Institut für Angewandte Analysis
und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Travelling wave behaviour of crystal dissolution in porous media flow

C.J. van Duijn[1] and P. Knabner[2]

submitted: 27th September 1993

[1] Delf University of Technology
Department of Mathematics
P.O. Box 5031
NL 2600 GA Delft
The Netherlands

[2] Institute for Applied Analysis
and Stochastics
Mohrenstraße 39
D – 10117 Berlin
Germany

Preprint No. 62
Berlin 1993

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35 K 65, 35 K 57, 35 R 35, 35 B 30, 76 S 05.
Key words and phrases. Degenerate parabolic equation, flow in porous media, travelling wave solutions, asymptotic analysis.

Herausgegeben vom
Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik
Mohrenstraße 39
D – 10117 Berlin

Fax: + 49 30 2004975
e-Mail (X.400): c=de;a=d400;p=iaas-berlin;s=preprint
e-Mail (Internet): preprint@iaas-berlin.d400.de

Travelling Wave Behaviour of Crystal Dissolution in Porous Media Flow

C.J. van Duijn* and Peter Knabner**

* Delft University of Technology, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 5031, NL 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands
** Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics (IAAS), Mohrenstraße 39, D-10117 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

In this paper we present a model for crystal dissolution in porous media and we analyse travelling wave solutions of the ensuing equations for a one–dimensional flow situation. We demonstrate the structure of the waves and we prove existence and uniqueness. The travelling wave description is characterized by a rate parameter k and a diffusion/dispersion parameter D. We investigate the limit processes as $k \to \infty$ and $D \to 0$ and we obtain expressions for the rate of convergence. We also present some numerical results.

1. Introduction and Travelling Wave Formulation

In this paper we study travelling wave solutions of the following systems of differential equations

$$\partial_t(\theta c_1) + n\varrho\partial_t c_{12} - \nabla \cdot (\theta D \nabla c_1 - q^* c_1) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t(\theta c_2) + m\varrho\partial_t c_{12} - \nabla \cdot (\theta D \nabla c_2 - q^* c_2) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

$$\varrho\partial_t c_{12} \in \theta(k_a r(c_1, c_2) - k_d H(c_{12})). \tag{1.3}$$

Here c_1, c_2, c_{12} denote the unknown functions, all the other quantities are assumed to be known. More specifically n, m, k_a, k_d are positive numbers, and the parameter functions θ, ϱ, D, q^* are assumed to be given be positve constants to allow for the possibility of travelling wave solutions. The two nonlinearities appearing in equation (1.3) are a smooth function r with properties described below and the setvalued Heaviside function, i.e.

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{for} \quad u > 0 \\ [0,1] & \text{for} \quad u = 0 \\ \{0\} & \text{for} \quad u < 0 \end{cases} . \tag{1.4}$$

The equations (1.1) - (1.3) may be viewed as a model for the convective - dispersive transport of solutes in a porous medium undergoing a precipitation/dissolution reaction: Assume e.g. a cation M_1 and an anion M_2 to be present in solution, where c_1 and c_2 denote the corresponding molar concentrations in solution relative to the water volume. The underlying geology and water flow regime are described by the water content θ, the bulk density ϱ, the diffusion/dispersion coefficient D and the specific discharge q^*. In the reaction to be described n particles of M_1 and m particles of M_2 can precipitate in the form of one particle of a (crystalline) solid $\bar{M}_3$, which is attached to the surface of the porous skeleton and thus immobile. The reverse reaction of dissolution is also possible. If c_{12} denotes the molar concentration of $\bar{M}_3$ relative to the mass of the porous skeleton, then equation (1.1) and (1.2) describe the conservation of the total masses of M_1 and M_2. Equation (1.3) is the kinetic equation describing the overall reaction rate. The precipitation rate is given by $k_a r(c_1, c_2)$, where a typical example is given by mass action kinetics leading to

$$r(c_1, c_2) = c_1^n c_2^m \quad \text{for } c_1, c_2 \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The function r is assumed to be continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and

$$r(c_1, c_2) = 0, \text{ if } c_1 = 0 \text{ or } c_2 = 0. \tag{1.6a}$$

We will need that the function $g(., c)$ to be defined in (1.10) is strictly monotone increasing. An obvious sufficient condition fulfilled by (1.5) is given by

$$r(\cdot, c_2) \text{ is strictly monotone increasing for } c_2 \geq 0, \tag{1.6b}$$

$$r(c_1, \cdot) \text{ is monotone non-decreasing for } c_1 \geq 0. \tag{1.6c}$$

The dissolution rate is constant in the precence of crystal, i.e. for $c_{12} > 0$, and has to be such that in the absence of the crystal the overall rate is zero (for a not oversaturated fluid, i.e. if $r(c_1, c_2) \leq k_d/k_a$). The set-valued formulation of the dissolution rate is to account for this situation. A more detailed discussion of the model can be found in Knabner et.al. [4]. We refer to Rubin [6] for a general account on flow and chemical reactions in porous media and corresponding mathematical models. For a simpcification of equations (1.1) - (1.3) later on we will use a conserved quantity, which is here given by

$$c := mc_1 - nc_2 \tag{1.7}$$

satisfying

$$\partial_t(\theta c) - \nabla \cdot (\theta D \nabla c - q^* c) = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Later on we will consider the equivalent problem given by equations (1.8), (1.1) and

$$\varrho \partial_t c_{12} \in \theta\Big(k_a g(c_1, c) - k_d H(c_{12})\Big) \tag{1.9}$$

where g is defined by

$$g(c_1, c) := r\left(c_1, \frac{1}{n}(mc_1 - c)\right). \tag{1.10}$$

There are two important singular limits to be investigated: If the rate parameters k_a, k_d are very large compared to the parameters of the transport process, it is reasonable to substitute the non-equilibrium description (1.3) of the reaction by a quasistationary equilibrium description. Formally this one is obtained by letting $k_a \to \infty$, keeping $K := k_d/k_a$ constant. This leads to

$$r(c_1, c_2) \in KH(c_{12}) \tag{1.11}$$

which together with the natural sign condition $c_{12} \geq 0$ is equivalent to the *solubility product inequalities*

$$\begin{gathered} 0 \leq r(c_1, c_2) \leq K, \ c_{12} \geq 0, \\ (K - r(c_1, c_2))c_{12} = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{1.12}$$

For one space dimension and for specific initial and boundary condition a free boundary problem formulation is possible (see Rubin [6]), which has been considered by Pawell and Krannich [5]. If the dispersive transport in neglegible compared to the convective transport, it is reasonable to consider $D \searrow 0$ changing equations (1.1), (1.2) to hyperbolic equations in the limit.

We will investigate these limits in Sections 4 and 5 for the special case of travelling wave solutions. More specifically we consider a one-dimensional stationary flow directed from $x = -\infty$ (upstream) to $x = +\infty$ (downstream), i.e. one space dimension and constant, positive parameters θ, ϱ, D, q^*. Then we look for solutions of (1.1) - (1.3) only depending on $\eta = x - at$ with a wave speed a to be determined. After introducing the notion of solution and equivalent formulations in the following, in Section 2 we will investigate the qualitative properties of solutions, the most important is a front for the concentration c_{12}. The existence and the uniqueness proof of Section 3 are based on Section 2. In Section 4 properties of the limit problem and convergence to the limit problems are considered, whereas in Section 5 more specific convergence rates are established. Travelling wave solutions for a related general class of transport and adsorption problems have been investigated by van Duijn and Knabner [1] and further exemplified in [2], [3]. The main distinction is that these papers deal with continuous (but possibly not Lipschitz continous) rate functions. Thus equations (1.3) may be viewed as a model, which itself is the singular limit of models with continous rate functions (fitting into the framework of [1]). This approach is possible e.g. to show existence of a solution. To avoid unnecessary technical complications we prefer the direct approach of Sections 2 and 3 instead of the regularization approach. On the other hand, for the analysis and numerical approximation of a general multidimensional boundary value problem based on (1.1) – (1.3), the regularization is a decisive tool.

