) R_1 + (R_2 - R_1)(R_2 - R_1) \\ &= R_1^2 V R_1 + R_1 V R_1^2 + R_1 R_2 (V R_1)^2 + R_2 (V R_1)^2 R_1 + (R_2 V R_1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. L^2–bounded sets of potentials. We regard the Schrödinger operators (2.3) corresponding to potentials V from the closed M–ball $\mathcal{M}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and denote the resolvent of H_V at $z = -\rho_M = \sigma_M - 1$ (cf. (2.5)) by

$$R_V = (-\rho_M - H_V)^{-1} = (\Delta - \rho_M - V)^{-1}. \tag{3.6}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 $z = -\rho_M$ is a common regular point for all the Schrödinger operators under consideration.

Theorem 3.1. *The norms $\|(H_V + \rho_M) \cdot\|_{L^2}$ related to the Schrödinger operators H_V, $V \in \mathcal{M}$ are uniformly equivalent, i.e. there is a constant C_M such that*

$$C_M \|(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1} (\rho_M - \Delta)\| \le 1, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Remark 3.1. As ρ_M is a real value there is

$$\left[(\rho_M - \Delta)(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}\right]^* = (H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta)$$

and we have for all potentials $V \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\|(\rho_M - \Delta)(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}\| = \|(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta)\| \leq \frac{1}{C_M}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We decompose by means of (3.5)

$$(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta) = R_V R_0^{-1} = 1 + V R_V$$

and estimate the operator norm:

$$\|(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta)\| \leq 1 + \|V\|_{L^2}\|R_V\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2, L^\infty)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Now we get the assertion by the following lemma. □

Lemma 3.3. *The resolvents* (3.6) *of the Schrödinger operators* (2.3) *are uniformly bounded in* $\mathcal{B}(L^2, L^\infty)$ *for all potentials* $V \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. The set $\{R_V : V \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{B}(L^2, L^\infty)$ iff

$$\{\phi : (\Delta - \rho_M - V)\phi = \psi, \|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq 1, V \in \mathcal{M}\} \tag{3.9}$$

is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.
According to Theorem 2.1 the distance of $-\rho_M$ to the spectrum of the operator $-\Delta + V$ is at least 1 and thus $\|(\Delta - \rho_M - V)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2,L^2)} \leq 1$. Hence the set (3.9) lies within the unit ball of $L^2(\Omega)$. This implies

$$\|\psi + V\phi\|_{L^1} \leq \sqrt{|\Omega|} + M, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{M}, \|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq 1$$

for all ϕ from (3.9), i.e. the set

$$\{\psi + V\phi : (\Delta - \rho_M)\phi = \psi + V\phi, \|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq 1, V \in \mathcal{M}\} \tag{3.10}$$

is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$. The embedding $L^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{-1,p}$ is continuous for $1 \leq p < \frac{d}{d-1}$, hence for these p the set (3.10) is bounded in $W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$. As $\Delta - \rho_M$ is a continuous bijection from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ onto $W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ for $p_0/(p_0 - 1) \leq p \leq p_0$ (cf. (1.13)), now follows that the set (3.9) is H_0^1–bounded in the one–dimensional case ($d = 1$) and in general $W_0^{1,p}$–bounded if $\frac{p_0}{p_0-1} \leq p < \frac{d}{d-1}$. This implies the L^q–boundedness of (3.9) for

- $q = \infty$ in the one–dimensional case ($d = 1$),
- $1 \leq q < \infty$ in the two–dimensional case ($d = 2$),
- $1 \leq q \leq 3$ in the three–dimensional case ($d = 3$),

according to Sobolev's Embedding Theorem. As

$$\|\psi + V\phi\|_{L^r} \leq |\Omega|^{1/r}\|\psi\|_{L^2} + \|V\|_{L^2}\|\phi\|_{L^q} \quad 1/r = 1/2 + 1/q$$

now follows the L^r–boundedness of the set (3.10) for

- $1 \leq r < 2$ in the two–dimensional case ($d = 2$),
- $1 \leq r \leq 3/2$ in the three–dimensional case ($d = 3$).

By embedding (3.10) is bounded in $W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ for

- $1 \leq p < \infty$ in the two–dimensional case ($d = 2$),

- $1 \le p \le 3$ in the three–dimensional case ($d = 3$).

If $p_0/(p_0-1) \le p \le \min\{3, p_0\}$ the bijection $(\Delta - \rho_M)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(W^{-1,p}, W_0^{1,p}\right)$ maps the $W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$–bounded set (3.10) onto (3.9) which thus turns out to be a bounded set in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.
In the **two–dimensional case** ($d = 2$) now immediately follows the L^∞–boundedness of the set (3.9) because $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded into $L^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $p > 2$.
In the **three–dimensional case** ($d = 3$) we have to reiterate our argument once again. From the $W_0^{1,3}$–boundedness of (3.9) one obtains by embedding the L^q–boundedness for $1 \le q < \infty$. Hence the set (3.10) is L^r–bounded for $1 \le r < 2$ and via embedding it is $W^{-1,p}$–bounded for $1 \le p < 6$. Application of $(\Delta - \rho_M)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(W^{-1,p}, W_0^{1,p}\right)$ now provides the $W_0^{1,p}$–boundedness of the set (3.9) for some $p > 3$ and thus by embedding its L^∞–boundedness. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let be $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ two bounded sets in $L^2(\Omega)$. The set*

$$\{W R_V \;:\; W \in \mathcal{M}_1, V \in \mathcal{M}_2\} \tag{3.11}$$

is bounded in $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$ by

$$\sup_{W\in\mathcal{M}_1} \|W\|_{L^2} \sup_{V\in\mathcal{M}_2} \|R_V\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2,L^\infty)}.$$

Corollary 3.4. *The eigenfunctions $\{\psi_l(V)\}_{l=1}^\infty$ of the Schrödinger operator (2.3) are continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and there is*

$$\|\psi_l(V)\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \le \frac{c}{C_M}\left(\epsilon_l(V) + \rho_M\right), \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{M}, \tag{3.12}$$

$\epsilon_l(V)$ being the eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator H_V corresponding to $\psi_l(V)$.

Proof. The eigenfunctions $\psi_l = \psi_l(V)$ belong to $H^2(\Omega)$ which is continuously embedded into $C(\overline{\Omega})$. There is for all $V \in \mathcal{M}$ (recall Theorem 3.1 and (2.2))

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_l\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} &\le c_0 \|\psi_l\|_{H^2} \le c\,\|(\rho_M - \Delta)\psi_l\|_{L^2} \le \frac{c}{C_M}\|(H_V + \rho_M)\psi_l\|_{L^2} \\
&= \frac{c}{C_M}\|(\epsilon_l + \rho_M)\psi_l\|_{L^2} = \frac{c}{C_M}(\epsilon_l + \rho_M) \quad l = 1, 2, \dots,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_l = \epsilon_l(V)$. □

3.5. Dependence on the complex argument. Let $H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda)$ be the spectral decomposition of the (self–adjoint) Schrödinger operator (2.3). For all $z \in \mathcal{C}$, $z \notin \sigma(H)$ there is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(z - H)^{-1}(H + \rho_M)\| = \|(H + \rho_M)(z - H)^{-1}\| \\
&= \left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda + \rho_M}{z - \lambda} d\|E(\lambda)\|\right| \le \left(\sup_{\lambda\in\sigma(H)}\left|\frac{\lambda + \rho_M}{z - \lambda}\right|\right)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\|E(\lambda)\| \\
&\le \sup_{\lambda\in\sigma(H)}\left(1 + \frac{|z + \rho_M|}{|z - \lambda|}\right) \le 1 + \frac{\rho_M + |z|}{\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(H))}.
\end{aligned}$$

(N.B. the operators $(H + \rho_M)$ and $(z - H)^{-1}$ commute, as self–adjoint operators commute with their resolvents.) There follows for all $V \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\|V\|_{L^2} \le M$ and $z \in \mathcal{C}$, $\operatorname{dist}(z, [\sigma_M, \infty)) \ge \delta > 0$

$$\|(z - H)^{-1}(H + \rho_M)\| = \|(H + \rho_M)(z - H)^{-1}\| \le 1 + \frac{\rho_M + |z|}{\delta}. \tag{3.13}$$

Lemma 3.4. *For all potentials $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\|V\|_{L^2} \le M$ and all $z \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\operatorname{dist}(z, [\sigma_M, \infty)) \ge \delta > 0$ there is for the resolvent $(z - H_V)^{-1}$ of the Schrödinger operator* (2.3)

$$\|(\rho_M - \Delta)(z - H_V)^{-1}\| = \|(z - H_V)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta)\| \le C_{M\delta}\,(1 + |z|) \tag{3.14}$$

where $C_{M\delta} = \frac{\rho_M + \delta}{C_M \delta}$, $\rho_M = 1 - \sigma_M$ and σ_M as defined in (2.4).

