Weierstraß–Institut
für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Preprint ISSN 0946 – 8633

Regularity of weak solutions of Maxwell's equations with mixed boundary conditions

Frank Jochmann[1]

submitted: 6th May 1999

[1] Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Institut für angewandte Mathematik
Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin,
Germany
und
Weiersraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik
Mohrenstraße 39
D-10117 Berlin
Germany
E-Mail: jochmann@wias-berlin.de

Preprint No. 488
Berlin 1999

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q60, 35L50.

Key words and phrases. Maxwell's equations, regularity of weak solutions, mixed boundaryconditions.

Edited by
Weierstraß–Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS)
Mohrenstraße 39
D — 10117 Berlin
Germany

Fax: + 49 30 2044975
E-Mail (X.400): c=de;a=d400-gw;p=WIAS-BERLIN;s=preprint
E-Mail (Internet): preprint@wias-berlin.de
World Wide Web: http://www.wias-berlin.de/

Abstract

In this paper global H^s and L^p-regularity-results for the stationary and transient Maxwell-equations with mixed boundary-conditions in a bounded spatial domain are proved. First it is shown that certain elements belonging to the fractional-order domain of the Maxwell-operator belong to $H^s(\Omega)$ for sufficiently small $s > 0$. It follows from this regularity result that $H^s(\Omega)$ is an invariant subspace of the unitary group corresponding to the homogeneous Maxwell-equations with mixed boundary-conditions. In the case that a possibly nonlinear conductivity is present a L^p-regularity-theorem for the transient equations is proved.

1 Introduction

The subject of this paper are global H^s- and L^p-regularity theorems for the stationary and transient Maxwell equations in a bounded domain with mixed boundary-conditions describing the electromagnetic field, [10].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\Gamma_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$. The initial-boundary-value problem

$$\varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \text{ curl } \mathbf{H}, \text{ and } \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H} = - \text{ curl } \mathbf{E}, \tag{1.1}$$

supplemented by the initial-boundary-conditions

$$\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } (0,\infty) \times \Gamma_1, \quad \vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{H} = 0 \text{ on } (0,\infty) \times \Gamma_2, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{E}(0,x) = \mathbf{E}_0(x), \mathbf{H}(0,x) = \mathbf{H}_0(x). \tag{1.3}$$

with $\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{H}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ is considered. Such boundary value problems arise for example in semiconductor modelling, see [6], [7], where Γ_2 is the insulating boundary and Γ_1 represents the electric contacts.

In (1.1) the variable matrices $\varepsilon, \mu \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^{3\times 3})$ are assumed to be uniformly positive.

The following H^s-regularity-result will be proved.

There exist $\bar{s} \in (0, s_0)$ depending only on $\Omega, \Gamma_1, \varepsilon$ and μ, such that for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$ and $\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{H}_0 \in H^s(\Omega)$ one has

$$(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) \in C([0,\infty), H^s(G)) \tag{1.4}$$

Here $H^s(\Omega)$ denotes the L^2-Sobolev space of fractional order s, see [18].
For this purpose it is assumed that ε, μ have the multiplier property

$$\varepsilon \mathbf{F} \in H^{s_0}(\Omega) \text{ and } \mu \mathbf{F} \in H^{s_0}(\Omega) \text{ for all vector-fields } \mathbf{F} \in H^{s_0}(\Omega)$$

for some $s_0 \in (0, 1/2)$.

This condition is fulfilled for $s_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ in the case that the coefficients are piecewise smooth, that means ε, μ may have jump discontinuities on finitely many 2 dimensional surfaces. In particular a piecewise constant ε, μ is admissible, which is important for many applications.
In general 1.4 does not hold for $s \geq 1/2$ under these general assumptions on Ω, Γ_1 and the coefficients.

The proof of 1.4 relies on the following H^s-regularity-result for the stationary Maxwell-equations.

There exist $\bar{s} \in (0, s_0)$ depending only on Ω, Γ_1 and ε, such that for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$ and $\mathbf{e} \in W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ with $\varepsilon \mathbf{e} \in X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ one has

$$\mathbf{e} \in H^s(\Omega). \tag{1.5}$$

Here $W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ denote for $s \in [0, 1]$ the complex interpolation spaces $[L^2(\Omega), W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)]_s$ and $[L^2(\Omega), X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)]_s$, where $W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ denotes the space of all $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0$ on Γ_1 and $X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ denotes the space of all $\mathbf{D} \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{D} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\vec{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$ on Γ_2.