For given nonnegative boundary condition $c_i^*, c_{i*}, i = 1, 2, c_{12}^*, c_{12*}$ we look for nonnegative travelling wave solutions of (1.1) - (1.3), i.e. $c_i = c_i(\eta) \geq 0$ $c_{12} = c_{12}(\eta) \geq 0$ with $\eta = x - at$, satisfying

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &-a(\theta c_1 + n\varrho c_{12})' - \theta D c_1'' + q^* c_1' = 0, \\ &-a(\theta c_2 + m\varrho c_{12})' - \theta D c_2'' + q^* c_2' = 0, \\ &-a\varrho c_{12}' \in \theta(k_a r(c_1, c_2) - k_d H(c_{12})), \end{aligned}\right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \tag{1.13}$$

and the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} &c_i(-\infty) = c_i^*, \ c_i(+\infty) = c_{i*}, \ i = 1, 2, \\ &c_{12}(-\infty) = c_{12}^*, \ c_{12}(+\infty) = c_{12*}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Because due to (1.8) and (1.14) $c = c(\eta)$ fulfills a linear equation and boundary conditions at $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, a solution of (1.13), (1.14) can only exist, if c is constant or equivalently

$$mc_1^* - nc_2^* = mc_{1*} - nc_{2*}. \tag{1.15}$$

We will assume equation (1.15) to hold from now on. It may be interpreted as the requirement of a constant total electric charge everywhere in the fluid (see [4]). Then c is given by

$$c := mc_1^* - nc_2^*. \tag{1.16}$$

As indicated above, we can reduce the problem to two variables: Define

$$\begin{aligned} &u := c_1, \quad v := n\varrho/\theta c_{12}, \\ &q := q^*/\theta, \quad k := nk_a, \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

then the solutions of (1.13), (1.14) (in a sense of be specified) are equivalent to solutions of the following equations, setting

$$c_2 := \frac{1}{n}(mu - c): \tag{1.18}$$

$$u = u(\eta), u \geq \left(\frac{c}{m}\right)_+, v = v(\eta), v \geq 0, \tag{1.19}$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} -a(u'+v') - Du'' + qu' = 0, \\ -av' \in k[g(u,v) - KH(v)], \end{array}\right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \tag{1.20}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} u(-\infty) = u^*, & u(+\infty) = u_* \\ v(-\infty) = v^*, & v(+\infty) = v_* \end{array}\right\} (BC)$$

with $u^* := c_1^*, v^* := n\varrho/\theta c_{12}^*$ etc. The condition $u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+$ is equivalent to $c_1 \geq 0$ and $c_2 \geq 0$.

To define the notion of solutions of (1.20) and (BC) we introduce

$$w := (\frac{a}{k}v' + g(u,c))/K \in H(v)$$

as a new variable. The expected limited regularity is taken into account by proper regrouping in the equations:

Definition 1.1

The quadruple $\{u, v, w, a\}$ with u, v, w being functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and a a real number is called a *travelling wave* for the boundary condition (BC), if

$$(TW)\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}),\ v \in C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R}),\ w \in C_{pw}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \text{(ii)} & u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+,\ v, w \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(iii)} & Du' + av \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \\ \text{(iv)} & -av' + kKw \in C(\mathbb{R}), \\ \text{(v)} & 0 \leq w \leq 1,\ w = 1 \text{ on } \{v > 0\}, \\ \text{(vi)} & \left.\begin{array}{l} (Du' + av)' = (q-a)u' \\ -av' + kKw = kg(u,c) \end{array}\right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(vii)} & u, v \text{ satisfy the boundary conditions } (BC). \end{cases}$$

Here the constant c is given by (1.16). We use $C_{pw}(\mathbb{R})$ to denote the piecewise continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (with finitely many points of discontinuity), which are continuous from the right and $C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R})$ to denote those $u \in C(\mathbb{R})$, for which $f \in C_{pw}(\mathbb{R})$ exists such that $u' = f$ except at the points of discontinuity of f. It is clear that under the asumption (1.15) Definition 1.1 is equivalent to an analogous notion of a nonnegative solution of (1.13), (1.14).

We obtain immediately

Proposition 1.2
Let $\{u, v, w, a\}$ be a travelling wave for (BC), then:

(i) $u'(\pm\infty)$ *exists and* $u'(\pm\infty) = 0$,

(ii) if $\Delta u + \Delta v \neq 0$, *then*

$$a = \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta u + \Delta v} q, \tag{1.21}$$

where $\Delta u := u^* - u_*$, $\Delta v := v^* - v_*$.

Proof.
Integration of the first equation in (TW) (vi) leads to

$$Du' = -av + (q-a)u + A \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R} \tag{1.22}$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus (i) holds true because of (BC) (compare Prop. 1.3 in [1]). For $\eta \to \pm\infty$ equation (1.22) leads to a set of equations for a and A yielding (ii) and

$$A = \frac{v_* u^* - u_* v^*}{\Delta u + \Delta v} q. \tag{1.23}$$

□

Note that for $a \neq 0$

$$\frac{q-a}{a} = \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta u}. \tag{1.24}$$

From (1.21) - (1.23) an equivalent formulation as a first order system follows directly:

Corollary 1.3
Assume $\Delta u + \Delta v \neq 0$, *then* $\{u, v, w, a\}$ *is a travelling wave for* (BC), *iff*

(i) $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $v \in C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R})$, $w \in C_{pw}(\mathbb{R})$,

(ii) $u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+$, $v, w \geq 0$ *on* $\mathbb{R}$,

(iii) $0 \leq w \leq 1$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$, $w = 1$ *on* $\{v > 0\}$,

(iv) $$u' = \frac{q-a}{D}(u - u^*) - \frac{a}{D}(v - v^*), \tag{1.25}$$

$$-av' + kKw = kg(u, c) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \tag{1.26}$$

where a is defined by (1.21),

(v) u, v *satisfy the boundary conditions* (BC).

□

2. Properties of Solutions

For a travelling wave to exist we need that the boundary conditions on u and v are rest points for the differential equations. Regarding the u-equation this is guaranteed by the expression for the wave speed. For the v-equation it requires the additional conditions

$$0 \in g(u^*, c) - KH(v^*), \tag{2.1a}$$

$$0 \in g(u_*, c) - KH(v_*). \tag{2.1b}$$

We will assume from now on:

$$g(\cdot, c) \text{ is strictly increasing for } u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+.$$

Sufficient conditions are given by (1.6b,c). Therefore we obtain a unique solution u of (2.1a) or (2.1b) for fixed $w \in H(v)$, $v = v_*$ or $v = v^*$, and $(\frac{c}{m})_+ \leq u \leq u_S$, where $u_S > 0$ is the unique solution of

$$g(u, c) = K. \tag{2.2}$$

We first investigate the possible combination of boundary conditions for which travelling waves in the sense of Corollary 1.3 can exist. Suppose $v^*, v_* > 0$ and $v^* \neq v_*$. Then we have to solve for both $u = u^*$ and $u = u_*$ equation (2.2). Hence $u^* = u_* = u_S$. Consequently $a = 0$ and equation (1.25) implies $u = u_S$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Using this in equation (1.26) we obtain $w = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}$, but no information on v is available. So this choice of boundary conditions leads to trivial solutions. They describe the situation of a stationary, but arbitrary crystal distribution v in the presence of saturated fluid (characterized by $u = u_S$ and $w = 1$).

If both $v^* = v_* = 0$ and $u^* \neq u_*$, then $a = q$ and equation (1.25) reduces to

$$u' = -\frac{q}{D} v \text{ on } \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

For the function v we now distinguish:

(i) $v = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$. This would imply

$$u = \text{ constant } (= u^* = u_*) \text{ on } \mathbb{R},$$

i.e. a contradiction.