Proof. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_M - \Delta)(z - H_V)^{-1} &= (\rho_M - \Delta)(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}(H_V + \rho_M)(z - H_V)^{-1} \\ (z - H_V)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta) &= (z - H_V)^{-1}(H_V + \rho_M)(H_V + \rho_M)^{-1}(\rho_M - \Delta) \end{aligned}$$

and estimate by means of (3.13) and Theorem 3.1. □

Using this result we can state for the resolvents of the Schrödinger operators corresponding to potentials from the M–ball in $L^2(\Omega)$ estimates which are analogous to those for the resolvent of the Laplacian from Sections 3.1 and 3.2. From the Lemmata 3.1, 3.4 and Corollary 3.1 follows immediately

Proposition 3.1. *Let be V a potential from the M–ball in $L^2(\Omega)$ and z a complex number with $\operatorname{dist}(z, [\sigma_M, \infty)) > 0$. Then the resolvent $(z - H_V)^{-1}$ of the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *belongs to the summability–class $\mathcal{B}_p$ for all $p > d/2$. Moreover there is for all $\alpha > 0$*

$$(z - H_V)^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_p, \quad \forall p > d/(2\alpha). \tag{3.15}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let be $U, V \in L^2(\Omega)$, $M = \|V\|_{L^2}$, z a complex number with $\operatorname{dist}(z, [\sigma_M, \infty)) \ge \delta > 0$ and $H_V = -\Delta + V$ the Schrödinger operator* (2.3). *Then $U(z - H_V)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_p$, for all $p > 2d$ and*

$$\|U(z - H_V)^{-1}\|_p \le c\, C_{M\delta}(1 + |z|)\|U\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. We decompose

$$U(z - H_V)^{-1} = -U(\Delta - \rho_M)^{-1}(\Delta - \rho_M)(z - H_V)^{-1}$$

and estimate by means of Hölder's inequality

$$\|U(z - H_V)^{-1}\|_p \le \|U(\Delta - \rho_M)^{-1}\|_p \|(\Delta - \rho_M)(z - H_V)^{-1}\|.$$

Now the assertion follows from the Lemmata 3.2 and 3.4. □

By means of Propositions 3.1, 3.2 one obtains

$$(z - H_{V_1})^{-1}U(z - H_{V_2})^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_p,$$

$$\left\|(z - H_{V_1})^{-1}U(z - H_{V_2})^{-1}\right\|_p \le c\, C_{M\delta}^2(1 + |z|)^2\|U\|_{L^2},\ M = \max\left\{\|V_1\|_{L^2}, \|V_2\|_{L^2}\right\},$$

$$\forall p > 2d/5, \quad \forall U, V_1, V_2 \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{C},\ \operatorname{dist}(z, [\sigma_M, \infty)) \ge \delta > 0.$$

Thus, in the two–dimensional case ($d = 2$) the operators $(z - H_{V_1})^{-1}U(z - H_{V_2})^{-1}$ are nuclear. In the three–dimensional case ($d = 3$) any product of two resolvents $(z - H_{V_j})^{-1}$, $j = 1, 2$ and one operator $U(z - H_V)^{-1}$ is nuclear; more precisely

Proposition 3.3. *Let be $U, V, V_1, V_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $M = \max\{\|V\|_{L^2}, \|V_1\|_{L^2}, \|V_2\|_{L^2}\}$, z a complex number with $\operatorname{dist}(z, [\sigma_M, \infty)) \geq \delta > 0$ and H_V, H_{V_1}, H_{V_2} the Schrödinger operators (2.3) with potentials V, V_1, V_2, respectively. Then all first order products of the operators $(z - H_{V_1})^{-1}$, $(z - H_{V_2})^{-1}$ and $U(z - H_V)^{-1}$ belong to the summability–class $\mathcal{B}_p$ for all $p > 2d/9$ and have equal $\mathcal{B}_p$–norm. There is*

$$\left\|(z - H_{V_1})^{-1}U(z - H_V)^{-1}(z - H_{V_2})^{-1}\right\|_p \leq c\, C_{M\delta}^3 (1 + |z|)^3 \|U\|_{L^2}.$$

4. Functions of the Schrödinger operator

Ultimately we are interested in the Fréchet derivative of $\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{f}(H_V))$ with respect to the potential V, where H_V is the Schrödinger operator (2.3) and $\mathfrak{f}$ is the positive decreasing distribution function f or one of its primitive functions. We have got p–summability of the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator H_V. Now we are looking for a suitable representation of $\mathfrak{f}(H_V)$ in terms of the resolvent of H_V. Nier based his calculations on the following one due to Helffer and Sjöstrand [?]:
Let be H a self–adjoint operator in a Hilbert space, $\mathfrak{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathfrak{F} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{C})$ an extension of $\mathfrak{f}$ such that $\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathfrak{F} = 0$ on the real axis. Then there is

$$\mathfrak{f}(H) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}}\mathfrak{F}(z)(z - H)^{-1} d\bar{z} \wedge dz\ .$$

In the present context, however, this construction is rather complicated, because the distribution function has not finite support. One may raise the question whether a Dunford integral of the form

$$\mathfrak{f}(H_V) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \mathfrak{f}(z)(z - H_V)^{-1} dz$$

cannot be used, where Γ is an orientated contour 'enclosing' the spectrum of H_V. This seems possible as the spectrum of H_V is uniformly bounded from below for all potentials from a ball in L^2 and indeed it can be done.

4.1. Functional calculus. For any $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\delta > 0$ we define

$$K = K_{\rho,\delta} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} :\ \operatorname{dist}([\rho, \infty), z) \leq \delta\}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

A path Γ is said to be admissible with respect to $K = K_{\rho,\delta}$, or K–admissible, if $\Gamma \subset K$ is a piecewise continuously differentiable orientated contour with $\Gamma \cap [\rho, \infty) = \emptyset$, surrounding the half–axis $[\rho, \infty)$ in such a way that it always lies on the left–hand side. Let be $G \supset K$ a region in $\mathbf{C}$ and let us assume throughout this section

$$\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{O}(G), \quad \int_{\partial K} |\mathfrak{f}(z)| dz < \infty, \quad \|\mathfrak{f}\|_{L^\infty(K)} < \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(G)$ denotes the $\mathbf{C}$–algebra of all holomorphic functions in the domain G [13].

Lemma 4.1. *For any $K_{\rho,\delta}$–admissible contour Γ there is*

$$\mathfrak{f}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}\,dz, \quad \forall\lambda\in[\rho,\infty). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Let be $j > \rho$ arbitrary and

$$\begin{array}{rclrcl} \{z_j^+\} &=& \{z\in\Gamma : \Re z = j,\ \Im z > 0\} & \{z_j^-\} &=& \{z\in\Gamma : \Re z = j,\ \Im z < 0\} \\ \Gamma_j &=& \{z\in\Gamma : \Re z < j\} & \gamma_j &=& \{z\in\partial K : \Re z < j\}, \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_j &=& [z_j^-, z_j^+] & \tilde{\gamma}_j &=& [j-i\delta, z_j^-]\cup[j+i\delta, z_j^+]. \end{array}$$

Now let be $\lambda\in[\rho,\infty)$ and j any integer with $j > \lambda+1$. According to Cauchy's Curvilinear Integral Theorem we have

$$\mathfrak{f}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j\cup\tilde{\Gamma}_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz.$$

The first integral on the right–hand side is uniformly bounded for all $\lambda\in[\rho,\infty)$ and $j > \lambda+1$:

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz\right| \le \left|\int_{\gamma_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz\right| + \left|\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz\right| \le \frac{1}{\delta}\int_{\gamma_j}|\mathfrak{f}(z)|\,dz + 2\delta\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{L^\infty(K)}.$$

In the limit $j\to\infty$ we have by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{\Gamma_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz = \int_\Gamma\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz.$$

The remaining integral tends to zero as $j\to\infty$ because of

$$\left|\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_j}\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}dz\right| \le \frac{2\delta}{j-\lambda}\|\mathfrak{f}\|_{L^\infty(K)}.$$

□

Corollary 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Lemma* 4.1 *there is*

$$\mathfrak{f}^{(k)}(\lambda) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma\frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{(z-\lambda)^{k+1}}\,dz, \quad k = 0,1,\dots\,, \quad \forall\lambda\in[\rho,\infty). \tag{4.4}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let be H a self–adjoint semi–bounded operator in a Hilbert space and ρ any real number which is not greater than the lower bound of H. Then the Dunford integral over any $K_{\rho,\delta}$–admissible contour Γ with positive distance to the half–axis $[\rho,\infty)$ equals $\mathfrak{f}(H)$*

$$\mathfrak{f}(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \mathfrak{f}(z)(z-H)^{-1}\,dz. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Let's regard the spectral decomposition $H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda dE(\lambda)$ of H. Then

$$(z-H)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{z-\lambda}dE(\lambda), \quad \mathfrak{f}(H) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathfrak{f}(\lambda)dE(\lambda).$$

By means of Lemma 4.1 and Fubini's Theorem we can justify the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{f}(H) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathfrak{f}(\lambda) dE(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{\mathfrak{f}(z)}{z-\lambda}\, dz\, dE(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \mathfrak{f}(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dE(\lambda)}{z-\lambda}\, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \mathfrak{f}(z)(z-H)^{-1}\, dz.\end{aligned}$$

N.B. $\mathfrak{f} dz \otimes dE(\lambda)$ is a bounded measure on $\Gamma \times [\rho, \infty)$ and $|\frac{1}{z-\lambda}| \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}([\rho,\infty),\Gamma)}$ for $z \in \Gamma$, $\lambda \in [\rho, \infty)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 4.1 *there is*

$$\mathfrak{f}^{(k)}(H) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \mathfrak{f}(z)(z-H)^{-(k+1)}\, dz, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots . \tag{4.6}$$

Observing Theorem 2.1 we now get

Corollary 4.3. *Let be $M > 0$, H_V the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *corresponding to a potential $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\|V\|_{L^2} \le M$, σ_M as defined in* (2.4)*, $y \in \mathbf{R}$ arbitrary and $\rho < \sigma_M - y$. Then for any $K_{\rho,\delta}$–admissible contour Γ with positive distance to the half–axis $[\rho, \infty)$ there is*

$$\mathfrak{f}^{(k)}(H_V - y) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \mathfrak{f}(z)(z - H_V + y)^{-(k+1)}\, dz, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots . \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 4.1. We can choose in particular $\Gamma = \partial K$, $K = K_{\rho,\delta}$ according to (4.1), as a K–admissible path with positive distance to the half axis $[\rho, \infty)$ which we will frequently do in the following applications.

