Weierstraß–Institut
für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Metastability and small eigenvalues in Markov chains

Anton Bovier[1], Michael Eckhoff[2], Véronique Gayrard[3],

Markus Klein[2]

submitted: July 25th 2000

[1] Weierstrass Institute
for Applied Analysis
and Stochastics
Mohrenstraße 39
D – 10117 Berlin
Germany
E-Mail: bovier@wias-berlin.de

[2] Institut für Mathematik
Universität Potsdam
Am Neuen Palais 10
D – 14469 Potsdam
Germany
E-Mail: meckhoff@math.uni-potsdam.de
E-Mail: mklein@math.uni-potsdam.de

[3] DMA, EPFL
CH-1021 Lausanne
Switzerland
and
Centre de Physique Thèorique
CNRS, Luminy, Case 907
F – 13288 Marseille, Cedex 9
France
E-Mail: Veronique.Gayrard@epfl.ch

Preprint No. 600
Berlin 2000

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60J10, 60K35.

Key words and phrases. Markov chains, metastability, eigenvalue problems, exponential distribution.

Edited by
Weierstraß–Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS)
Mohrenstraße 39
D — 10117 Berlin
Germany

Fax: + 49 30 2044975
E-Mail (X.400): c=de;a=d400-gw;p=WIAS-BERLIN;s=preprint
E-Mail (Internet): preprint@wias-berlin.de
World Wide Web: http://www.wias-berlin.de/

Abstract: In this letter we announce rigorous results that elucidate the relation between *metastable states* and *low-lying eigenvalues* in Markov chains in a much more general setting and with considerable greater precision as was so far available. This includes a *sharp* uncertainty principle relating all low-lying eigenvalues to mean times of metastable transitions, a relation between the support of eigenfunctions and the attractor of a metastable state, and sharp estimates on the convergence of probability distribution of the metastable transition times to the exponential distribution.

I. Introduction.

The phenomenon of *metastability* has been a fascinating topic of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics for a long time. Currently, it has found renewed interest in the investigation of *glassy systems* and *aging phenomena* which appear to play a central role in many physical and non-physical systems. An approach to link metastability to spectral characteristics, in particular *low-lying eigenvalues* and the corresponding eigenfunctions has been proposed by Gaveau and Schulman [GS]. Such an approach is appealing not only because it allows to characterize metastability in terms that are intrinsically dynamic and make no a priori reference to geometric concepts such as "free energy landscapes", but also since it allows numerical investigations of metastable states via numerical spectral analysis (see Schütte et al. [S,SFHD] for applications to conformational dynamics of biomolecules).

Relating metastability to spectral characteristics of the Markov generator or transition matrix is in fact a rather old topic. First mathematical results go back at least as far as Wentzell [W] (see also [M] for more recent results) and Freidlin and Wentzell (see [FW]). Freidlin and Wentzell relate the eigenvalues of the transition matrix for Markov processes with exponentially small transition probabilities to exit times from "cycles"; Wentzell has a similar result for the spectral gap in the case of certain diffusion processes. All these relations are on the level of logarithmic equivalence, i.e. of the form $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon \ln(\lambda_i^\epsilon T_i^\epsilon) = 0$ where ϵ is the small parameter, and $\lambda_i^\epsilon, T_i^\epsilon$ are the eigenvalues, resp. exit times. This rather crude level of precision persists also in the more recent literature and prevents, in particular, applications to systems with unbounded numbers of metastable states which are particularly relevant for glassy systems.

In this letter we announce results that – for a large class of Markov chains – improve this situation considerably: in particular we allow for the number of metastable states to grow (with e.g. the 'volume'), and we give precise control of error terms for 'finite volume' systems.

Moreover, we provide representations for all quantities concerned that are computable in terms of certain 'escape probabilities' that are in turn well controllable through variational representations [BEGK1]. A more detailed exposition of our results, as well as the proofs, will be given in two forthcoming papers [BEGK2,EK].