(ii) $v(\eta_0) > 0$ and $v'(\eta_0) = 0$ for some $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Using (1.26) and (2.3) we obtain $u(\eta_0) = u_S$ and $u(\eta) > u_S$ for $\eta < \eta_0$, respectively. In particular $u^* > u_S$ which contradicts (2.1a).

Thus to obtain non-trivial solutions we need that precisely one of the boundary conditions on v is zero. In fact we are left with the following classes, as the case $u^* = u_* = u_S$ has already been discussed above:

$$\mathrm{I}\begin{cases} v_* \text{ arbitrary positive}, & v^* = 0 \\ u_* = u_S, & u^* \in [(\frac{c}{m})_+, u_S) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathrm{II}\begin{cases} v^* \text{ arbitrary positive}, & v_* = 0 \\ u^* = u_S, & u_* \in [(\frac{c}{m})_+, u_S) \end{cases}$$

We have not considered the cases

$$v^* = v_* > 0, \qquad u^* = u_* = u_S,$$
$$v^* = v_* = 0, \qquad u^* = u_* \in [(\tfrac{c}{m})_+, u_S],$$

where the wave speed a is not uniquely determined. For arbitrary a there are the trivial constant solutions. We doubt that non-trivial solutions exist, but cannot exclude this case at the moment.

Before we turn to the existence and uniqueness in Sektion 3, we consider here a number of qualitative, structural properties of travelling waves. Below $\{u, v, w, a\}$ denotes an arbitrary travelling wave in the sense of Corollary 1.3 with (BC) taken from the classes I or II. Note that in both cases $0 < a < q$.

Proposition 2.1

(i) $u < u_S$ *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *,*

(ii) v *is continuously differentiable and* $v' > 0$ *in* $\{v > 0\}$.

Proof.
We first show that $u \le u_S$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose not. Then the boundary conditions imply the existence of a point $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ where $u'(\eta_0) = 0$ and $u(\eta_0) > u_S$. Writing equation (1.25) as

$$u' = \frac{q-a}{D}(u - u^*) - \frac{a}{D}(v - v^*) = \frac{q-a}{D}(u - u_*) - \frac{a}{D}(v - v_*) \tag{2.4}$$

we obtain that $v(\eta_0) > v^* > 0 = v_*$ if (BC) is taken from class II and $v(\eta_0) > v_* > 0 = v^*$ if (BC) is taken from class I. Using this in (1.26) gives $w(\eta_0) = 1$ and $v'(\eta_0) < 0$. Since $v(-\infty) = v^*$, there must exist a point $\eta_1 < \eta_0$ such that $v(\eta) > v(\eta_0), v'(\eta) < 0$ for all $\eta \in (\eta_1, \eta_0)$ and $v'(\eta_1) = 0$. Hence $w = 1$ and $u > u_S$ (from (1.26)) on (η_1, η_0) with $u(\eta_1) = u_S$. Substituting these observations into equation (2.4) leads to $u'(\eta_1) < 0$, which gives a contradiction.

Next suppose that $u(\eta_0) = u_S$ for some $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then clearly $u'(\eta_0) = 0$ (as a consequence of $u \le u_S$) and from (2.4) $v(\eta_0) = \tilde{v}$, where $\tilde{v} = v_*$ if (BC) satisfies I or $\tilde{v} = v^*$ if (BC) satisfies II. In either case $v > 0$ and $w = 1$ in some neighborhood of η_0. This means that equations (1.25) and (1.26) have $u = u_S$ and $v = \tilde{v}$ as unique solutions in this neighborhood. A continuation argument non contradicts (BC). The second assertion of the proposition is an immediate consequence of equation (1.26). □

The second statement in Proposition 2.1 gives

Corollary 2.2
No travelling waves exist with (BC) *from class II.* □

Next we show

Proposition 2.3

(i) There exist an $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } -\infty < \eta \leq L, \\ > 0 & \text{for } \eta > L \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) $u' > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$,

(iii) $v'' < 0$ on (L, ∞), i.e. in particular $v'(L+)$ exists and $v'(L+) > 0$.

(iv) The following jump relations hold at $\eta = L$:

$$a[v'] = -D[u''] = kK[w] > 0,$$

where $[f] := f(L+) - f(L-)$.

Proof.

(i) The boundary condition $v(\infty) > 0$ rules out $v = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$. If we can also exclude $v > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$, then (2.5) is guaranteed by Proposition 2.1 (ii). To reach a contradiction let us suppose $v > 0$ and consequently $w = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Letting $\eta \to -\infty$ in (1.26), then $v'(-\infty)$ exists and

$$v'(-\infty) = \frac{k}{a}\{K - g(u^*, c)\} > 0,$$

contradicting $v(-\infty) = 0$.

(ii) First we consider the interval $(-\infty, L]$ in which u satisfies

$$u' = \frac{q-a}{D}(u - u^*)$$

with $u(-\infty) = u^*$. This implies either $u > u^*$, and consequently $u' > 0$ on $(-\infty, L]$ or $u = u^*$ on $(-\infty, L]$. We exclude the second possibility as follows. Suppose it holds. Then $u(L) = u^*$. In the interval (L, ∞) we have for u

$$u' = \frac{q-a}{D}(u - u^*) - \frac{a}{D}v$$

and consequently

$$\left(\exp\left(-\frac{q-a}{D}\eta\right)\,(u - u^*)\right)' = -\frac{a}{D}v\exp\left(-\frac{q-a}{D}\eta\right) < 0,$$

which implies

$$u(\eta) < u^* \text{ for all } \eta > L.$$

This contradicts $u(\infty) = u_S$. Hence $u' > 0$ in $(-\infty, L)$. To show that this also holds in (L, ∞), it is sufficient to rule out possible zeros of u' in (L, ∞). Suppose $u'(\eta_0) = 0$ with $\eta_0 > L$. Then $v'(\eta_0) > 0$ and from equation (1.25) $u''(\eta_0) < 0$. This gives a contradiction with the boundary values $u(L) < u(\infty) = u_S$.

(iii) On $\{\eta > L\}$ the v-equation becomes

$$v' = \frac{k}{a}\{K - g(u,c)\}.$$

Since g is a C^1-functions, strictly increasing on $((\frac{c}{m})_+, \infty)$, the result is obtained by direct differentiation.

(iv) is a immediate consequence of (iii) showing $[v'] > 0$ and the notion of solution (TW) (iii) and (iv). □

On the set $\{\eta < L\}$ the v-equation reduces to

$$w = g(u,c).$$

Hence the strict monotonicity of u and g imply

$$0 \leq g(u^*, c) < w(\eta) < g(u(L), c) < K \text{ on } (-\infty, L). \tag{2.6}$$

It is also easy to verify that

$$u \text{ is } C^\infty \text{ on } (-\infty, L) \text{ and } u \text{ is } C^3 \text{ on } (L, \infty). \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore we have

Proposition 2.4
$u'' > 0$ on $(-\infty, L)$ and $u'' < 0$ on (L, ∞).

Proof.
The first inequality is a direct consequence of the strict monotonicity of u. To prove the second one, we differentiate the u-equation twice and use the concavity of v. This gives

$$u''' > \frac{q-a}{D} u'' \text{ on } (L, \infty). \tag{2.8}$$

Now suppose there exists a point $\tilde{L} > L$ where $u''(\tilde{L}) = 0$. Inequality (2.8) then implies that $u'' > 0$ on $(\tilde{L}, \infty)$, which contradicts the boundary condition at $\eta = \infty$. Hence such a point $\tilde{L}$ cannot exists and $u'' < 0$ remains as the only possibility. □

The last result is about the asympototic behaviour of $u(\eta), v(\eta)$ as $\eta \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.5
Suppose $\partial g/\partial u(u_S, c) > 0$. Then there exist constants $C, \lambda > 0$ such that for all $\eta \geq L$

$$u(\eta) > u_S(1 - e^{-\lambda(\eta - L)})$$

and

$$v(\eta) > v_* - Ce^{-\lambda(\eta - L)}.$$

Proof.
The conditions on g imply that on $u^* \leq u \leq u_S$

$$g(u,c) \leq K - \alpha(u_S - u) \text{ for some } \alpha > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

For $\eta > L$ the equations for u and v can be combined into the second order equation

$$u'' = \frac{q-a}{D}u' + \frac{k}{D}\{g(u,c) - K\}. \tag{2.10}$$

A straightforward comparision with the linear problem

$$\begin{aligned} &z'' = \frac{q-a}{D}z' - \frac{\alpha k}{D}(u_S - z) \text{ for } \eta > L, \\ &z(L) = 0, z(\infty) = u_S \end{aligned}$$

results in

$$u(\eta) > u_S \left\{ 1 - \exp\left(\frac{q-a}{2D} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\alpha k D}{(q-a)^2}} \right) (\eta - L) \right) \right\}, \tag{2.11}$$

for all $\eta \geq L$.