4.2. The distribution function f and its primitive functions. In §1 we asked the distribution function f to be positive, strictly decreasing and vanishing towards $+\infty$ in such a way that $F(s) = -\int_s^\infty f(t)dt$ exists. Provided all the integrals involved are finite we denote by

$$f^{(-j)}(s) := -\int_s^\infty f^{(1-j)}(t)dt, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots , \quad f^{(0)} := f \tag{4.8}$$

the iterated primitive functions of f which tend to zero while the argument approaches $+\infty$. The functions $(-1)^j f^{(-j)}$ are positive and strictly decreasing and if $f^{(-j)}$exists there is $\lim_{t\to\infty} t|f^{(1-j)}(t)| = 0$.
In view of the functional calculus from §4.1 we will assume that f is the trace of some holomorphic function in a region G covering the real line. More precisely G shall be a region in $\mathbf{C}$ which is symmetric about $\mathbf{R}$ with the following property

$$\forall \rho \in \mathbf{R}\ \exists \delta > 0 \quad K_{\rho,\delta} \subset G. \tag{4.9}$$

With regard to the distribution function f we assume

$$f \in \mathcal{O}(G), \quad f(G \cap \mathbf{R}) \subset (0, +\infty), \quad f'(G \cap \mathbf{R}) \subset (-\infty, 0). \tag{4.10}$$

The reality of f on $\mathbf{R}$ can equivalently be expressed as $f(\bar{z}) = \bar{f}(z)$ for all $z \in G$.
N.B. f has primitive functions which are holomorphic in G and real–valued on $\mathbf{R}$.
Further we have to impose a decay property on the primitives of f:

$$\int_\rho^\infty \left| f^{-k}(t + i\delta) \right| |t|^\nu dt < \infty, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (k, \nu) = (1,4), (2,4), (3,6), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\delta = \delta(\rho)$ is as in (4.9). (4.11) ensures together with the holomorphy of f in G and the reality of f on $\mathbf{R}$ the existence of the integrals

$$\int_{\partial K_{\rho,\delta}} \left|f^{-k}(z)\right| (1+|z|^{\nu})\, dz < \infty, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbf{R}, \qquad (4.12)$$
$$(k,\nu) \in (1,[0,4]) \cup (2,[0,4]) \cup (3,[0,6]),$$

for the sets $K = K_{\rho,\delta}$ from (4.9). We need the property (4.12) with $(k,\nu) = (3,6)$ in order to prove the uniform boundedness of the electron density (cf. Proposition 6.1) and we require it with $(k,\nu) = (1,4)$ and $(k,\nu) = (2,4)$ to get the Fréchet–differentiability (with respect to the potential V) of the operator $f\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)$ and $F\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)$ respectively (cf. Lemma 6.1).

Remark 4.2. The class (4.10), (4.11) covers all the physically relevant distribution functions, such as the decaying exponential $e^{-\alpha t}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\frac{\beta}{1+e^{\alpha t}}$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$.
By means of the functional calculus we can prove

Theorem 4.2. *Let be H the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *with an arbitrary potential $V \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then $f(H)$ and $F(H)$ are nuclear operators in $L^2(\Omega)$, where f is a distribution function with* (4.10),(4.11) *and $F = f^{(-1)}$ the corresponding primitive* (4.8).

Proof. Let be $j = 0$ or $j = 1$. According to Corollary 4.3 there is

$$f^{(-j)}(H) \;=\; \frac{2!}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial K} f^{(-j-2)}(z)(z-H)^{-3}\, dz\;,$$

where $K = K_{\sigma_M,\delta(\sigma_M)}$, $M = \|V\|_{L^2}$. We know that $(z-H)^{-3}$ is nuclear (cf. Proposition 3.3, take $U \equiv 1$), thus

$$\|f^{(-j)}(H)\|_1 \le \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\partial K} |f^{(-j-2)}(z)|\; \|(z-H)^{-3}\|_1\, dz$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\pi} C_{M\delta}^3 \int_{\partial K} |f^{(-j-2)}(z)|(1+|z|)^3 dz \;<\; \infty.$$

The right–hand side of this inequality is finite according to (4.12). □

4.3. Differentiation with respect to potentials from $L^2(\Omega)$. We will now establish the Fréchet–differentiability of the function

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to \mathcal{B}_1\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_0(V) := f^{(-j)}(H_V), \quad j = 0,1 \qquad (4.13)$$

which depends on the potential V of the Schrödinger operator (2.3) and where $f^{(0)} = f$ is a distribution function with (4.10),(4.11) and $f^{(-1)} = F$ the corresponding primitive (4.8). The procedure is analogous to that in [12], though by admitting potentials from $L^2(\Omega)$ one has to regard higher order resolvent terms.

Theorem 4.3. *The function* (4.13) *is Fréchet differentiable for every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the derivative $\mathcal{F}_0'(V) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{B}_1)$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\left(R^2WR + RWR^2\right)\, dz, \quad \forall W \in L^2(\Omega), \quad (4.14)$$

where $R = R(z) = (z-H)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator (2.3) *and Γ is any K–admissible contour with positive distance to the half–axis $[\sigma_M, \infty)$. σ_M*

is as in (2.5) *with* $M > \|V\|_{L^2}$ *and* $K = K_{\sigma_M,\delta(\sigma_M)}$ *is the set* (4.1) *with the* δ *from* (4.9).

Proof. Indeed, $\mathcal{F}_0'(V) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{B}_1)$, because by Proposition 3.3 (also recall Remark 4.1, (4.9) and (4.1)) there is for any $W \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W]\|_1 &\le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial K} |f^{(-j-1)}(z)| \left\|R^2WR + RWR^2\right\|_1 dz \\ &\le \frac{c}{2\pi} C_{M\delta}^3 \|W\|_{L^2} \int_{\partial K} |f^{(-j-1)}(z)|\,(1+|z|)^3\, dz \end{aligned}$$

and the integral on the right–hand side is finite according to (4.12). Without loss of generality let be $W \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\|V+W\|_{L^2} \le M$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_0(V+W) - \mathcal{F}_0(V) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\left((z-(H+W))^{-2} - (z-H)^{-2}\right)\, dz\ .$$

Now we decompose the difference of the resolvent–squares (cf. §3.3) under the integral

$$R_W^2 - R^2 = R^2WR + RWR^2 + RR_W(WR)^2 + R_W(WR)^2R + (R_WWR)^2$$

where $R = R(z) = (z-H)^{-1}$ and $R_W = R_W(z) = (z-(H+W))^{-1}$. The first two terms are the contribution to the derivative and we get for the remainder of the linear expansion of $\mathcal{F}_0(V+W)$ at V

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(V,W) &= \mathcal{F}_0(V+W) - \mathcal{F}_0(V) - \mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\ \left(RR_W(WR)^2 + R_W(WR)^2R + (R_WWR)^2\right)\, dz. \end{aligned}$$

By means of Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.3 and (4.12) we can estimate the nuclear norm of the remainder

$$\|\omega(V,W)\|_1 \le \frac{c}{2\pi} C_{M\delta}^4 \|W\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{\partial K} |f^{(-j-1)}(z)|\,(1+|z|)^4\, dz\ <\ \infty.$$

Thus we have received the desired result

$$\frac{\|\omega(V,W)\|_1}{\|W\|_{L^2}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \|W\|_{L^2} \to 0\ .$$

□

Remark 4.3. One gets higher derivatives of $\mathcal{F}_0(V)$ by further decomposing the difference of the resolvent–squares $R_W^2 - R^2$. Thus one obtains for the second order term in the Taylor expansion

$$\mathcal{F}_0(V+W) = \mathcal{F}_0(V) + \mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W] + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_0''(V)[W,W] + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{F}_0''(V)[W,W] = \frac{2!}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\left(R^2(WR)^2 + R(WR)^2R + (RWR)^2\right) dz,$$

where $R = (z-H_V)^{-1}$. The nuclear norm of the corresponding remainder is a $o(\|W\|_{L^2}^2)$.

If the operators W and $R = (z - H)^{-1}$ commuted, there would be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W] &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\left(R^2WR + RWR^2\right)\,dz \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)(z-H)^{-3}\,dz\right)\,W \;=\; f^{(1-j)}(H)\,W,\end{aligned}$$

i. e. $\mathcal{F}_0'(V) = f^{(1-j)}(H)$. In general, however, the operators W and $(z-H)^{-1}$ do not commute, but we get something of the above under the trace, as $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$.