The regularity-results 1.4 and 1.5 have already been obtained in [7] for the case that the spatial domain Ω is two-dimensional using a H^{1+s}-regularity-result for mixed second-order elliptic boundary-value-problems similar to the $W^{1,p}$- result in [5]. However, in this paper the general three-dimensional case is considered.

1.5 implies that the solution $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ of the mixed elliptic boundary-value-problem

$$\operatorname{div}(\varepsilon \nabla u) = F \in L^2(\Omega), \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \text{ and } \partial_n u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_2,$$

satisfies $\nabla u \in H^s(\Omega)$ for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$, see [2], [4], [5], [15], [16] and [17]. This follows from 1.5 using the fact that $\nabla u \in W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $\varepsilon \nabla u \in X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$

A further consequence of 1.5 is that $W(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}(X(\Omega, \Gamma_1))$ is compactly imbedded in $L^2(\Omega)$. This has already been proved in [8] and in [14], [19] without mixed boundary-conditions.

In section 6 a L^p-regularity-theorem for Maxwell's equations with conductivity

$$\varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{H} - \sigma \mathbf{E}, \text{ and } \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H} = -\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{E}, \tag{1.6}$$

supplemented by the same initial-boundary-conditions as in1.1-1.3 is proved.

Here $\sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ represents the electrical conductivity. It is shown that there exists some $\tilde{p} \in (2, \infty)$ depending only on $\Omega, \Gamma_1, \varepsilon$ and μ, such that

$(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) \in C([0,\infty), L^p(\Omega))$ for all $p \in [2, \tilde{p}]$ and initial-states $(\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{H}_0) \in L^p(\Omega)$ with curl $\mathbf{E}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, curl $\mathbf{H}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E}_0 = 0$ on Γ_1 and $\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{H}_0 = 0$ on Γ_2.

Here the H^s-regularity result 1.5 and the $W^{1,p}$-result in [5] are used. The term $\sigma\mathbf{E}$ in 1.6 can also be replaced by certain nonlinear operators modelling for example a nonlinear resistor, see section 6.

2 Notation, assumptions and auxiliary lemmata

Suppose that $\Omega \subset I\!R^3$ is a bounded domain, $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$ and let $\Gamma_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$.

Then the following function-spaces are intrduced.

For $s \in [0,1]$ the fractional-order Sobolev-space is denoted by $H^s(\Omega)$. It coincides with the complex interpolation space $[L^2(\Omega), H^1(\Omega)]_s$ between $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$.

Let $Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ be the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_1})$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Next, $H_{curl}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$ with curl $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$. The space of all $\mathbf{E} \in H_{curl}(\Omega)$ with $\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0$ on Γ_1 in the sense that

$$\int_\Omega (\mathbf{E} \text{ curl } \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{h} \text{ curl } \mathbf{E})dx = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{h} \in C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2}) \tag{2.7}$$

in denoted by $W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$.
Let $X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ be the space of all $\mathbf{D} \in L^2(\Omega)$ with div $\mathbf{D} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\vec{n}\mathbf{D} = 0$ on Γ_2 in the sense that

$$\int_\Omega \mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi dx = -\int_\Omega \text{div } \mathbf{D}\varphi dx \text{ for all } \varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1).$$

Next, $W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ denote for $s \in [0,1]$ the complex interpolation spaces $[L^2(\Omega), W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)]_s$ and $[L^2(\Omega), X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)]_s$.
Finally, let $W_0(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $X_0(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ be the space of all $\mathbf{E} \in W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $\mathbf{D} \in X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ with curl $\mathbf{E} = 0$ and div $\mathbf{D} = 0$ respectively.

In the sequel the following lemma will be used frequently, which says that piecewise smooth functions are H^s-multipliers for $s < 1/2$.

Lemma 1 *Let $U \subset I\!R^N$ be a Lipschitz-domain and $s \in [0, 1/2)$.*
Assume further that the function $f : I\!R^N \to \mathbb{C}$ has the form $g = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k$,
where the bounded functions $f_k \in C^\alpha(I\!R^N)$, are Hölder-continuous for some $\alpha > s$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{C}_k}$ are the characteristic functions of Lipschitz-domains $\mathcal{C}_k \subset I\!R^N$.
Then $gf \in H^s(U)$ for all $f \in H^s(U)$.