The v inequality follows from the observation that on $u^* \leq u \leq u_S$ we also have

$$g(u,c) \geq K - \tilde{\alpha}(u_S - u) \quad \text{for some } \tilde{\alpha} > \alpha. \tag{2.12}$$

Substituting this inequality into the v-equation (1.26) for $\eta > L$, leads directly to the desired inequality. $\square$

The properties derived in this Section are shown in Figure 1 below



Figure 1: Numerical approximation of the functions u, v and w for moderate values of the parameters. For information about the data set as well as the numerial approximation, see [4].

3. Existence and Uniqueness

In the previous Section we showed that the v-component of any travelling wave must vanish identically for large negative values of $\eta (\eta \leq L,\ L \in \mathbb{R})$. We shall use this observation in the proofs of the existence and uniqueness theorems. Note that in deriving this property we only used the continuity of the function $g(\cdot, c)$. Compared to the other Sections, we can here slightly relax the requirements on $g(., c)$. Therefore we will state explicitely in the following assertions, what is needed.

Theorem 3.1
Let $g(\cdot, c) \in C^{0,1}\Big([(\frac{c}{m})_+, u_B]\Big)$. Then for any set of boundary conditions from class I, there exists a travelling wave.

Proof.
As in Corollary 1.3 the wave speed a is given by expression (1.21). Further set $L = 0$ by translation, i.e. the solution to be constructed has to fulfill

$$v(\eta) > 0 \quad \text{for } \eta > 0,$$
$$v(\eta) = 0 \quad \text{for } \eta \leq 0$$

and

$$w(\eta) = 1 \text{ for } \eta > 0.$$

The travelling wave functions u, v and w are found by matching the solutions of the following initial value problems:

$$(P^+) \begin{cases} u' = \dfrac{q-a}{D}(u - u^*) - \dfrac{a}{D}(v - v^*) =: f_1(u, v) & \text{for } \eta > 0, \\ v' = \dfrac{k}{a}\{K - g(u, c)\} =: f_2(u, v) \quad \text{for } \eta > 0, & \\ u(0) = u_0 \in (u^*, u_*) \text{ and } v(0) = 0. & \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

$$(P^-) \begin{cases} u' = \dfrac{q-a}{D}(u - u^*) \quad \text{for } \eta < 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \\ w(\eta) = g(u(\eta), c)/K \quad \text{for } \eta < 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Using a shooting argument in the u, v phase plane we solve Problem (P^+) such that $(u(\eta), v(\eta)) \to (u_*, v_*)$ as $\eta \to \infty$. This leads to a value for $u_0 \in (u^*, u_*)$ which in turn is used in Problem (P^-).

We first investigate the sign of the functions f_1 and f_2. We have for $u \in [u^*, u_*], v \in [v^*, v_*]$:

$$f_1(u,v) \gtrless 0 \text{ iff } v \lessgtr \frac{q-a}{a}(u-u^*)+v^* =: f(u),$$
$$f_2(u,v) \gtrless \text{ iff } u \lessgtr u_S.$$

This leads to a configuration in the phase plane as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Computed orbits in the phase plane. Details concerning the data and numerical method are given in [4].

For the set $S := \{(u,v)|u^* < u < u_*,\ v^* < v < f(u)\}$ we consider the following parts of its boundary:

$$\partial S_1 := \{(u,v)|u^* < u < u_*,\ v = f(u)\},$$
$$\partial S_2 := \{(u,v)|u = u_*,\ v^* < v < v_*\}.$$

In the interval (u^*, u_*) we distinguish the subsets A and B according to the following criteria. We say that $a \in A$ if the positive half–orbit corresponding to the solution of Problem (P^+) with $u(0) = a, v(0) = 0$ leaves the set S through the boundary ∂S_1. Similarly, $b \in B$ if the positive half-orbit starting from $u(0) = b, v(0) = 0$ leaves the set S through the boundary ∂S_2. Proceeding as in [1], one shows that the sets A and B are non-empty, open and ordered $(a \in A, b \in B \Rightarrow a < b)$. Hence

$$\sup A = \bar{a} \leq \bar{b} = \inf B$$

where $\bar{a} \notin A$ and $\bar{b} \notin B$.
This means that for any $u_0 \in [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ the half orbit corresponding to Problem (P^+) ends up in the point (u_*, v_*) as $\eta \to \infty$. This gives the required solution in terms of $u = u(\eta), v = v(\eta)$ for $\eta > 0$, as in particular $v(\eta) > 0$ for $\eta > 0$. The solution for $\eta < 0$ is obtained by explicitly solving (P^-):

$$u(\eta) = (u_0 - u^*)\exp\left(\frac{q-a}{D}\eta\right) + u^* \quad \text{for } \eta \leq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The boundary conditions (BC) and (iii) of Corollary 1.3 are satisfied by construction, the regularity conditions of Corollary 1.3 (i) can be concluded from (P^-) and (P^+) and thus equations (1.25), (1.26). Finally the sign conditions (ii) hold due to monotonicity.

□

Theorem 3.2
Let $g(\cdot, c) \in C[(\frac{c}{m})_+, u_S]$ be nondecreasing and satisfy (2.9). Suppose there exist two travelling waves, characterized by (u_1, v_1, w_1) and (u_2, v_2, w_2), for the same boundary conditions from class I. Then there exists $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(u_1(\cdot), v_1(\cdot), w_1(\cdot)) = (u_2(\cdot + \eta_0), v_2(\cdot + \eta_0), w_2(\cdot + \eta_0)) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof.
Given both travelling waves we apply to each a shift such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(\eta), v_2(\eta) > 0 \quad & \text{for } \eta > 0, \\ v_1(\eta) = v_2(\eta) = 0 \quad & \text{for } \eta \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$w_1(\eta) = w_2(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{for } \eta > 0.$$

Setting

$$u := u_1 - u_2, \quad v := v_1 - v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad w := w_1 - w_2$$

we obtain for u, v and w the equations

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= \frac{q-a}{D}u - \frac{a}{D}v \quad \text{for } -\infty < \eta < \infty, \\ v' &= -\frac{k}{a}\{g(u_1, c) - g(u_2, c)\} \quad \text{for } \eta > 0, \\ w &= \{g(u_1, c) - g(u_2, c)\}/K \quad \text{for } \eta < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the equations for $\eta > 0$. Multiplying the v-equation by u and integrating the result with respect to η from $\eta = 0$ to $\eta = \infty$, yields

$$\int_0^\infty uv' d\eta = -\frac{k}{a}\int_0^\infty \{g(u_1, c) - g(u_2, c)\}u \, d\eta.$$

Note that this expression is well-defined, because by Proposition 2.5 u and v decay exponentially fast to zero as $\eta \to \infty$. Integrating by parts and using $v(0) = v(\infty) = 0$, gives

$$\int_0^\infty u'v d\eta = \frac{k}{a}\int_0^\infty \{g(u_1, c) - g(u_2, c)\}u \, d\eta. \tag{3.4}$$

Next we multiply the u-equation by u' and again integrate the result. Using (3.4) leads to the identity

$$\int_0^\infty \{u'\}^2 d\eta + \frac{k}{D}\int_0^\infty \{g(u_1,c) - g(u_2,c)\}u\, d\eta + \frac{q-a}{2D}\{u(0)\}^2 = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

The monotonicity of $g(\cdot, c)$ implies that the middle term is non-negative. Hence

(i) $u(0) = 0$, which implies $u_1 = u_2$ and $w_1 = w_2$ on $(-\infty, 0)$.
(ii) $u_1 = u_2$ on $(0, \infty)$, which implies $v_1 = v_2$ on $(0, \infty)$, from the v-equation.