Theorem 4.4. *The functional*

$$\phi_0 \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to \mathbf{R}\right), \quad \phi_0(V) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F}_0(V)) = \operatorname{tr}(f^{(-j)}(H_V)), \quad j = 0, 1 \qquad (4.15)$$

is Fréchet differentiable for every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the derivative $\phi_0'(V) \in (L^2(\Omega))^* \equiv L^2(\Omega)$ is given by

$$\langle \phi_0'(V), W\rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left(f^{(1-j)}(H_V)\,W\right), \quad \forall W \in L^2(\Omega). \qquad (4.16)$$

Proof. Let be $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ arbitrary and fixed, $M > \|V\|_{L^2}$ and without loss of generality $W \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\|V + W\|_{L^2} \le M$. Each term in the linear expansion

$$\mathcal{F}_0(V+W) = \mathcal{F}_0(V) + \mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W] + \omega(V,W)$$

of $\mathcal{F}_0(V+W)$ is nuclear and by applying the continuous linear functional tr we get

$$\phi_0(V+W) = \phi_0(V) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W]) + \operatorname{tr}(\omega(V,W)).$$

Let us first estimate the remainder

$$|\operatorname{tr}(\omega(V,W))| \le \|\omega(V,W)\|_1 = o(\|W\|_{L^2}).$$

$\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W])$ is indeed the Fréchet derivative $\phi_0'(V)$ applied to W, because the composition $\operatorname{tr}\circ\mathcal{F}_0'(V):\; L^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_0'(V)} \mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}} \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous linear functional. Now we calculate the derivative explicitly, using the relation $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_0'(V), W\rangle &= \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F}_0'(V)[W]) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\operatorname{tr}\left(R^2WR + RWR^2\right)\,dz \\ &= \frac{2}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\operatorname{tr}\left((z-H_V)^{-3}\,W\right)\,dz \\ &= tr\left(\frac{2}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)(z-H_V)^{-3}\,dz\;W\right) = tr\left(f^{(1-j)}(H_V)\,W\right)\end{aligned}$$

where Γ is as in Theorem 4.3. □

5. The Fermi level $\epsilon_0(V)$

The Fermi level ϵ_0 as a function of the potential V is implicitly defined by the electric–neutrality equation

$$\bar{n}_D = \int_\Omega n_D(x)dx = \int_\Omega n(x)dx = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(\epsilon_l(V) - \epsilon_0(V)), \qquad (5.1)$$

where $\{\epsilon_l(V)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ are the eigenvalues in increasing order (counting multiplicity) of the Schrödinger operator (2.3) with the potential $V \in L^2(\Omega)$. Indeed, for every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ the Fermi level $\epsilon_0(V)$ is uniquely determined that way, as the series

$$g(V,y) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(\epsilon_l(V) - y) = \operatorname{tr}\left(f\left(H_V - y\right)\right), \quad V \in L^2(\Omega),\ y \in \mathbf{R} \tag{5.2}$$

converges according to Theorem 4.2 and defines a positive and (strictly) increasing function $g_V(y) = g(V,y)$ with the property

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} g_V(y) = \infty, \quad \lim_{y\to-\infty} g_V(y) = 0.$$

The function $g \in (L^2(\Omega) \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R})$ has continuous partial derivatives

$$\partial_1 g(V,y) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), \mathbf{R}) \equiv L^2(\Omega), \qquad \partial_2 g(V,y) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}) \equiv \mathbf{R}$$

on $L^2(\Omega) \times \mathbf{R}$

$$\langle \partial_1 g(V,y), W\rangle \;=\; \operatorname{tr}(f'(H_V - y)W), \quad \forall W \in L^2(\Omega) \tag{5.3}$$

$$\partial_2 g(V,y) \;=\; -\operatorname{tr}(f'(H_V - y)) \;=\; -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f'(\epsilon_l(V) - y) > 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Thus, by the Implicit Function Theorem for every $\tilde{V} \in L^2(\Omega)$ there is a neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ of $\tilde{V}$ and a continuously Fréchet–differentiable function $\epsilon_0 \in \left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathbf{R}\right)$ such that

$$g(V, \epsilon_0(V)) = \bar{n}_D, \quad \forall V \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$$

and the Fréchet–derivative $\epsilon_0' \in \left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to L^2(\Omega)\right)$ is given by

$$\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W)}{\operatorname{tr}(f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)))}, \quad \forall W \in L^2(\Omega),\ \forall V \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{5.5}$$

This implies that $\epsilon_0 \in (L^2(\Omega) \to \mathbf{R})$ is a continuously Fréchet–differentiable function on every compact subset of $L^2(\Omega)$. Nier [12] used the M–ball of $H^1(\Omega)$ as such a set. We want to extend the result to the M–ball of $L^2(\Omega)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let be $M > 0$ arbitrary and $\mathcal{M}$ the closed M–ball in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then the mapping $\epsilon_0 \in (\,(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{wk}) \to \mathbf{R})$ is continuous.*

Proof. Assume the mapping $\epsilon_0 \in (\,(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{wk}) \to \mathbf{R})$ were not continuous. Then there is a sequence $\{V_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and a $V \in \mathcal{M}$ with $V_l \rightharpoonup V$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$|\epsilon_0(V_l) - \epsilon_0(V)| > \delta_0 > 0, \quad \forall l = 1,2,\dots\,. \tag{5.6}$$

Let be $H = H_V = -\Delta + V$ and $H_l = H_{V_l} = -\Delta + V_l$ the Schrödinger operators (2.3) corresponding to the potentials V and V_l, $l = 1,2,\dots$, respectively. We abbreviate $\epsilon_0 := \epsilon_0(V)$ and $\epsilon_{0l} := \epsilon_0(V_l)$, $l = 1,2,\dots$. According to the electric–neutrality condition (5.1) we have

$$\bar{n}_D = \operatorname{tr}\left(f(H_l - \epsilon_{0l})\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(f(H - \epsilon_0)\right), \quad \forall l = 1,2,\dots\,,$$

i.e. for all $l = 1,2,\dots$ there is

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(f(H_l - \epsilon_{0l}) - f(H_l - \epsilon_0)\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(f(H - \epsilon_0) - f(H_l - \epsilon_0)\right). \tag{5.7}$$

Next we will show that the right–hand side of (5.7) tends to 0 as $l \to \infty$. As the V_l are bounded in L^2 there is by Corollary 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr}\left(f(H-\epsilon_0) - f(H_l - \epsilon_0)\right) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial K} F(z) \operatorname{tr}\left((z-H+\epsilon_0)^{-2} - (z-H_l+\epsilon_0)^{-2}\right)\, dz, \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where $K = K_{\rho,\delta(\rho)}$ with $\rho = \sigma_M - \epsilon_0$ is as in (4.1) and (4.9) respectively. N.B. K does not depend on l. We denote $\zeta = z + \epsilon_0$, decompose the difference of the resolvents

$$\begin{aligned} &(\zeta - H)^{-2} - (\zeta - H_l)^{-2} \\ &\quad = (\zeta - H)^{-2}(V - V_l)(\zeta - H_l)^{-1} + (\zeta - H)^{-1}(V - V_l)(\zeta - H_l)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and estimate its nuclear norm by means of Hölder's inequality and the Lemmata 3.1 and 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|(\zeta - H)^{-2} - (\zeta - H_l)^{-2}\right\|_1 \\ &\quad \le \left\|(\zeta - H)^{-1}(V - V_l)(\zeta - H_l)^{-1}\right\|_2 \left(\left\|(\zeta - H)^{-1}\right\|_2 + \left\|(\zeta - H_l)^{-1}\right\|_2\right) \\ &\quad \le 2C_{M\delta}^3(1+|\zeta|)^3 \left\|(\rho - \Delta)^{-1}(V - V_l)(\rho - \Delta)^{-1}\right\|_2 \left\|(\rho - \Delta)^{-1}\right\|_2 \\ &\quad \le 2C_{M\delta}^3(1+|\zeta|)^3 \left\|(\rho - \Delta)^{-1}\right\|_2 \left\|(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2}\right\|_4^2 \left\|(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2}(V - V_l)(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2}\right\| \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $\rho = \rho_M = 1 - \sigma_M$. This decomposition ensures on the one hand the $\mathcal{B}_4$–summability of the operator $(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2}$ (cf. Corollary 3.1) and on the other hand allows to decompose $(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2} = (\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/8}$, into a part which maps L^2 into L^4 as

$$\operatorname{dom}(\rho - \Delta)^{3/8} \subset H^{3/4}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Omega)$$