2. Metastable set and metastable states.

We will consider in the sequel Markov chains X_t with state space Γ_N, discrete time[1] $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and transition matrices P_N. We will assume that for any fixed N they are ergodic, and have a unique invariant distribution $\mathbb{Q}_N$. We are interested in the situation when these chains exhibit "metastable" behaviour; loosely speaking, this means that the state space Γ_N can be decomposed into subsets $S_{N,i}$ such that the typical times the process takes to go from one such set to another are much larger than the time it takes to "look like" being in equilibrium with respect to the conditional distribution $\mathbb{Q}_N(\cdot|S_{N,i})$. Some reflection shows that this statement has considerable difficulties and cannot be interpreted literally, and that a precise definition of metastability is a rather tricky business (see e.g. the recent discussion in [BK]). We will give a precise definition that is, however, inspired by this vague consideration. The main point here is that one should make precise the two time scales we alluded to. We will take the following attitude: to look ergodic within $S_{N,i}$, the process should have at least enough time to reach the "most attractive" state within $S_{N,i}$, while at least the times to go from two such states in different metastable regions should be long compared to that time. Note that this concept is rather flexible and allows, in general, to define metastable states corresponding to different time scales.

The following definition of "metastable sets" follows this ideology; however, we prefer to use certain probabilities rather than actual times as criteria, mainly because these are more readily computable. Linking them in a precise manner to times will be part of our results. We will write τ_I^x, for $x \in \Gamma_N$, $I \subset \Gamma_N$, for the first non-zero time the process started at x arrives at I.

Definition 2.1: *A set $\mathcal{M}_N \subset \Gamma_N$ will be called a set of* metastable points *if it satisfies the following conditions. There are finite positive constants a_N, b_N, c_N, and r_N satisfying for for some sequence $\varepsilon_N \downarrow 0$, $a_N^{-1} \leq \varepsilon_N b_N$, such that the following holds:*

(i) For all $z \in \Gamma_N$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{\mathcal{M}_N}^z < \tau_z^z\right] \geq b_N \tag{2.1}$$

[1] All results apply, however, also to continuous time.

(ii) For any $x \neq y \in \mathcal{M}_N$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_y^x < \tau_x^x\right] \leq a_n^{-1} \tag{2.2}$$

(iii) We associate with each $x \in \mathcal{M}_N$ *its local valley*

$$A(x) \equiv \left\{ z \in \Gamma_N : \mathbb{P}\left[\tau_x^z = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_N}^z\right] = \sup_{y \in \mathcal{M}_N} \mathbb{P}\left[\tau_y^z = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_N}^z\right] \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$r_N \geq \frac{\mathbb{Q}_N(x)}{\mathbb{Q}_N(A(x))} \equiv R_x \geq c_N^{-1} \tag{2.4}$$

We will also write $T_{x,I} \equiv \mathbb{P}[\tau_I^x \leq \tau_x^x]^{-1}$. An important characteristic of the sets $I \subset \mathcal{M}_N$ is $T_I \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_N} T_{x,I}$. A simplifying assumption, that will be seen to ensure sufficient "spacing" of the low lying eigenvalues is that of "genericity", defined as follows:

Definition 2.2: *We say that our Markov chain is* generic *on the level of the set* $\mathcal{M}_N$, *if there exists a sequence* $\epsilon_N \downarrow 0$, *s.t.*

(i) For all pairs $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_N$, *and any set* $I \subset \mathcal{M}_N \backslash \{x, y\}$ *either* $T_{x,I} \leq \epsilon_N T_{y,I}$ *or* $T_{y,I} \leq \epsilon_N T_{x,I}$.

(ii) There exists $m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_N$ *such that for all* $x \in \mathcal{M}_N \backslash m_1$, $\mathbb{Q}_N(x) \leq \epsilon_N \mathbb{Q}_N(m_1)$.