□

4. Limit Cases

Here we discuss the behaviour of the travelling waves for the limit cases introduced in Section 1.

- $k_a \to \infty$, $K > 0$ fixed: Then the chemical reaction is in equilibrium.

Motivated by (1.12) and (1.13) - (1.20) we define

Definition 4.1
The triple $\{u, v, a\}$ with u, v being functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and a a real number is called a *travelling wave for* $k = \infty$ and the boundary condition (BC), if

$$(TWE)\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & u \in C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R}),\ v \in C_{pw}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \text{(ii)} & u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+,\ v \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(iii)} & Du' + av \in C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R}), \\ & \left.\begin{array}{l} (Du' + av)' = (q - a)u' \\ g(u, c) \leq K \\ (K - g(u, c))v = 0 \end{array}\right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(iv)} & \\ & \\ \text{(v)} & u, v \text{ satisfy the boundary conditions } (BC). \end{cases}$$

Remarks 4.2

1. The complementarity conditon in (iv) can be equivalently stated by the existence of $w \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that
$$0 \leq w \leq 1,\ w = 1 \ \text{ on } \{v > 0\},\ g(u, v) = Kw. \tag{4.1}$$

2. The regularity of u and v is reduced compared to Definition 1.1 and will be made more precise later on, on the other hand w is continuous contrary to the case of a finite k (Proposition 2.3 (iv)).

3. Exactly as in the case of finite k Proposition 1.2 holds true such that a is given by (1.21) (up to some cases) and there is an equivalent formulation analogous to Corollary 1.3 with (iii) canceled and (iv) substituted by:
$$u' = \frac{q - a}{D}(u - u^*) - \frac{a}{D}(v - v^*), \tag{4.2}$$
$$g(u, c) \leq K, \quad (K - g(u, v))v = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

We do not repeat the discussion of Section 2, but restrict ourselves directly to class I, ie. $v^* = 0$ and $u_* = u_S$. Then it turns out that problem (TWE) can be resolved explicitly:

Proposition 4.3
Let $\{u, v, a\}$ be a solution of (TWE). Then these exists an $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & for\ \eta < L \\ v_* & for\ \eta \geq L \end{cases}. \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$u(\eta) = \begin{cases} (u_* - u^*)\exp\left(\frac{q-a}{D}(\eta - L)\right) + u^* & for\ \eta < L \\ u_* & for\ \eta \geq L. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

In particular the following jump relations at $\eta = L$ hold true:

$$av_* = a[v] = -D[u'] = -\frac{D}{q-a}[(Du' + av)']. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof.
By extracting the possible points of discontinuity of v and u', the real line is subdivided in finitely many open subintervals. We consider one of these and call it I. In an open (maximal) subinterval A, where $v > 0$, we have by (4.3) or (4.1) and the strict monotonicity of g for $u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+ :\ u = u_*$ in A. An equivalent form of (4.2) due to (1.21) is

$$u' = \frac{q-a}{a}(u - u_*) - \frac{a}{D}(v - v_*) \tag{4.7}$$

and thus $v = v_*$ in A. We see that the interval I is subdivided in open subintervals, where $v = v_*$ and closed subintervals, where $v = 0$. Assume there are subsequent intervals A, B, C, given by $\eta_1 < \eta_2 < \eta_3 < \eta_4$ such that $v = v_*$ in A and C and $v = 0$ in B. Then $u(\eta_2) = u(\eta_3) = u_*$ from the first assertion, but $u(\eta_3) > u_*$ from the second assertion and (4.5). There fore the only constellation still possible is given by (4.4).
The representation (4.5) follows immediately from (4.4), (4.3) and (4.2). Equation (4.6) follows from (4.2) and (TWE) (iv).

□

Remark 4.4
Due to (1.21) we can also write (4.6) as

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\Delta u} + \frac{1}{\Delta v}} q = D[u']. \tag{4.8}$$

In the following a general convergence result of solutions of (TW) to the solutions (TWE) is shown. Adding condition (2.9) to the function g leads to an explicit rate of convergence. This will be discussed in the next Section.

Theorem 4.5
Let $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $k_n \to \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{u_n, v_n, w_n, a\}$ denote travelling waves according to (TW), corresponding to $k = k_n$ and the same boundary conditions (BC), which have been translated such that

$$v_n(\eta) = 0 \text{ for } \eta \leq 0, \quad v_n(\eta) > 0 \text{ for } \eta > 0.$$

Then $\{u_n, v_n, w_n, a\}$ converge from below to the solution of (TWE), for which $L = 0$, is the following sense: For $\Omega \subset\subset \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\to u \text{ pointwise in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and in } C(\Omega), \\ v_n &\to v \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and in } L^p(\Omega), \\ w_n &\to w := g(u,c)/K \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and in } L^p(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Proof.
Since $u^* \leq u_n \leq u_*$, $0 \leq v_n \leq v_*$ and $v_n' \geq 0$,

$$\|u_n\|_{\infty,\mathbb{R}}, \quad \|u_n'\|_{\infty,\mathbb{R}}, \quad \|v_n\|_{\infty,\mathbb{R}}, \quad \|v_n'\|_{1,\mathbb{R}}$$

are uniformly bounded with respect to n. Due to the Azela-Ascoli Theorem and $W^{1,1}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^p(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subset\subset \mathbb{R}$, there exist functions $u \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$u^* \leq u \leq u_*, \quad 0 \leq v \leq v_* \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R},$$

and for a subsequence (not distinguished in notation) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n \to u \ \text{ in } C(\Omega), \\ &v_n \to v \ \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \ \text{ and a.e. in } \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus equation (4.2) holds true because of (1.25) and from (1.26) we conclude

$$w_n \to w := \frac{1}{K} g(u,c) \ \text{ in } L^p(\Omega).$$

We have $v(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta < 0$ and $w(\eta) = 1$ for $\eta \geq 0$ and thus $u(\eta) = u_*$ for $\eta \geq 0$. This inserted into equation (4.2) shows $v(\eta) = v_*$ for $\eta \geq 0$. Due to (4.2) and $u(0) = u_*$ u is positive for $\eta < 0$ and thus strictly monotone increasing. Therefore $u'(-\infty) = 0$ and $u(-\infty) = u^*$. This shows that also (4.7) is satisfied. The inequalities

$$v_n(\eta) < v(\eta), \quad u_n(\eta) < u(\eta) \ \text{ for } \eta \geq 0 \tag{4.10a}$$

are obvious and thus also due to (4.2), (1.25)

$$u_n(\eta) < u(\eta) \text{ for } \eta < 0. \tag{4.10b}$$

As the limit is unique, the whole sequence converges. □

We now consider

- $D \searrow 0$: Then the influence of molecular diffusion and mechanical dispersion vanishes.

Definition 4.6
The quadruple $\{u, v, w, a\}$ with u, v, w being functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and a a real number is called a *travelling wave for* $D = 0$ and the boundary condition (BC), if

$$(TWH)\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & u \in C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R}),\ v \in C^1_{pw}(\mathbb{R}),\ w \in C_{pw}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \text{(ii)} & u \geq (\frac{c}{m})_+,\ v, w \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(iii)} & 0 \leq w \leq 1,\ w = 1 \text{ on } \{v > 0\}, \\ \text{(iv)} & \left.\begin{aligned} -av' + (q-a)u' = 0 \\ -av' + kKw = kg(u,c) \end{aligned}\right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(v)} & u, v \text{ satisfy the boundary conditions(BC).} \end{cases}$$

Again the regularity of u is reduced compared to Definition 1.1 and also here Proposition 1.2 is valid such that a is given by (1.21) (up to some cases) and then the equations (iv) can be expressed as:

$$(q-a)(u-u^*) = a(v-v^*) \tag{4.11}$$

$$v' = \frac{k}{a}(Kw - g(u,c)). \tag{4.12}$$

Again we restrict ourselves to boundary conditions of class I. The structure of a solution is as follows.