(cf. e.g. [1]) and another part $(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/8}$ which is compact. By means of this decomposition we get in a first step that the sequence of operators

$$A_l = (\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}(V - V_l)(\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8} \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)\right), \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots$$

converges $A_l \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^2(\Omega)\right)$. Indeed there is for all $\psi, \phi \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_l \psi, \phi\rangle &= \langle (\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}(V - V_l)(\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\psi, \phi\rangle \\ &= \langle (V - V_l)(\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\psi, (\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\phi\rangle \\ &= \int_\Omega (V - V_l)(x) \, \left((\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\psi\right)(x) \, \left((\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\phi\right)(x)\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

and this tends to zero as $l \to \infty$ because $\left((\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\psi\right)\left((\rho - \Delta)^{-3/8}\phi\right) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $V_l \rightharpoonup V$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Next we will show that the sequence of the operators

$$(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2}(V - V_l)(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/2} = (\rho - \Delta)^{-1/8} A_l (\rho - \Delta)^{-1/8}$$

converges to zero in the uniform operator topology of $\mathcal{B}\left(L^2(\Omega)\right)$. Otherwise there would be a sequence $\{\phi_l\} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ with $\|\phi_l\|_{L^2} = 1$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that

$$\left\|(\rho - \Delta)^{-1/8} A_l (\rho - \Delta)^{-1/8}\phi_l\right\|_{L^2} > \delta_0 > 0, \quad \forall l = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{5.10}$$

As the operator $(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}$ is compact then there would be a $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and a subsequence $\{\phi_{l_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\phi_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ with $(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}\phi_{l_j} \to \phi$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}A_{l_j}(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}\phi_{l_j}\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad \le \left\|(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}A_{l_j}\left((\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}\phi_{l_j}-\phi\right)\right\|_{L^2} + \left\|(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}A_{l_j}\phi\right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

For every $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have $A_l\psi \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and because $(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}$ is compact, $(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}A_l\psi \to 0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus, in particular the second term on the right–hand side of (5.11) converges towards zero as $j \to \infty$. On the other hand by the Banach–Steinhaus Theorem the operator norms $\|(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}A_l\|$ are bounded and as $(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}\phi_{l_j} \to \phi$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ this implies that the first term on the right–hand side of (5.11) tends to zero. Thus we have got $\|(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}A_{l_j}(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/8}\| \to 0$ which is a contradiction to (5.10), hence

$$\lim_{l\to\infty}\left\|(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/2}(V-V_l)(\rho-\Delta)^{-1/2}\right\| = 0. \tag{5.12}$$

Combining this result with the estimate (5.9) and (5.7), (5.8) (notice the decay properties of F as stated in (4.12)) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{l\to\infty}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(f(H-\epsilon_0)-f(H_l-\epsilon_0)\right)\right| \\ &= \lim_{l\to\infty}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(f(H_l-\epsilon_{0l})-f(H_l-\epsilon_0)\right)\right| \\ &= \lim_{l\to\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f(\epsilon_j(V_l)-\epsilon_{0l})-f(\epsilon_j(V_l)-\epsilon_0)\right| \\ &\ge \lim_{l\to\infty}\left|f(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_{0l})-f(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_0)\right| \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

(recall that f is strictly decreasing and therefore all the differences $(f(\epsilon_j(V_l)-\epsilon_{0l})-f(\epsilon_j(V_l)-\epsilon_0)$, $j=1,2,\ldots$ have the same sign). According to Theorem 2.1 the sequence $\{\epsilon_1(V_l)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. hence the sequence $\{f\left(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_0\right)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded and because of (5.13) $\{f\left(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_{0l}\right)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded too, i.e. there is an interval $[a,b]$, $0<a$, $b<\infty$ such that

$$f\left(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_0\right)\in[a,b], \quad f\left(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_{0l}\right)\in[a,b], \quad l=1,2,\ldots\,.$$

f^{-1} is strictly decreasing and continuous on $[a,b]$ because f is strictly decreasing and continuous on $\mathbf{R}$. Hence for the δ_0 from (5.6) there is a $\delta_1>0$ and for that according to (5.13) a $l_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\left|f(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_{0l})-f(\epsilon_1(V_l)-\epsilon_0)\right| < \delta_1, \quad |\epsilon_0-\epsilon_{0l}|<\delta_0 \quad \forall l \ge l_1$$

which is a contradiction to our original assumption (5.6). □

By means of the weak compactness of $\mathcal{M}$ we get immediately

Corollary 5.1. *The Fermi level $\epsilon_0(V)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{M}$*

$$\underline{\epsilon}_{0M} \le \epsilon_0(V) \le \overline{\epsilon}_{0M}, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{5.14}$$

Theorem 5.2. *The Fréchet–derivative $\epsilon_0' \in (L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega))$ of the Fermi level with respect to the potential V is bounded on any M–ball $\mathcal{M}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$*

$$\|\epsilon_0'(V)\|_{L^2} \leq L_{\epsilon_0}(M) \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{5.15}$$

Proof. Let be $V \in \mathcal{M}$ arbitrary, $\{\epsilon_l(V)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ the eigenvalues of the corresponding Schödinger operator (2.3) and $\epsilon_0(V)$, $\epsilon_0'(V)$, the Fermi level and its Fréchet–derivative at V. According to (5.5) there is

$$\|\epsilon_0'(V)\|_{L^2} = \sup_{\substack{\|W\|_{L^2}=1 \\ W \in L^2}} |\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle| = \sup_{\substack{\|W\|_{L^2}=1 \\ W \in L^2}} \left| \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))\right)} \right| \tag{5.16}$$

We estimate the numerator in (5.16) by means of Proposition 3.3 and make use of the Dunford–integral representation (cf. Corollary 4.3) of the operator $f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W$ over the contour ∂K of the set $K = K_{\sigma_M - \bar{\epsilon}_{0M}, \delta}$ with δ as in (4.9), which can be used for all $V \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W| &= \left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{\partial K} F(z)\,(z - H_V + \epsilon_0(V))^{-3}\,W dz\right)\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{\partial K} F(z)\operatorname{tr}\left((z - H_V + \epsilon_0(V))^{-3}\,W\right) dz\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\partial K} |F(z)|\left\|(z - H_V + \epsilon_0(V))^{-3}\,W\right\|_1 dz \\
&\leq \frac{c}{\pi} C_{M\delta}^3 \|W\|_{L^2} \int_{\partial K} |F(z)|\left(1 + |z|^3\right) dz < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral on the right–hand side is finite according to (4.12).
It remains to show that the denominator of (5.16) keeps away from zero on $\mathcal{M}$. Because of the monotonicity of f there is

$$-\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f'\left(\epsilon_l(V) - \epsilon_0(V)\right) \geq -f'\left(\epsilon_1(V) - \epsilon_0(V)\right), \quad \forall V \in L^2(\Omega).$$

The sets $\{\epsilon_1(V)\}_{V\in\mathcal{M}}$ and $\{\epsilon_0(V)\}_{V\in\mathcal{M}}$ are bounded (cf. Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 5.1), hence there is a finite interval $[a,b]$ such that $\epsilon_1(V) - \epsilon_0(V) \in [a,b]$ for all $V \in \mathcal{M}$ and as f' is continuous there is a $s_M \in [a,b]$ with

$$0 > f'(s_M) = \max_{s\in[a,b]} f'(s) \geq \sup_{V\in\mathcal{M}} f'(\epsilon_1(V) - \epsilon_0(V)).$$

Thus we have got that (5.16) is uniformly bounded for all $V \in \mathcal{M}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *The mapping $\epsilon_0 \in (\mathcal{M} \to \mathbf{R})$ is Lipschitz–continuous with the constant from Theorem* 5.2

$$|\epsilon_0(V_1) - \epsilon_0(V_2| \leq L_{\epsilon_0}(M)\|V_1 - V_2\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{5.17}$$

6. The Electron Density $n = n(V)$

6.1. Boundedness. For every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ the electron density $n = n(V)$ is defined by

$$n(V)(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f\left(\epsilon_l(V) - \epsilon_0(V)\right) |\psi_l(V)(x)|^2 \quad x \in \Omega\,, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\{\epsilon_l(V)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\psi_l(V)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ are the eigenvalues in increasing order (counting multiplicity) and the corresponding eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator (2.3) and $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(V)$ is the Fermi level. The series

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(\epsilon_l(V) - \epsilon_0(V)) = \operatorname{tr}\left(f(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))\right)$$

converges according to Theorem 4.2. Hence, $n(V) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Proposition 6.1. *For all potentials $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ there is $n(V) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and the defining series* (6.1) *converges uniformly for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. For every $M > 0$ we may notice*