Each of the elements of $\mathcal{M}_N$ in the generic case will then correspond indeed to a metastable state. Our first task is to identify precisely the notion of the exit time from a metastable state. To do so, we define for any $x \in \mathcal{M}_N$ the set $\mathcal{M}_N(x) \equiv \{y \in \mathcal{M}_N : \mathbb{Q}_N(y) > \mathbb{Q}_N(x)\}$; these are the points that are even more stable than x. The metastable exit time, $t_x \equiv \tau_{\mathcal{M}_N(x)}^x$ from x is then defined as the time of the first arrival from x in $\mathcal{M}_N(x)$. With this notion we can formulate our main result:

Theorem 2.3: *Assume that* $\mathcal{M}_N$ *is a metastable set and that the genericity assumptions are satisfied with* ε_N *such that* $r_N c_N \epsilon_N \downarrow 0$ *and* $\epsilon_N |\Gamma_N||\mathcal{M}_N| \downarrow 0$. *Then*

(i) For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_N$,

$$\mathbb{E}\tau_x = R_x^{-1} T_{x,\mathcal{M}_N(x)}(1 + o(1)) \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_N$, *there exists an eigenvalue* λ_x *of* $1 - P_N$ *that satisfies*

$$\lambda_x = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}t_x}(1 + o(1)) \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all N

$$\sigma(1 - P_N) \setminus \cup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_N} \lambda_x \subset (cb_N |\Gamma_N|^{-1}, 1] \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_N$, for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[t_x > t\mathbb{E}t_x] = e^{-t(1+o(1))}(1 + o(1)) \tag{2.8}$$

(iv) If ψ_x denotes the eigenvector of $1 - P_N$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_x, then

$$\psi_x(y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}[\tau_x^y < \tau^y_{\mathcal{M}_N(x)}](1 + o(1)), & \text{if } \mathbb{P}[\tau_x^y < \tau^y_{\mathcal{M}_N(x)}] \geq \epsilon_N \\ O(\epsilon_N), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Remark: Explicit bounds on the error terms are given [BEGK2,EK].

Let us make some additional comments on this theorem. First of all, the identification of what constitutes a metastable exit is crucial, and, in particular the fact that these processes include the *transition through the "saddle point"*, guaranteed in our case by the insistence that the process by time t_x has actually arrived in $\mathcal{M}_N(x)$. Without taking this into account, the precise uncertainty principle (ii) could not hold. It is interesting to note that on the level of this theorem, the difficulties associated with the control of the passage through a saddle are not visible, and that we have the exact formula (2.5) for the mean exit time. Of course the difficulty is hidden in the quantities $T_{x,y}$ whose computation is far from trivial. However, we have shown in [BEGK1] that at least in the reversible case, using a variational representation, very precise control of such quantities can be gained in certain setting. Somewhat less precise results can also be obtained in some non-reversible situations [EK]. Concerning our estimate on the eigenfunctions, it is easy to see that [BEGK1] $\mathbb{P}[\tau_x^y < \tau^y_{\mathcal{M}_N(x)}]$ is either very close to one or very close to zero, except on a set of points whose invariant measure is extremely small. Therefore, the corresponding right eigenfunctions $\psi_x^r(z) = \mathbb{Q}_N(z)\psi_x(z)$, are essentially proportional to the measure $\mathbb{Q}_N$ conditioned on the local valley corresponding to x (all up to errors of order ϵ_N), i.e. they do indeed represent *metastable measures*, as suggested in [GS].

3. Some ideas of the proofs.

The first major ingredient of the proofs is a representation formula for the Green function of the transition matrix P_N in terms of certain probabilities. It implies in particular that for any $I \subset \Gamma_N$,

$$\mathbb{E}t_I^x = \sum_{y \in \Gamma_N \setminus I \setminus x} \frac{\mathbb{Q}_N(y)}{\mathbb{Q}_N(x)} \mathbb{P}[\tau_x^y < \tau_I^y] \mathbb{P}[\tau_I^x < \tau_x^x] + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[\tau_I^x < \tau_x^x]} \tag{3.1}$$

This formula was first derived for the reversible case in [BEGK1]. An apparently independent derivation that also covers the non-reversible case was given recently in [GM]. It allows in particular to prove (2.5) in a rather simple way. However, the realization that this formula actually arises from a representation of the Green function makes it even more useful.