Proof:
For each Lipschitz-domain $G \subset I\!R^N$ and $s < 1/2$ one has

$$\chi_G F \in H^s(I\!R^N) \text{ with } ||\chi_G F||_{H^s} \leq c_{G,s}||F||_{H^s} \text{ for all } F \in H^s(I\!R^N) \tag{2.8}$$

with some $c_{G,s} \in (0,\infty)$ independent of F. This follows from the well-known fact that the extension $\tilde{\varphi} \in L^2(I\!R^N)$ of a function $\varphi \in H^s(U)$ by zero outside U belongs to $H^s(I\!R^N)$, provided $s < 1/2$, see [11], chapter 11.3. Let $u \in H^s(U)$. Since U is a Lipschitz-domain and $s < 1/2$, the extension $\tilde{u}$ of u defined by $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x)$ if $x \in U$ and $\tilde{u}(x) = 0$ if $x \in I\!R^N \setminus U$ belongs to $H^s(I\!R^N)$. Moreover, (2.8) yields $\chi_{C_j}\tilde{u} \in H^s(I\!R^N)$. Next,

$$f_j \chi_{C_j} \tilde{u} \in H^s(I\!R^N) \text{ for all } j \in \{1,..,n\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Here the well known fact is used that bounded functions in $C^\alpha(I\!R^N)$ are H^s-multipliers, provided that $\alpha > s_0$. This follows for example easily from the representatation

$$||f||_{H^s}^2 = ||f||_{L^2}^2 + s \int_0^\infty t^{-(1+2s)} \sum_{k=1}^N ||f(te_k + \cdot) - f||_{L^2}^2 dt$$

of the H^s-norm for $s \in (0,1)$, $f \in H^s$, where e_k is the unit-vector in the x_k direction, see [11], ch.1.10.2.
Finally, (2.9) yields $gu = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(f_j \chi_{C_j} \tilde{u} \right) |_U \in H^s(U)$.

Lemma 2 *Let $U, V \subset I\!R^3$ be open sets, $p \in [1,\infty)$, $\mathbf{w} \in L^p_{loc}(U)$ with curl $\mathbf{w} \in L^p_{loc}(U)$. Moreover, let $T : V \to U$ be a Bi-Lipschitz transformation.*
Define

$$\mathbf{f}(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} DT(y)^* \mathbf{w}(T(y)) \text{ for } y \in V.$$

Then $\mathbf{f} \in L^p_{loc}(V)$ with curl $\mathbf{f} \in L^p_{loc}(V)$ and

$$(\text{ curl } \mathbf{f})(y) = M_T(y)(\text{ curl } \mathbf{w})(T(y)) \text{ for } y \in V, \tag{2.10}$$

where $M_T \in L^\infty_{loc}(V, I\!R^{3\times 3})$ is defined by $M_T(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\det DT(y)] DT(y)^{-1}$.

This can be found in the appendix of [9]. The main idea is to approximate $\mathbf{w}$ and T by smooth functions.

3 The regularity-theorem for a rectangle

Througout this section let $G \subset I\!R^3$ be a rectangle, i.e. $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (0,a) \times (0,b) \times (0,c)$ with $a, b, c \in (0,\infty)$. Let

$$\{(x_1,x_2,0) : x_1 \in (0,a), x_2 \in (0,b)\} \subset S_2 \subset \{(x_1,x_2,0) : x_1 \in [0,a], x_2 \in [0,b]\},$$

i. e. $S_2 \subset \partial G$ is one side of the boundary of G, and $S_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial G \setminus S_2$.

Recall that $Z(G,S_1)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_1})$ in $H^1(G)$. It has been shown in [8], lemma 5i) that $W(G,S_1)$, which consists of all $\mathbf{E} \in H_{curl}(G)$ with $\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0$

on S_1 in the sense described in the previous section, coincides with the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_1})$ in $H_{curl}(G)$. Since G is a rectangle and S_2 is one side of it, this can also be shown directly by reflection at S_2 as in the proof of the subsequent lemma 3.