$$\sup_{V \in \mathcal{M}} \|n(V)\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the closed M–ball in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let be $V \in \mathcal{M}$ arbitrary, $\{\epsilon_l(V)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ the eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) of the corresponding Schödinger operator (2.3) and $\epsilon_0(V)$ the Fermi level. We estimate (6.1) making use of the Dunford–integral representation (cf. Corollary 4.3) of the operator $f\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)$ over the contour ∂K of the set $K = K_{\sigma_M,\delta}$ with δ as in (4.9), thereby using (3.12), Lemma 3.4, Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 5.1 and abbreviating $\epsilon_l = \epsilon_l(V)$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|n(V)\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} &\le \frac{c^2}{C_M^2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f\left(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_0\right)\left(\rho_M + \epsilon_l\right)^2 \\
&= c_0(M)\operatorname{tr}\left(f\left(H_V - \epsilon_0\right)\left(\rho_M + H_V\right)^2\right) \\
&= c_0(M)\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{3}{\pi i}\int_{\partial K} f^{-3}(z)\left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)^{-4} dz\left(\rho_M + H_V\right)^2\right) \\
&\le c_1(M)\int_{\partial K}\left|f^{-3}(z)\right|\left\|\left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)^{-4}\left(\rho_M + H_V\right)^2\right\|_1 dz \\
&= c_1(M)\int_{\partial K}\left|f^{-3}(z)\right|\left\|\left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)^{-4}\left(\left(\rho_M + \epsilon_0 + z\right) - \left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)\right)^2\right\|_1 dz \\
&= c_1(M)\int_{\partial K}\left|f^{-3}(z)\right|\Big\|\left(\rho_M + \epsilon_0 + z\right)^2\left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)^{-4} \\
&\qquad - 2\left(\rho_M + \epsilon_0 + z\right)\left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)^{-3} + \left(z - H_V + \epsilon_0\right)^{-2}\Big\|_1 dz \\
&\le c_1(M)\int_{\partial K}\left|f^{-3}(z)\right|\Big\{\left|\rho_M + \epsilon_0 + z\right|^2 C_{M\delta}^4\left(1 + |z + \epsilon_0|^4\right) \\
&\qquad + 2\left|\rho_M + \epsilon_0 + z\right| C_{M\delta}^3\left(1 + |z + \epsilon_0|^3\right) + C_{M\delta}^2\left(1 + |z + \epsilon_0|^2\right)\Big\} dz \\
&\le c_2(M)\int_{\partial K}\left|f^{-3}(z)\right|\left(1 + |z|^6\right) dz \;<\; \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral on the right–hand side is finite according to (4.12) and the whole right–hand side does not depend any more on V. $\square$

6.2. Potentiality. We will prove that the electron–density operator

$$n \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)\right) \tag{6.3}$$

which maps an electrostatic potential $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ onto the corresponding electron density (6.1) is a potential–operator.

Lemma 6.1. *Let be H_V the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *with the potential V, $\epsilon_0(V)$ the corresponding Fermi–level* (5.1)*, $U \in L^2(\Omega)$ arbitrary but fixed and $f^{(-j)}$, $j = 0, 1$ the primitive* (4.8) *of a distribution function f with* (4.10),(4.11) *or this function itself. The function*

$$\mathcal{F} \in \left(L^2(\Omega) \to \mathcal{B}_1\right) \quad \mathcal{F}(V) = U\, f^{(-j)}(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)) \tag{6.4}$$

is Fréchet differentiable for every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the derivative $\mathcal{F}'(V) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{B}_1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}'(V)[W] = \frac{U}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z) \left(R^2(z) W R(z) + R(z) W R^2(z)\right) dz \\ - \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right) W\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)\right)}\, U f^{(1-j)}\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right) \quad \forall W \in L^2(\Omega),\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where $R = R(z) = (z - H_V + \epsilon_0(V))^{-1}$ and Γ is any $K_{\rho,\delta(\rho)}$–admissible path with positive distance to the half–axis $[\rho, \infty)$. $K = K_{\rho,\delta(\rho)}$ is the set (4.1) *with $\rho = \sigma_M - \overline{\epsilon}_{0M}$ and $M > \|V\|_{L^2}$, σ_M and $\overline{\epsilon}_{0M}$ being the lower bound* (2.4) *of the spectrum and the upper bound* (5.14) *of the Fermi level, respectively.*

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 one can show that $\mathcal{F}'(V)$ is indeed from $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{B}_1)$. Now without loss of generality let be $W \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\|V + W\|_{L^2} \le M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}(V+W) - \mathcal{F}(V) = U\, f^{(-j)}\left(H_{V+W} - \epsilon_0(V+W)\right) - U\, f^{(-j)}\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right) \\ &= \frac{U}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z) \left((z + \epsilon_0(V+W) - H_V - W)^{-2} - (z + \epsilon_0(V) - H_V)^{-2}\right)\, dz\,.\end{aligned}$$

N.B. the requirements on the contour Γ in the lemma serve for both arguments $H_{V+W} - \epsilon_0(V+W)$ and $H_V - \epsilon_0(V)$ of the function $f^{(-j)}$. Now we decompose the difference of the resolvent–squares (cf. §3.3) under the integral

$$\begin{aligned}R_W^2 - R^2 = R^2 W R + R W R^2 - 2\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle R^3 \\ - 2\omega_\epsilon(V,W) R^3 + R R_W (WR)^2 + R_W (WR)^2 R + (R_W W R)^2 \\ + \left(\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle^2 + \omega_\epsilon^2(V,W)\right)\left(R R_W R^2 + R_W R^3 + (R_W R)^2\right)\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $R_W = R_W(z) = (z - (H_V - \epsilon_0(V) + W - \langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle - \omega_\epsilon(V,W)))^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_0'(V)$ is the Fréchet–derivative (5.5) of the Fermi level at V. By $\omega_\epsilon(V,W)$ we denote the remainder in the linear expansion $\epsilon_0(V+W) = \epsilon_0(V) + \langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle + \omega_\epsilon(V,W)$.

The first three terms in (6.6) are the contribution to the derivative. According to (5.5) and Corollary 4.3 there is indeed for all $W \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\frac{2\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z) R^3(z)\, dz = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W)}{\operatorname{tr}(f'(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)))} f^{(1-j)}\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right).$$

For the remainder of the linear expansion of $\mathcal{F}(V+W)$ at V we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega(V,W) = \mathcal{F}(V+W) - \mathcal{F}(V) - \mathcal{F}'(V)[W] \\ &= \frac{U}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-j-1)}(z)\left(-2\omega_\epsilon(V,W)R^3 + RR_W(WR)^2 + R_W(WR)^2R + (R_WWR)^2\right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. + \left(\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle^2 + \omega_\epsilon^2(V,W)\right)\left(RR_WR^2 + R_WR^3 + (R_WR)^2\right)\right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

By means of Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 we can estimate the nuclear norm of $\omega(V,W)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\omega(V,W)\|_1 \le \tilde{c}\, \|U\|_{L^2}\left(C_{M\delta}^3\, o(\|W\|_{L^2})\int_K \left|f^{(-j-1)}(z)\right|\left(1+|z|^3\right) dz\right. \\ &\left. + C_{M\delta}^4\left(\|W\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\epsilon_0'(V)\|_{L^2}^2\|W\|_{L^2}^2 + o(\|W\|_{L^2}^2)\right)\int_K \left|f^{(-j-1)}(z)\right|\left(1+|z|^4\right) dz\right). \end{aligned}$$

The integrals on the right–hand side are finite according to (4.12). Thus, $\|\omega(V,W)\|_1$ is indeed a $o(\|W\|_{L^2})$. $\square$

Theorem 6.1. *Let be $H = H_V$ the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *with the potential V, $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(V)$ the corresponding Fermi–level* (5.1) *and f a distribution function with* (4.10),(4.11). *There is the following representation of the electron–density operator*

$$\langle n(V), W\rangle = \int_\Omega W(x) n(V)(x) dx = \operatorname{tr}\left(f(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W\right), \quad \forall V, W \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{6.7}$$

Proof. We calculate the trace of the operator $f(H-\epsilon_0)W$ with respect to the eigenfunctions $\{\psi_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ of the Schrödinger operator H and use its spectral decomposition $H = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \epsilon_l\,(\cdot,\psi_l)_{L^2}\,\psi_l$. As H is self–adjoint and f is real–valued for real arguments the operator $f(H-\epsilon_0)$ is self–adjoint. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(f(H-\epsilon_0)W) &= \sum_{l=1}^\infty (f(H-\epsilon_0)W\psi_l, \psi_l)_{L^2} = \sum_{l=1}^\infty (W\psi_l, f(H-\epsilon_0)\psi_l)_{L^2} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^\infty \left(W\psi_l\,,\, \sum_{j=1}^\infty f(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_0)\,(\psi_l,\psi_j)_{L^2}\,\psi_j\right)_{L^2} = \sum_{l=1}^\infty (W\psi_l, f(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_0)\psi_l)_{L^2} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^\infty \int_\Omega W(x) f(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_0)|\psi_l(x)|^2 dx = \int_\Omega W(x)\sum_{l=1}^\infty f(\epsilon_l - \epsilon_0)|\psi_l(x)|^2 dx = \langle n(V), W\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $W \in L^2(\Omega)$. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. *Let be H_V the Schrödinger operator* (2.3) *with the potential V and $\epsilon_0(V)$ the corresponding Fermi–level* (5.1). *The functional $\phi \in (L^2(\Omega) \to \mathbf{R})$*

$$\phi(V) = \bar{n}_D\, \epsilon_0(V) + \operatorname{tr}\left(F(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))\right), \quad V \in L^2(\Omega) \tag{6.8}$$

is Fréchet differentiable for every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the derivative $\phi'(V) \in (L^2(\Omega))^* \equiv L^2(\Omega)$ is the electron density $\phi'(V) = n(V)$.