Proposition 4.7
Let $\{u, v, w, a\}$ be a solution of (TWH). Then there exists an $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \eta < L \\ \in (0, v_*) & \text{for } \eta \geq L, \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

$$u = u^*,\ w = g(u^*, c)/K \quad \text{for } \eta < L,$$
$$u(\eta) < u_*,\ u'(\eta), v'(\eta) > 0 \quad \text{for } \eta \geq L,$$
$$u''(\eta), v''(\eta) < 0 \text{ for } \eta \geq L, \quad \text{if } \tfrac{\partial}{\partial u} g(u,c) > 0 \quad \text{for } u \geq (\tfrac{c}{m})_+.$$

The following jump relations at $\eta = L$ hold true:

$$[u'] = \left(\frac{\Delta u}{\Delta v} + 1\right) \frac{k}{q}(K - g(u^*, c)) = \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta v}[v']. \tag{4.14}$$

A solution of (TWH) is unique up to translation.

Proof.
First note that $u(\eta) < u_* = u_S$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$:

If $u(\bar{\eta}) = u_*$ for some $\bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}$, then by (4.11) $v > 0$, i.e. $w = 1$ in a vicinity of $\bar{\eta}$, and thus u solves locally the following initial value problem:

$$u' = \frac{k}{q-a}(K - g(u,c)), \quad u(\bar{\eta}) = u_*,$$

which has the unique solution $u \equiv u_*$. By continuation $u = u_*$ on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. a contradiction. As in Proposition 2.1 (ii) we now have

$$v' > 0 \quad \text{in } \{v > 0\}$$

and can repeat the proof of Proposition 2.3 (i) to conclude the assertion (4.13). The further assertions are a direct consequence of equations (4.11), (4.12). For the jump relations also note (1.21). Thus, if we fix L, a solution of (TWH) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &u = u^*, \ v = v^*, \ w = g(u^*, c)/K \ \text{ for } \eta < L, \\ &u' = \frac{k}{q-a}(K - g(u,c)), \ u(L) = u^* \ \text{ for } \eta > L, \\ &v = \frac{q-a}{a}(u - u^*), \ w = 1 \ \text{ for } \eta > L. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

which has a unique solution. □

Again we can show convergence of the solutions of (TW) to the solution of (TWH), analogous to Theorem 4.5. In Section 5 more precise order of convergence results for u will be established.

5. Rate Estimates

Continuing the discussion about the limiting behaviour of the travelling waves as $k \to \infty$ or as $D \searrow 0$, we present in this Section some explicit estimates for the corresponding rate of convergence.
In deriving the estimates for $k \to \infty$, the lower bounds from Proposition 2.5 play a crucial role. Therefore we assume in the first part of this Section that g satisfies inequality (2.9). Further we take again (BC) from class I.

First we consider the equilibrium limit case. For each $k > 0$ we denote by $\{u_k, v_k, w_k, a\}$ a travelling wave in the sense of Corallary 1.3, in which all other parameters are kept fixed. The waves have been translated such that

$$v_k(\eta) = 0 \text{ for } \eta \leq 0 \quad \text{and } v_k(\eta) > 0 \text{ for } \eta > 0.$$

We observe from the lower bound (2.11) and Proposition 2.1 that, given any $k_0 > 1$, for all $k \geq k_0$ and $\eta > 0$

$$0 < u_S - u_k(\eta) < u_S e^{-\lambda_1 \sqrt{k} \eta} \tag{5.1}$$

with

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(k_0) := \frac{q-a}{2D} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{k_0} + \frac{4\alpha D}{(q-a)^2} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{k_0^{1/2}} \right\}.$$

This implies exponential decay for fixed $\eta > 0$ as $k \to \infty$ of $u_k(\eta)$ towards $u(\eta)$, where the limit u is defined by (4.5) with $L = 0$.
From equation (1.25) and Proposition 2.3 we obtain the bound

$$0 < u_k'(0) = \frac{q-a}{D}\{u_k(0) - u^*\} < \frac{q-a}{D}(u_S - u^*), \tag{5.2}$$

for all $k > 0$.

Next let $\delta > 0$. The strict concavity of u_k on $(0, \infty)$ due to Proposition 2.4 implies that for any $0 \leq \eta \leq \delta$

$$u_k(\eta) > u_k(\delta) - (\delta - \eta) u_k'(\eta) > u_k(\delta) - \delta u_k'(0). \tag{5.3}$$

Combining (5.1) - (5.3) results in

$$\begin{aligned} u(\eta) - u_k(\eta) &= u_S - u_k(\eta) < u_S - u_k(\delta) + \delta u_k'(0) \\ &< u_S e^{-\lambda_1 \sqrt{k} \delta} + \delta \frac{q-a}{D}(u_S - u^*) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

uniformly in $[0, \delta]$.
Recalling that for $\eta < 0$

$$u_k(\eta) = (u_k(0) - u^*)e^{\frac{q-a}{D}\eta} + u^*,$$

we obtain, using (4.5),

$$u(\eta) - u_k(\eta) = (u_S - u_k(0))e^{\frac{q-a}{D}\eta} \quad \text{for all } \eta \leq 0. \tag{5.5}$$

The above estimates allow us to prove

Theorem 5.1
Let g satisfy inequality (2.9) and let u by given by (4.5) with $L = 0$. Then given any $k_0 > 1$, there exists a positive constant $C(k_0)$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$:

$$0 \leq \frac{k^{1/2}}{C(k_0)\log k}\{u(\eta) - u_k(\eta)\} \leq \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda_1\sqrt{k}(\eta - \delta(k))} & \text{for } \eta > \delta(k), \\ 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq \eta \leq \delta(k), \\ e^{\frac{q-a}{D}\eta} & \text{for } \eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\delta(k) := \log k/(2\lambda_1 k^{1/2})$.

Proof.
Substituting $\delta = \delta(k)$ in (5.4) we obtain for $0 \leq \eta \leq \delta(k)$ and $k \geq k_0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \frac{1}{\delta(k)}\{u(\eta) - u_k(\eta)\} &< \frac{u_S}{\delta(k)}e^{-\lambda_1\sqrt{k}\delta(k)} + \frac{q-a}{D}(u_S - u^*) \\ &\leq \frac{2\lambda_1 u_S}{\log k_0} + \frac{q-a}{D}(u_S - u^*). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired estimates for

$$C(k_0) = \frac{u_S}{\log k_0} + \frac{q-a}{2\lambda_1 D}(u_S - u^*).$$

□

Corollary 5.2
For all $\eta > 0$ and $k > 0$ we have

$$0 < v(\eta) - v_k(\eta) = v_* - v_k(\eta) < \frac{\tilde{\alpha}u_S}{a\lambda_1}\sqrt{k}e^{-\lambda_1\sqrt{k}\eta}.$$

Here the constant $\tilde{\alpha}$ has to fulfill (2.12).

Proof.
Equation (1.26) and inequality (2.12) give

$$av_k' \leq \tilde{\alpha}k(u_S - u_k),$$

which together with (5.1) results in

$$av_k'(\eta) < \tilde{\alpha}ku_S e^{-\lambda_1\sqrt{k}\eta} \text{ for all } \eta > 0.$$

The estimate now follows upon integration. □

Next we turn to the hyperbolic limit case. For any given $D > 0$ we now denote a travelling wave by $\{u_D, v_D, w_D, a\}$. Again they are shifted such that $v_D(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta \leq 0$ and $v_D(\eta) > 0$ for $\eta < 0$.
We first combine equations (1.25) and (1.26) into a second order equation for u only. The result is

$$Du_D'' = (q - a)u_D' + kH(\eta)\{g(u, c) - K\} \tag{5.6}$$

where H denotes the Heaviside function.