Next, let $A \in L^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}^{3\times 3})$ is assumed to be uniformly positve definite, i. e. re $(\xi A(y)\bar{\xi}) \geq c_0|\xi|^2$ for all $y \in G, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with some $c_0 > 0$ independent of y, ξ. It is assumed that A has in addition the multiplier property

$$Af \in H^{s_0}(\Omega) \text{ for all } f \in H^{s_0}(\Omega) \text{ with some } s_0 \in (0, 1/2). \tag{3.11}$$

For example this assumption is fulfilled int he case that A is pieceiwse Hölder continuous, i.e. if it has the form $A = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{U_k} f_k$, where $f_k \in C^\alpha(G)$, that means f_k is Hölder-continuous for some $\alpha > s_0$. Here χ_{U_k} are the characteristic functions of Lipschitz-domains $U_k \subset I\!R^3$.
The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *There exist $\bar{s} \in (0, s_0), c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on A, such that for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$ and $\mathbf{E} \in W^s(G, S_1)$ with $A\mathbf{E} \in X^s(G, S_1)$ one has $\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G)$ and $||\mathbf{E}||_{H^s(G)} \leq c_0 \left(||A\mathbf{E}||_{X^s(G,S_1)} + ||\mathbf{E}||_{W^s(G,S_1)}\right)$*

For $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(G)$ we define $P_E\mathbf{E} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E} - \nabla\varphi \in X_0(G, S_1)$, where $\varphi \in Z(G, S_1)$ satisfies

$$\int_G \nabla\varphi\nabla\psi dx = \int_G \mathbf{E}\nabla\psi dx \text{ for all } \psi \in Z(G, S_1). \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3 *i) $X(G, S_1) \cap W(G, S_1) \subset H^1(G)$.*
ii) $P_E(W^s(G, S_1)) \subset H^s(G)$.
iii) $(1 - P_E)(X^s(G, S_1)) \subset H^s(G)$.

Proof:
In order to prove i) assume $\mathbf{E} \in W(G, S_1) \cap X(G, S_1)$.
Let $\tilde{G} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in I\!R^3 : (x_1, x_2, -x_3) \in G \text{ or } x \in G\} = (0, a) \times (0, b) \times (-c, c)$ and define $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \in L^2(\tilde{G})$ by reflection at the plane $\{x_3 = 0\}$, i.e. $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E}(x)$ if $x \in G$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{E}_1(x_1, x_2, -x_3), \mathbf{E}_2(x_1, x_2, -x_3), -\mathbf{E}_3(x_1, x_2, -x_3))$ if $x \in \tilde{G}$ with $x_3 < 0$.

Next it is shown that $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \in \overset{0}{H}_{curl}(\tilde{G})$.
Suppose $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(I\!R^3)$ and set $\mathbf{g}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{f}_1(x_1, x_2, -x_3), \mathbf{f}_2(x_1, x_2, -x_3), -\mathbf{f}_3(x_1, x_2, -x_3))$. Then $\vec{n} \wedge (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) = 0$ on S_2 and since $\mathbf{E}$ belongs to the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_1})$ in $H_{curl}(G)$ it follows easily that

$$\int_G ((\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) \text{ curl } \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \text{ curl } (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}))\, dx = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Now,

$$\int_{\tilde{G}} \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \text{ curl } \mathbf{f} dx = \int_G \mathbf{E} \text{ curl } (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) dx = \int_G (\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}) \text{ curl } \mathbf{E} dx = \int_{\tilde{G}} \mathbf{h}\mathbf{f} dx$$

where $\mathbf{h}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{ curl } \mathbf{E})(x)$ if $x \in G$ and $(\mathbf{h}_1(x), \mathbf{h}_2(x), -\mathbf{h}_3(x)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -(\text{ curl } \mathbf{E})(x_1, x_2, -x_3)$ if $x \in \tilde{G}$ with $x_3 < 0$. This means

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \in \overset{0}{H}_{curl} (\tilde{G}) \text{ wth } \text{ curl } \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{h}. \tag{3.14}$$

From quite similar arguments it follows

$$\text{div } \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \rho \in L^2(\tilde{G}) \tag{3.15}$$

where $\rho(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ div } \mathbf{E}(x)$ if $x \in G$ and $\rho(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ div } \mathbf{E}(x_1, x_2, -x_3)$ if $x \in \tilde{G}$ with $x_3 < 0$.

Now, 3.14 and 3.15 imply $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \in H^1(\tilde{G})$, which can be shown for example by developing $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ in Fourier-series on the rectangle $\tilde{G}$.