Our analysis of the spectrum of $1 - P_N$ passes through the analysis of the Laplace transforms, $G_{y,J}^x(u) \equiv \mathbb{E}e^{ut_y^x} \mathbb{I}_{\tau_y^x < \tau_J^x}$ of transition times of process that is 'killed' upon arrival in a set $J \subset \Gamma_N$. We write P_N^J for the transition matrix of such a process, and we write λ_J for the smallest eigenvalue of $(1 - P^J)$. It then turns out that all eigenvalues of $(1 - P_N)$ below λ_J can be characterised as follows: Set $u(\lambda) \equiv -\ln(1-\lambda)$. Define the $|J| \times |J|$ matrix $\mathcal{G}_J(u)$ whose elements are

$$\delta_{m',m} - G_{m,J}^{m'}(u), \quad m', m \in J \tag{3.2}$$

Then λ is an eigenvalue of $(1 - P_N)$ below λ_J, if and only if

$$\det G_J(u(\lambda)) = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

This equation is rather easy to understand if $|J| = 1$. In this case, (3.3) becomes simply $G_m^m(u(\lambda)) = 1$. By a simple renewal argument, one sees that $G_x^m(u) = \frac{G_{x,m}^m(u)}{1 - G_{m,x}^m(u)}$. Therefore, $u(\lambda)$ defined by (3.3) is the first value at which $\sup_{x \in G_N} G_x^m(u) = +\infty$. The general formula (3.2) is somewhat less intuitive. Basically, one makes an ansatz for the eigenfunctions of $(1 - P_N)$ in terms of the Laplace transforms of the form

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{m \in J} \phi_m G_{m,J}^x(u) \tag{3.4}$$

One then finds that condition (3.3) is sufficient for the ansatz to yield eigenfunctions with $u = u(\lambda)$. Moreover, one can show that if λ is an eigenvalue, then the eigenfunctions can be represented in this form and (3.3) must be satisfied.

To complete the proof one needs good control over the Laplace transforms; this is partly provided again by the representation of the Green function, complemented by lower bounds

on eigenvalues λ_J obtained from a Donsker-Varadhan [DV] argument. The actual proofs are rather involved and must be left to the longer publications [BEGK1,EK]. Let us finally mention that the good control over the spectrum of $(1 - P_N)$ allows in turn a very good control of the analytic properties of the Laplace transforms which allow in turn the sharp estimates on the probability distribution of metastable transition times stated under (iv).

References

[BEGK] A. Bovier, M.Eckhoff, V. Gayrard and M. Klein, "Metastability in Stochastic Dynamics of Disordered Mean-Field Models", WIAS-preprint 452, to appear in Prob. Theor. Rel, Fields, (2000).

[BEGK1] A. Bovier, M.Eckhoff, V. Gayrard and M. Klein, "Metastability and low-lying spectra in reversible Markov chains", in perparation (2000).

[BK] G. Biroli and J. Kurchan, "Metastable states in glassy systems", `http://www.xxx.lanl.gov/cond-mat/0005499` (2000).

[DV] M.D. Donsker and S.R.S. Varadhan, "On the principal eigenvalue of second-order elliptic differential operators", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29**, 595-621 (1976).

[EK] M. Eckhoff, M. Klein, "Metastability and low lying spectra in non-reversible Markov chains", in preparation (2000).

[FW] M.I. Freidlin and A.D. Wentzell, "Random perturbations of dynamical systems", Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.

[GM] B. Gaveau and M. Moreau, "Metastable relaxation times and absorbtion probabilities for multidimensional stochastic systems", J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, 4837-4850 (2000).

[GS] B. Gaveau and L.S. Schulman, "Theory of nonequilibrium first-order phase transitions for stochastic dynamics", J. Math. Phys. **39**, 1517-1533 (1998).

[M] P. Mathieu, "Spectra, exit times and long times asymptotics in the zero white noise limit", Stoch. Stoch. Rep. **55**, 1-20 (1995).