Using the strict concavity of u_D on $(0, \infty)$, the strict monotonicity of $g(., c)$ and $u_D(\eta) > u^*$ yields the inequalities

$$0 < u_D'(\eta) \leq u_D'(0) < \frac{k(K - g(u^*, c))}{q - a} \text{ for } \eta \geq 0 \tag{5.7}$$

and for all $D > 0$.
Again using equation (1.25), now at $\eta = 0$, gives

$$u_D(0) - u^* = \frac{D}{q - a} u_D'(0). \tag{5.8}$$

Putting this expression and (5.7) together, leads to

$$u_D(0) - u^* < \frac{kD(K - g(u^*, c))}{(q - a)^2} \tag{5.9}$$

and consequently to

$$u_D(\eta) - u(\eta) < \frac{kD(K - g(u^*, c))}{(q - a)^2} e^{\frac{q-a}{D}\eta} \tag{5.10}$$

for all $\eta \leq 0$ and all $D > 0$, where u now denotes the hyperbolic limit function satisfying (4.11), (4.12) and Proposition 4.7.
Subtracting the combination of equations (4.11), (4.12) from equation (5.6) gives

$$Du_D'' = (q - a)(u_D - u)' + k\{g(u_D, c) - g(u, c)\} \tag{5.11}$$

for $\eta > 0$.

Integrating this expression and using (5.8) yields

$$Du'_D(\eta) = (q-a)(u_D - u)(\eta) + k\int_0^\eta \{g(u_D, c) - g(u, c)\}ds.$$

The concavity and boundedness of u_D imply $u'_D(\infty) = 0$ (see also Proposition 1.2). Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \{g(u_D, c) - g(u, c)\}d\eta = 0 \text{ for all } D > 0. \tag{5.12}$$

Expression (5.11) also implies that if $u_D(\tilde\eta) = u(\tilde\eta)$ for some $\tilde\eta > 0$, then

$$(u_D - u)'(\tilde\eta) = \frac{D}{q-a} u''_D(\tilde\eta) < 0.$$

Consequently $u_D > u$ in a left neighborhood of any intersection point. This observation combined with (5.12) gives

Proposition 5.3
The functions u_D and u have precisely one intersection point $\eta_D > 0$ with $u_D > u$ on $(-\infty, \eta_D)$.

□

Using again equation (5.11) and also the monotonicity of g we find

$$(u_D - u)'(\eta) < 0 \text{ on } (0, \eta_D).$$

Hence

$$(u_D - u)(\eta) < (u_D - u)(0) < \frac{kD(K - g(u^*, c))}{(q-a)^2}, \tag{5.13}$$

for all $0 < \eta < \eta_D$ and for all $D > 0$.

To get an estimate in the interval (η_D, ∞) we first integrate (5.11) over the interval (η, ∞) with $\eta \geq \eta_D$. This yields

$$Du'_D(\eta) = (q-a)(u_D - u)(\eta) - k\int_\eta^\infty \{g(u_D, c) - g(u, c)\}ds. \tag{5.14}$$

As a consequence

$$Du'_D(\eta_D) = k\int_{\eta_D}^\infty \{g(u, c) - g(u_D, c)\}ds. \tag{5.15}$$

From (5.14) we further obtain, with $\eta \geq \eta_D$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < (q-a)(u - u_D)(\eta) &= -Du'_D(\eta) + k\int_\eta^\infty \{g(u, c) - g(u_D, c)\}ds \\
&< k\int_{\eta_D}^\infty \{g(u, c) - g(u_D, c)\}ds \\
&= Du'_D(\eta_0) \qquad \text{(with (5.15))} \\
&< Du'_D(0)
\end{aligned}$$

as u_D is strictly concave for $\eta \geq 0$.

Using (5.7) in this estimate and combining the results we have the following conclusion:

Theorem 5.4
Let u be the hyperbolic limit as given in Definition 5.1. Then for all $D > 0$ and for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|u_D(\eta) - u(\eta)| \leq \frac{k(K - g(u^*, c))}{(q-a)^2} \; e^{\frac{q-a}{D}(\eta)_-} \; D.$$

Here $(x)_- := -\max(-x, 0)$.

□

Remark 5.5
The estimate in Theorem 5.1 is nearly asymptotically optimal and the estimate in Theorem 5.4 is optimal because of the following observation:
If we consider the linearized model, i.e.

$$g(u, c) = u \quad \text{and} \quad K = u_S,$$

then we can compute the solution of (TW) explicitely (compare [4]) and verify that

$$\sqrt{k}(u_S - u_k(0)) \to \frac{(q-a)(u_S - u^*)}{\sqrt{D}} \quad \text{for } k \to \infty, \tag{5.16}$$

$$\frac{u_D(0) - u^*}{D} \to \frac{k(u_S - u^*)}{(q-a)^2} \quad \text{for } D \to 0. \tag{5.17}$$

Furthermore, numerical approximations of the problem depicted in Figure 1 and 2, but now for varying k or D (Figures 1 and 2 are for $k = 0.1, D = 6.25 * 10^{-4}$) show the behaviour reported in Table 1.

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \text{error1}(k) &:= \frac{\sqrt{k}}{ln(k)}(u_S - u_k(0)), \\ \text{error2}(k) &:= \sqrt{k}(u_S - u_k(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Table 1 leads to the conjecture that in line with Remark 5.5 the logorithmic term in the estimate of Theorem 5.1 seems to be too pessimistic, but it cannot be dispensed totally in the general case. The limiting behaviour for $D \to 0$, with

$$\text{error } (D) := \frac{u_D(0) - u^*}{D}$$

is found even with the correct constant.

k	error1(k)	error2(k)
10	$0.841560 * 10^{-4}$	$1.937765 * 10^{-4}$
10^2	$0.431551 * 10^{-4}$	$1.987367 * 10^{-4}$
10^3	$0.289970 * 10^{-4}$	$2.003042 * 10^{-4}$
10^4	$0.218011 * 10^{-4}$	$2.007956 * 10^{-4}$
10^5	$0.174537 * 10^{-4}$	$2.009433 * 10^{-4}$

D	error(D)
$6.25 * 10^{-4}$	0.2998
$6.25 * 10^{-5}$	0.4400
$6.25 * 10^{-6}$	0.4456
$6.25 * 10^{-7}$	0.4460

Table 1. Limit behaviour for numerical example.

Conclusions

In this paper we analyse travelling wave solutions for a model describing crystal dissolution in a porous medium. In Section 1 we present the model. It consists of two diffusion–convection equations for the anion and cation concentrations, respectively, and of an ordinary differential equation describing the ongoing dissolution/precipitation reactions. The main complication is the occurrence of a Heaviside–graph in the reaction equation.
In Section 2 we investigate the differential equations describing dissolution fronts (i.e. dissolution travelling waves) and we explain the structure of the solutions. In particular we show the existence of a free boundary which separates the region where the concentration of the crystalline solid is positive from the region where no crystalline solid is present, see also Figure 1. Existence and uniquess of solutions is proven in Section 3.
The model involves two important parameters. One is the rate parameter k for the reactions, the other is the diffusion/dispersion coefficient D in the transport equations for the dissolved species.
In Sections 4 and 5 we investigate the equilibrium limit in which $k \to \infty$ and the hyperbolic limit in which $D \searrow 0$. For $k = \infty$, all the concentrations are constant whenever crystalline solid is present. Furthermore, the crystalline concentration is discontinuous across the free boundary jumping from zero to the positive constant value, and the anion and cation concentrations are positive everywhere. For $D = 0$, anion and cation concentrations are constant in the region where the crystalline solid is absent. This is due to the missing diffusive transport term. Now all concentrations are continuous, growing from the free boundary to infinity.
For both cases we construct in Section 5 explicit bounds for the corresponding rates. We also compare these rate estimates and numerically obtained convergence results. This leads us to the conclusion that the analytical results are close to optimal.