Proof. Since the trace is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1$ one obtains by means of Lemma 6.1 (take $j = 1$ and $U \equiv 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi'(V), W\rangle &= \bar{n}_D \langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle + \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F}'(V)[W]) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-2)}(z)\left(R^2(z)WR(z) + R(z)WR^2(z)\right)dz\right) \quad \forall W \in L^2(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

N.B. according to (5.5) and (5.1) the trace of the second term in (6.5) is just $-\,\bar{n}_D\langle \epsilon_0'(V), W\rangle$. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.4 one can show

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f^{(-2)}(z)\left(R^2(z)WR(z) + R(z)WR^2(z)\right)dz\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(f(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))W\right)$$

for all $W \in L^2(\Omega)$. Now the assertion follows with Theorem 6.1. □

6.3. Fréchet differentiability.

Theorem 6.3. *The electron–density operator* (6.3) *is Fréchet differentiable for every $V \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the derivative $n'(V) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega))$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle n'(V)[W], U\rangle &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{U}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma F(z)\left(R^2(z)WR(z) + R(z)WR^2(z)\right)dz\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)W\right)\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)U\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)\right)} \quad \forall U, W \in L^2(\Omega), \quad (6.9)\end{aligned}$$

where H_V is the Schrödinger operator (2.3) *with the potential V, $\epsilon_0(V)$ the corresponding Fermi–level* (5.1) *and R and Γ are as in Lemma* 6.1.

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 6.1 where we take $j = 0$ and get

$$\langle n'(V)[W], U\rangle = \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F}'(V)[W]) \quad \forall U, W \in L^2(\Omega),$$

with $\mathcal{F}(V) = Uf(H_V - \epsilon_0(V))$. □

Remark 6.1. If the directions U and W coincide (6.9) can be written in a more condensed form, viz.

$$\langle n'(V)[W], W\rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f(z)\left(WR(z)\right)^2 dz\right) - \frac{\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)W\right)\right)^2}{\operatorname{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)\right)}$$

for all $W \in L^2(\Omega)$. Indeed there is

$$WR^2(z)WR(z) + WR(z)WR^2(z) = -\frac{d}{dz}\left(WR(z)\right)^2$$

and observing the decay properties (4.12) of F one can integrate by parts

$$-\int_\Gamma F(z)\frac{d}{dz}\left(WR(z)\right)^2 dz = \int_\Gamma f(z)\left(WR(z)\right)^2 dz.$$

N.B. we cannot use this formula for the monotonicity–proof, as NIER [12] did, since we do not have the nuclearity of the operators $(WR(z))^2$ for arbitrary potentials V from $L^2(\Omega)$.

6.4. Bounded Lipschitz–continuity.

Lemma 6.2. *The Fréchet–derivative $n' \in (L^2(\Omega) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2))$ of the electron–density operator* (6.3) *with respect to the potential V is bounded on $\mathcal{M}$*

$$\|n'(V)\| \leq L_n(M) \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{M} \tag{6.10}$$

for every $M > 0$, $\mathcal{M}$ being the M–ball in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let be $V \in \mathcal{M}$, $H = H_V$ the Schrödinger operator (2.3) with the potential V, $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(V)$ the corresponding Fermi–level (5.1), $R = R(z) = (z - H + \epsilon_0)^{-1}$, $K = K_{\rho,\delta(\rho)}$ the set (4.1) with $\rho = \sigma_M - \overline{\epsilon}_{0M}$, σ_M and $\overline{\epsilon}_{0M}$ being the lower bound (2.4) of the spectrum and the upper bound (5.14) of the Fermi level, respectively. We calculate

$$\|n'(V)\| = \sup_{\substack{U,W \in L^2 \\ \|U\|_{L^2} = \|W\|_{L^2} = 1}} \langle n'(V)[W], U\rangle$$

by means of Theorem 6.3 and get with (5.5) and Theorem 5.2

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n'(V)[W], U\rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial K} |F(z)| \left\| UR^2(z)WR(z) + UR(z)WR^2(z)\right\|_1 dz \\ &+ L_{\epsilon_0}(M)\|W\|_{L^2}\, \|f'(H-\epsilon_0)U\|_1 \quad \forall U, W \in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

As for the second term on the right–hand side we may observe via Corollary 4.3

$$\|f'(H-\epsilon_0)U\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\partial K} |F(z)| \left\|UR^3(z)\right\|_1 dz \quad \forall U \in L^2(\Omega).$$

We estimate the nuclear norms in the preceding formulas by means of Hölder's inequality, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 and get for all $U, W \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\langle n'(V)[W], U\rangle \leq \frac{c}{\pi} C^3_{M\delta}\left(1 + L_{\epsilon_0}(M)\right) \|U\|_{L^2}\|W\|_{L^2} \int_K |F(z)| \left(1 + |z|^3\right) dz$$

The integral on the right–hand side is finite according to (4.12). ☐

From Lemma 6.2 immediately follows

Theorem 6.4. *The electron–density operator* (6.3) *is bounded Lipschitzian, i.e. for each $M > 0$ there is a $L_n(M)$ such that*

$$\|n(V_2) - n(V_1)\|_{L^2} \leq L_n(M)\, \|V_2 - V_1\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{6.11}$$

6.5. Monotonicity.

Theorem 6.5. *The negative electron–density operator* (6.3) *is monotone:*

$$\langle n(V_2) - n(V_1), V_1 - V_2\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall V_1,\ V_2 \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{6.12}$$

Proof. We will show that

$$\langle n'(V)[W], W\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall V, W \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{6.13}$$

This implies the monotonicity of the operator $-n$ (cf. [5], ch. III, Lemma 1.1). According to Theorem 6.3 there is for all $W \in L^2(\Omega)$ with R and Γ as in Lemma 6.1

$$\langle n'(V)[W], W\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_\Gamma F(z)\,\mathrm{tr}\left(R(z)\,(WR(z))^2\right) dz - \frac{\left(\mathrm{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)W\right)\right)^2}{\mathrm{tr}\left(f'\left(H_V - \epsilon_0(V)\right)\right)}$$

Let us calculate the trace of $R(WR)^2$ with respect to the eigenfunctions $\{\psi_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ of the Schrödinger operator H_V:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(R(WR)^2\right) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(WRWR^2\psi_l,\psi_l\right)_{L^2} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(R^2(z)\psi_l, WR(\bar z)W\psi_l\right)_{L^2} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{(R(z)W\psi_l,W\psi_l)_{L^2}}{(z-\epsilon_l+\epsilon_0)^2} = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{\infty}\frac{(R(z)\psi_j,\psi_k)_{L^2}\,(W\psi_l,\psi_j)_{L^2}\,(\psi_k,W\psi_l)_{L^2}}{(z-\epsilon_l+\epsilon_0)^2} \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty}\frac{(W\psi_l,\psi_k)_{L^2}\,(\psi_k,W\psi_l)_{L^2}}{(z-\epsilon_l+\epsilon_0)^2(z-\epsilon_k+\epsilon_0)} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty}\frac{|(W\psi_l,\psi_k)_{L^2}|^2}{(z-\epsilon_l+\epsilon_0)^2(z-\epsilon_k+\epsilon_0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By means of Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1 one can evaluate the contour integral

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{k,l} &= \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{F(z)}{(z-\epsilon_l+\epsilon_0)^2(z-\epsilon_k+\epsilon_0)} \\
&= \begin{cases} f'(\epsilon_l-\epsilon_0) & \text{if } \epsilon_l=\epsilon_k \\ \frac{2}{(\epsilon_l-\epsilon_k)^2}\left(F(\epsilon_k-\epsilon_0)-F(\epsilon_l-\epsilon_0)-f(\epsilon_l-\epsilon_0)(\epsilon_k-\epsilon_l)\right) & \text{if } \epsilon_l\neq\epsilon_k \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

which is always negative as the distribution function is strictly decreasing. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{tr}\left(f'(H_V-\epsilon_0(V))\right)\ \langle n'(V)[W],W\rangle \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} f'(\epsilon_k-\epsilon_0)\,f'(\epsilon_l-\epsilon_0)\left((W\psi_k,\psi_k)_{L^2}-(W\psi_l,\psi_l)_{L^2}\right)^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \operatorname{tr}\left(f'(H_V-\epsilon_0(V))\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{k,l=1\\k\neq l}}^{\infty} F_{kl}\,|(W\psi_l,\psi_k)_{L^2}|^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This expression is always nonnegative and vanishes iff W is a constant a.e. in Ω. As $\operatorname{tr}\left(f'(H_V-\epsilon_0(V))\right)$ is strictly negative this implies (6.13). □

Corollary 6.1. *The restriction of the negative electron–density operator* (6.3) *on the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is strictly monotone:*

$$\langle n(V_2)-n(V_1),V_1-V_2\rangle > 0 \quad \forall V_1\neq V_2\in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{6.14}$$

7. Existence, uniqueness and regularity

Theorem 7.1. *For every $n_D\in L^2(\Omega)$ the Schrödinger–Poisson operator* (1.6) *is a strongly monotone*

$$\langle A(V_2)-A(V_1),V_2-V_1\rangle > \|V_2-V_1\|_{H_0^1}^2 \quad \forall V_1,V_2\in H_0^1(\Omega) \tag{7.1}$$

and bounded Lipschitz–continuous

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|A(V_2)-A(V_1)\|_{H^{-1}} \le (1+c\,L_n(M))\,\|V_2-V_1\|_{H_0^1} \\
&\qquad\qquad \forall V_j\in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ with } \|V_0+V_j\|_{L^2}\le M,\ j=1,2
\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

potential operator. Its inverse $A^{-1}\in(H^{-1}(\Omega)\to H_0^1(\Omega))$ is a strictly monotone and Lipschitz–continuous

$$\|A^{-1}(n_2)-A^{-1}(n_1)\|_{H_0^1} < \|n_2-n_1\|_{H^{-1}} \quad \forall n_1,n_2\in H^{-1}(\Omega) \tag{7.3}$$

potential operator. — In (7.2) $L_n(M)$ *is the constant from* (6.11) *and* c *is the product of the embedding constants* $c_{L^2 \hookrightarrow H^{-1}}$ *and* $c_{H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^2}$.