[S] Ch. Schütte, "Conformational dynamics: modelling, theory, algorithm, and application to biomolecules", preprint SC 99-18, ZIB-Berlin (1999).

[SFHD] Ch. Schütte, A. Fischer, W. Huisinga, P. Deuflhard, "A direct approach to conformational dynamics based on hybrid Monte Carlo", J. Comput. Phys. **151**, 146-168 (1999).

[Sc] E. Scoppola, "Renormalization and graph methods for Markov chains". Advances in dynamical systems and quantum physics (Capri, 1993), 260-281, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1995.

[W] A.D. Wentzell, "On the asymptotic behaviour of the greatest eigenvalue of a second order elliptic differential operator with a small parameter in the higher derivatives", Soviet Math. Docl. **13**, 13-17 (1972).

Recent publications of the Weierstraß–Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

Preprints 2000

545. Thomas Koprucki, Heinz-Jürgen Wagner: New exact ground states for one-dimensional quantum many-body systems.

546. Donald A. Dawson, Klaus Fleischmann: Catalytic and mutually catalytic super-Brownian motions.

547. Messoud Efendiev, Jürgen Fuhrmann, Sergei V. Zelik: The long-time behaviour of the thermoconvective flow in a porous medium.

548. Peter Mathé, Sergei V. Pereverzev: Optimal discretization of inverse problems in Hilbert scales. Regularization and self-regularization of projection methods.

549. Ilja Schmelzer: General Ether Theory.

550. Jürgen Sprekels, Dan Tiba: Sur les arches lipschitziennes.

551. Wolfgang Härdle, Stefan Sperlich, Vladimir Spokoiny: Structural tests in additive regression.

552. Olaf Klein, Peter Philip, Jürgen Sprekels, Krzystof Wilmański: Radiation- and convection-driven transient heat transfer during sublimation growth of silicon carbide single crystals.

553. René Henrion, Jiři Outrata: A subdifferential criterion for calmness of multifunctions.

554. Thomas Dreyer, Bernd Maar, Volker Schulz: Multigrid optimization in applications.

555. Alexander Vladimirov: Duality principle for discrete linear inclusions.

556. Sergey V. Gonchenko, Vladimir S. Gonchenko: On Andronov-Hopf bifurcations of two-dimensional diffeomorphisms with homoclinic tangencies.

557. Mikhail Shashkov, Dmitry Turaev: A proof of a Shilnikov theorem for C^1-smooth dynamical systems.

558. Jürgen Borchardt: Parallel numerical methods for large-scale DAE systems.

559. Dmitry Turaev: Multi-pulse homoclinic loops in systems with a smooth first integral.

560. Leonid Shilnikov, Dmitry Turaev: A new simple bifurcation of a periodic orbit of "blue sky catastrophe" type.

561. Vadim V. Strygin: Hybrid method and vibrational stability for nonlinear singularly perturbed system under parametric excitations.

562. Alejandro L. Garcia, Wolfgang Wagner: A new kinetic equation for dense gases.

563. Krzysztof Wilmański: Sound and shock waves in porous and granular materials.

564. János Engländer, Dmitry Turaev: A scaling limit theorem for a class of superdiffusion.

565. Martin Petzoldt: Regularity results for interface problems in 2D.

566. Pavel Krejčí, Alexander A. Vladimirov: Lipschitz continuity of polyhedral Skorokhod maps.

567. Rainer Hinder, Erhard Meister, Sergueï A. Nazarov: Asymptotics of solutions to Joukovskii-Kutta-type problems at infinity.

568. Inna Edelman, Krzysztof Wilmanski: Surface waves at an interface separating two saturated porous media.

569. Marian Hristache, Anatoli Juditsky, Jörg Polzehl, Vladimir Spokoiny: Structure adaptive approach for dimension reduction.

570. Markus Kraft, Wolfgang Wagner: Numerical study of a stochastic particle method for homogeneous gas phase reactions.

571. Leonid Shilnikov: Homoclinic orbits: Since Poincaré till today.

572. Valentin F. Butuzov, Nikolai N. Nefedov, Klaus R. Schneider: Singularly perturbed partly dissipative reaction-diffusion systems in case of exchange of stabilities.