References

[1] van DUIJN, C. J. & KNABNER, P., 1991 Solute transport in porous media with equilibrium and non–equilibrium multiple–site adsorption: Travelling waves. *J. reine angew. Math.* 145, 1-48.

[2] van DUIJN, C. J. & KNABNER, P., 1992 Travelling waves in the transport of reactive solutes through porous media: Adsorption and binary ion exchange - Part 1, 2. *Transport in Porous Media* 8, 167-194, 199-226.

[3] van DUIJN, C.J., KNABNER, P. & van der ZEE, S.E.A.T.M., 1993 Travelling waves in the transport of reactive solutes through porous media: Combination of Langmuir and Freundlich Isotherms. *Adv. Water Resources*, 16, 97–105.

[4] KNABNER, P., van DUIJN, C.J. & HENGST, S., 1993 An analysis of crystal dissolution fronts in flows through porous media - Part 1: Homogeneous charge distribution. *Adv. Water Resources*, - submitted -.

[5] PAWELL, A. & KRANNICH, K.–D., 1993 Dissolution effects arising in transport in porous media which affect a chemical equilibrium. - submitted -.

[6] RUBIN, J., 1983 Transport of reacting solutes in porous media: Relation between mathematical nature of problem formulation and chemical nature of reaction. *Water Resources Research*, 19, 1231-1252.

Veröffentlichungen des Instituts für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

Preprints 1992

1. D.A. Dawson, J. Gärtner: Multilevel large deviations.
2. H. Gajewski: On uniqueness of solutions to the drift–diffusion–model of semiconductor devices.
3. J. Fuhrmann: On the convergence of algebraically defined multigrid methods.
4. A. Bovier, J.-M. Ghez: Spectral properties of one–dimensional Schrödinger operators with potentials generated by substitutions.
5. D.A. Dawson, K. Fleischmann: A super–Brownian motion with a single point catalyst.
6. A. Bovier, V. Gayrard: The thermodynamics of the Curie–Weiss model with random couplings.
7. W. Dahmen, S. Prößdorf, R. Schneider: Wavelet approximation methods for pseudodifferential equations I: stability and convergence.
8. A. Rathsfeld: Piecewise polynomial collocation for the double layer potential equation over polyhedral boundaries. Part I: The wedge, Part II: The cube.
9. G. Schmidt: Boundary element discretization of Poincaré–Steklov operators.
10. K. Fleischmann, I. Kaj: Large deviation probability for some rescaled superprocesses.
11. P. Mathé: Random approximation of finite sums.
12. C.J. van Duijn, P. Knabner: Flow and reactive transport in porous media induced by well injection: similarity solution.
13. G.B. Di Masi, E. Platen, W.J. Runggaldier: Hedging of options under discrete observation on assets with stochastic volatility.
14. J. Schmeling, R. Siegmund–Schultze: The singularity spectrum of self-affine fractals with a Bernoulli measure.
15. A. Koshelev: About some coercive inequalities for elementary elliptic and parabolic operators.
16. P.E. Kloeden, E. Platen, H. Schurz: Higher order approximate Markov chain filters.

17. H.M. Dietz, Y. Kutoyants: A minimum–distance estimator for diffusion processes with ergodic properties.

18. I. Schmelzer: Quantization and measurability in gauge theory and gravity.

19. A. Bovier, V. Gayrard: Rigorous results on the thermodynamics of the dilute Hopfield model.

20. K. Gröger: Free energy estimates and asymptotic behaviour of reaction–diffusion processes.

21. E. Platen (ed.): Proceedings of the 1^{st} workshop on stochastic numerics.

22. S. Prößdorf (ed.): International Symposium "Operator Equations and Numerical Analysis" September 28 – October 2, 1992 Gosen (nearby Berlin).

23. K. Fleischmann, A. Greven: Diffusive clustering in an infinite system of hierarchically interacting diffusions.

24. P. Knabner, I. Kögel–Knabner, K.U. Totsche: The modeling of reactive solute transport with sorption to mobile and immobile sorbents.

25. S. Seifarth: The discrete spectrum of the Dirac operators on certain symmetric spaces.

26. J. Schmeling: Hölder continuity of the holonomy maps for hyperbolic basic sets II.

27. P. Mathé: On optimal random nets.

28. W. Wagner: Stochastic systems of particles with weights and approximation of the Boltzmann equation. The Markov process in the spatially homogeneous case.

29. A. Glitzky, K. Gröger, R. Hünlich: Existence and uniqueness results for equations modelling transport of dopants in semiconductors.

30. J. Elschner: The h-p–version of spline approximation methods for Mellin convolution equations.

31. R. Schlundt: Iterative Verfahren für lineare Gleichungssysteme mit schwach besetzten Koeffizientenmatrizen.

32. G. Hebermehl: Zur direkten Lösung linearer Gleichungssysteme auf Shared und Distributed Memory Systemen.

33. G.N. Milstein, E. Platen, H. Schurz: Balanced implicit methods for stiff stochastic systems: An introduction and numerical experiments.

34. M.H. Neumann: Pointwise confidence intervals in nonparametric regression with heteroscedastic error structure.

35. M. Nussbaum: Asymptotic equivalence of density estimation and white noise.

Preprints 1993

36. B. Kleemann, A. Rathsfeld: Nyström's method and iterative solvers for the solution of the double layer potential equation over polyhedral boundaries.

37. W. Dahmen, S. Prössdorf, R. Schneider: Wavelet approximation methods for pseudodifferential equations II: matrix compression and fast solution.

38. N. Hofmann, E. Platen, M. Schweizer: Option pricing under incompleteness and stochastic volatility.

39. N. Hofmann: Stability of numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations with multiplicative noise.

40. E. Platen, R. Rebolledo: On bond price dynamics.

41. E. Platen: An approach to bond pricing.

42. E. Platen, R. Rebolledo: Pricing via anticipative stochastic calculus.

43. P.E. Kloeden, E. Platen: Numerical methods for stochastic differential equations.

44. L. Brehmer, A. Liemant, I. Müller: Ladungstransport und Oberflächenpotentialkinetik in ungeordneten dünnen Schichten.

45. A. Bovier, C. Külske: A rigorous renormalization group method for interfaces in random media.

46. G. Bruckner: On the regularization of the ill–posed logarithmic kernel integral equation of the first kind.

47. H. Schurz: Asymptotical mean stability of numerical solutions with multiplicative noise.

48. J.W. Barrett, P. Knabner: Finite element approximation of transport of reactive solutes in porous media. Part I: Error estimates for non–equilibrium adsorption processes.

49. M. Pulvirenti, W. Wagner, M.B. Zavelani Rossi: Convergence of particle schemes for the Boltzmann equation.

50. J. Schmeling: Most β shifts have bad ergodic properties.

51. J. Schmeling: Self normal numbers.

52. D.A. Dawson, K. Fleischmann: Super–Brownian motions in higher dimensions with absolutely continuous measure states.

53. A. Koshelev: Regularity of solutions for some problems of mathematical physics.

54. J. Elschner, I.G. Graham: An optimal order collocation method for first kind boundary integral equations on polygons.

55. R. Schlundt: Iterative Verfahren für lineare Gleichungssysteme auf Distributed Memory Systemen.

56. D.A. Dawson, K. Fleischmann, Y. Li, C. Müller: Singularity of super–Brownian local time at a point catalyst.

57. N. Hofmann, E. Platen: Stability of weak numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations.

58. H.G. Bothe: The Hausdorff dimension of certain attractors.

59. I.P. Ivanova, G.A. Kamenskij: On the smoothness of the solution to a boundary value problem for a differential–difference equation.

60. A. Bovier, V. Gayrard: Rigorous results on the Hopfield model of neural networks.

61. M.H. Neumann: Automatic bandwidth choice and confidence intervals in nonparametric regression.