Proof. The Schrödinger–Poisson operator (1.6) is the difference

$$A(V) = JV - n(V_0 + V)$$

of the duality mapping (1.10) and the electron–density operator (6.3). The duality mapping J is strongly monotone and Lipschitz–continuous with both constants 1. Hence (7.1) and (7.2) follow from Corollary 6.1 and Theorem 6.4, respectively. The duality mapping is also a potential operator and so is n and thus A according to Theorem 6.2. Now a well known theorem (cf. [5] ch. III, Th. 4.9) provides the existence, potentiality and strict monotonicity of A^{-1}. Direct calculation shows the Lipschitz–continuity of A^{-1} (cf. [5] ch. III, Cor. 2.3) . □

According to Theorem 7.1 the Schrödinger–Poisson equation (1.5) has exactly one solution $V \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for every right–hand side from $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. As we assume $n_D \in L^2(\Omega)$ we can get better regularity of the solution $V = J^{-1}(n(V_0 + V) - n_D)$.

Theorem 7.2. *For every* $n_D \in L^2(\Omega)$ *the solution of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation* (1.5) *belongs to the space* $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

The proof follows from assumption (1.14). By embedding $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ one obtains

Corollary 7.1. *For every* $n_D \in L^2(\Omega)$ *the solution of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation* (1.5) *belongs to the space* $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

8. Iteration scheme

Let be $V_1 \in H_0^1$ an arbitrary starting point and $a > 0$ any positive real number. We define the iteration sequence $\{V_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{l+1} &= (1 - t_l)V_l + t_l J^{-1}\left(n(V_0 + V_l) - n_D\right) \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots, \\ t_l &= \min\left\{1, \frac{2}{a + \mu_l}\right\} \quad \mu_l = \mu\left(\|V_l\|_{H_0^1} + \|JV_l - n(V_0 + V_l) + n_D\|_{H^{-1}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

where μ is an increasing function with

$$\|A(U) - A(V)\|_{H^{-1}} \le \mu\left(\max\left\{\|U\|_{H_0^1}, \|V\|_{H_0^1}\right\}\right) \|U - V\|_{H_0^1}, \quad \forall U, V \in H_0^1.$$

(7.2) provides such a function μ. According to [5] ch. III, Th. 4.2, Rem. 4.12 we have

Theorem 8.1. *The sequence* (8.1) *converges strongly in* $H_0^1(\Omega)$ *towards the solution* V *of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation* (1.5).

Remark 8.1. The dynamical step size in (8.1) can be replaced by a constant $t_l = t$ and any smaller positive value can be used just as well (cf. [5] ch. III, Rem. 4.11). — Theorem 8.1 implies that the sequence $\{V_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ is bounded in L^2. So in the following we can choose a finite M such that

$$\|V_0 + V_l\|_{L^2} \le M, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots . \tag{8.2}$$

Thus we have uniform constants for all members of the iteration sequence and the solution.
Ultimately we are interested in uniform convergence of the sequence (8.1). By means of assumption (1.14) we may notice

Lemma 8.1. *For every starting point $V_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ the iteration sequence* (8.1) *belongs to the spaces $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H^2(\Omega)$ and $L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

Theorem 8.2. *Let be $V_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then the iteration sequence* (8.1) *converges* ***weakly*** *in $H^2(\Omega)$ towards the solution V of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation* (1.5).

Proof. In §6.4 we have proved that the electron–density operator is bounded Lipschitzian. Hence the H_0^1–convergence $V_l \to V$ of the iteration sequence (8.1) implies

$$n(V_l) \to n(V) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$$

As $J^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^2, H^2\right)$ (cf. assumption (1.14)) we have

$$J^{-1}\left(n(V_l)\right) \to J^{-1}(n(V)) \quad \text{in } H^2(\Omega),$$

i.e. the sequence $\{J^{-1}\left(n(V_l)\right)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in H^2:

$$\left\| J^{-1}\left(n(V_l)\right)\right\|_{H^2} \le K, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Now from the definition (8.1) of the iteration sequence we may deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{l+1}\|_{H^2} &\le (1-t)\|V_l\|_{H^2} + tK \le (1-t)^l \|V_1\|_{H^2} + tK \sum_{\nu=0}^{l-1} (1-t)^\nu \\ &\le \|V_1\|_{H^2} + \frac{tK}{1-t} \qquad (\text{N.B. } 0 < t < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have got that the iteration sequence (8.1) is bounded in the space $H^2(\Omega)$. As $H^2(\Omega)$ is reflexive $\{V_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is also weakly compact. Now the assertion follows from the already established H_0^1–convergence of the whole sequence $\{V_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$. □

Corollary 8.1. *Let be $V_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then the iteration sequence* (8.1) *converges* ***strongly*** *towards the solution V of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation* (1.5) *in every space to which $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ has a compact embedding, especially in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

Let be $H_l = -\Delta + V_0 + V_l$ the Schrödinger operator (2.3) corresponding to the potential $V_0 + V_l$ which the iteration sequence (8.1) provides and $\sigma(H_l)$ the spectrum of H_l. There is (cf. [10] ch. V, Th. 4.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma(H_{l+1}), \sigma(H_l)\right) &\le \|H_{l+1} - H_l\| = \|V_{l+1} - V_l\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \operatorname{dist}\left(\sigma(H_V), \sigma(H_l)\right) &\le \|H_V - H_l\| = \|V - V_l\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

V being the solution of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation (1.5) and H_V the corresponding Schrödinger operator (2.3).
The Schrödinger operators (2.3) are operators with compact resolvent (cf. Proposition 3.1). Hence, their spectrum consists entirely of isolated eigenvalues with

finite multiplicity. Thus, for each $\lambda \in \sigma(H_V)$, V being again the solution of the Schrödinger–Poisson equation (1.5), there is an index $l(\lambda)$, such that

$$d_{isol.} = \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(H_V) \setminus \{\lambda\}) > 2 \; \|V_l - V\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \forall l \geq l(\lambda).$$

Let be m the multiplicity of $\lambda \in \sigma(H_V)$. Then for each $l \geq l(\lambda)$, H_l has exactly m repeated eigenvalues in the interval $\left(\lambda - \frac{d_{isol.}}{2}, \lambda + \frac{d_{isol.}}{2}\right)$ and at most m repeated eigenvalues in the interval $(\lambda - \|V - V_l\|_{L^\infty}, \lambda + \|V - V_l\|_{L^\infty})$ (cf. [10] V.4.3 and take there $T = H_V$, $S = H_l$, $a = \|V - V_l\|_{L^\infty}$, $b = 0$).

References

1. Adams, R. A., *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. Adolfsson, V. 'L^2–integrability of second order derivatives for Poisson's equation in nonsmooth domains', *Math. Scand.* **70**, 146–160 (1992).
3. Albinus, G., 'On the discretization of a stationary Schrödinger–Poisson system', *(to appear)*
4. Gajewski, H., 'Analysis und Numerik des Ladungsträgertransports in Halbleitern', *GAMM–Mitteilungen* **16**, 35–57 (1993).
5. Gajewski, H., Gröger, K. and Zacharias, K., *Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
6. Grisvard, P., *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*, Pitman, Boston, 1985.
7. Gröger, K., 'A $W^{1,p}$–estimate for solutions to mixed boundary value problems for second order elliptic differential equations', *Math. Ann.* **283**, 679—687 (1989).
8. Gröger, K. and Rehberg, J., 'Resolvent estimates in $W^{1,p}$ for second order elliptic differential operators in case of mixed boundary conditions', *Math. Ann.* **285**, 105—113 (1989).
9. Lions, J. L. and Magenes, E., *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et application*, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
10. Kato, T., *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **132**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
11. Nier. F., 'A stationary Schrödinger–Poisson system arising from the modeling of electronic devices', *Forum Math.* **2**, 489–510 (1990).
12. Nier, F., *A variational formulation of Schrödinger–Poisson systems in dimensions $d \leq 3$, Commun. in Partial Differential Equations* **18**, 1125–1147 (1993).
13. Remmert, R., *Theory of complex functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **122**, Springer Verlag, New York, 1990.
14. Reed, M. and Simon, B., *Methods of modern mathematical physics. vol. IV Analysis of operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
15. Schatten, R., *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete; Neue Folge Heft 27, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1960.
16. Simader, C. G., *On Dirichlet's boundary value problem*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **268**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
17. Simon, B., 'Fifty years of eigenvalue perturbation theory', *Bulletin (new series) of the American Mathematical Society*, **24**, 303–319 (1991).
18. Weyl, H., 'Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung)', *Math. Ann.*, **71**, 441–479 (1911).

Hans–Christoph Kaiser, IAAS, Mohrenstrasse 39, D–10117 Berlin, Germany
E-mail address: kaiser@iaas-berlin.d400.de

Joachim Rehberg, IAAS, Mohrenstrasse 39, D–10117 Berlin, Germany
E-mail address: rehberg@iaas-berlin.d400.de