573. Günter Albinus, Herbert Gajewski, Rolf Hünlich: Thermodynamic design of energy models of semiconductor devices.

574. Dietmar Hömberg, Aleksander M. Khludnev: An equilibrium problem for a thermoelectroconductive body with the Signorini condition on the boundary.

575. Orazgeldi Kurbanmuradov, Üllar Rannik, Karl K. Sabelfeld and Timo Vesala: Evaluation of mean concentration and fluxes in turbulent flows by Lagrangian stochastic models.

576. Friedrich Grund, Klaus Ehrhardt, Jürgen Borchardt, Dietmar Horn: Heterogeneous dynamic process flowsheet simulation of chemical plants.

577. Lev M. Lerman: Dynamical phenomena near homo- or heteroclinic connections involving saddle-foci in a Hamiltonian system.

578. Rolf Hünlich, Günter Albinus, Herbert Gajewski, Annegret Glitzky, Wilfried Röpke, Jürgen Knopke: Modelling and simulation of power devices for high-voltage integrated circuits.

579. Krzysztof Wilmański, Bettina Albers: Two notes on continuous modelling of porous media.

580. Jens André Griepentrog, Konrad Gröger, Hans-Christoph Kaiser, and Joachim Rehberg: Interpolation for function spaces related to mixed boundary value problems.

581. Anca Ignat, Jürgen Sprekels, Dan Tiba: Analysis and optimization of nonsmooth mechanical structures.

582. Uwe Bandelow, Hans-Christoph Kaiser, Thomas Koprucki, and Joachim Rehberg: Modeling and simulation of strained quantum wells in semiconductor lasers.

583. Danilo Mercurio, Vladimir Spokoiny: Statistical inference for time-inhomogeneous volatility models.

584. Oliver Reiß, Uwe Wystup: Efficient computation of option price sensitivities using homogeneity and other tricks.

585. Andreas Eibeck, Wolfgang Wagner: Stochastic particle approximations for Smoluchowski's coagulation equation.

586. Johannes Elschner, Gunther Schmidt: Conical diffraction by periodic structures: Variation of interfaces and gradient formulas.

587. Annegret Glitzky, Rolf Hünlich: Global properties of pair diffusion models.

588. Johannes Elschner, Rainer Hinder, Gunther Schmidt: Direct and inverse problems for diffractive structures – optimization of binary gratings.

589. Grigori N. Milstein, Michael V. Tretyakov: Numerical solution of the Neumann problem for nonlinear parabolic equations by probability approach.

590. Grigori N. Milstein, Michael V. Tretyakov: Unidirectional transport in stochastic ratchets.

591. Michael Pantelyat, Manfred Uhle: Thermo-mechanical problems in induction heating of steel.

592. Wolfgang Dreyer, Michael Junk, Matthias Kunik: On the approximation of kinetic equations by moment systems.

593. Evgeniy V. Radkevich, Krzysztof Wilmański: A Riemann problem for poroelastic materials with the balance equation for porosity. Part I.

594. Evgeniy V. Radkevich, Krzysztof Wilmański: A Riemann problem for poroelastic materials with the balance equation for porosity. Part II.

595. Anton Bovier, Irina Kurkova, Matthias Löwe: Fluctuations of the free energy in the REM and the p-spin SK models.

596. Grigori N. Milstein, Michael Nussbaum: Maximum likelihood estimate for nonparametric signal in white noise by optimal control.

597. Uwe Bandelow, Mindaugas Radziunas, Jan Sieber, Matthias Wolfrum: Impact of gain dispersion on the spatio-temporal dynamics of multisection lasers.

598. Adriana Bohé: Exponentially sensitive internal layer solutions of one-side and their asymptotic expansions.

599. Matthias Wolfrum: A sequence of order relations, encoding heteroclinic connections in scalar parabolic PDE.