Since $W(G, S_1)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_1})$ in $H_{curl}(G)$ and $Z(G, S_1)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_1})$ in $H^1(G)$, it follows easily that $\nabla\varphi \in W_0(G, S_1)$ for all $\varphi \in Z(G, S_1)$ and hence

$$(1 - P_E)\mathbf{E} \in W_0(G, S_1) \text{ for all } \mathbf{E} \in L^2(G). \tag{3.16}$$

Suppose $\mathbf{E} \in W(G, S_1)$. Then 3.16 yields
$P_E\mathbf{E} \in X_0(G, S_1) \cap W(G, S_1) \subset H^1(G)$ by i).
Now, assertion ii) follows from interpolation.
Next, suppose $\mathbf{E} \in X(G, S_1)$. By the definition of P_E it follows from 3.12 that

$$\int_G [(1 - P_E)\mathbf{E}] \nabla\psi dx = \int_G \mathbf{E}\nabla\psi dx = -\int_G (\text{ div } \mathbf{E})\psi dx \text{ for all } \psi \in Z(G, S_1),$$

which implies $(1 - P_E)\mathbf{E} \in X(G, S_1)$. By 3.16 and i) this yields
$(1 - P_E)\mathbf{E} \in W(G, S_1) \cap X(G, S_1) \subset H^1(G)$. Finally, assertion iii) follows for $s \in [0, 1]$ from interpolation.

Lemma 4 *$P_E(H^s(G)) \subset H^s(G)$ for all $s \in (0, 1/2)$ and*
$||P_E||_{B(H^s(G),H^s(G))} \stackrel{s\to 0}{\longrightarrow} 1$.

Proof:
Suppose $\mathbf{E} \in \overset{0}{H^1} (G) \subset W(G, S_1)$. Then lemma 3 ii) yields

$$P_E\mathbf{E} \subset H^1(G). \tag{3.17}$$

For all $s_1 \in [0, 1/2)$ one has

$$H^s(G) = [L^2(G), \overset{0}{H^1} (G)]_s, \tag{3.18}$$

see [11]. Since $||P_E||_{B(L^2(G),L^2(G))} \leq 1$, it follows from 3.17 and 3.18 by interpolation that

$$P_E\mathbf{E} \in [P_E(L^2(G)), P_E(\overset{0}{H^1} (G))]_s \subset [L^2(G), H^1(G)]_s = H^s(G)$$

$$\text{and } ||P_E\mathbf{E}||_{H^s(G)} \leq c_2^s||\mathbf{E}||_{H^s(G)}$$

for all $s \in [0, s_1], \mathbf{E} \in H^s(G)$.

Now, the main result of this section can be proved.

Proof of theorem 1:
Choose $\lambda > 0$ with $L_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ||1 - \lambda A||_{L^\infty} < 1$. Then it follows from 3.11 that there exists some $C_1 > 0$ with

$$||1 - \lambda A^{-1}||_{B(H^s(G),H^s(G))} \leq C_1^s L_0 \text{ for all } s \in [0, s_0]. \tag{3.19}$$

By lemma 4 and 3.19 there exists $\bar{s} > 0$, such that for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$

$$||P_E||_{B(H^s(G),H^s(G))}||1 - \lambda A^{-1}||_{B(H^s(G),H^s(G))} \leq L_2 < 1 \tag{3.20}$$

Now, assume $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$ and $\mathbf{E} \in W^s(G, S_1)$ with $A\mathbf{E} \in X^s(G, S_1)$. Then it follows from lemma 3 iii) that

$$(1 - P_E)A\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G) \tag{3.21}$$

and therefore

$$P_E\mathbf{E} - P_E A^{-1} P_E A\mathbf{E} = P_E A^{-1}(1 - P_E)A\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G) \tag{3.22}$$

by 3.11 and lemma 4. Lemma 3 ii) yields $P_E\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G)$ and hence by 3.22

$$\mathbf{f} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_E A^{-1} P_E A\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G) \cap X_0(G, S_1) \tag{3.23}$$

Let $U_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_0(G, S_1) \cap H^s(G)$ and $Q : U_s \to X_0$ by

$$Q\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_E(1 - \lambda A^{-1})\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{u} - \lambda P_E A^{-1}\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{f} \tag{3.24}$$

Suppose $\mathbf{u} \in U_s$. By assumption 3.11 and lemma 4 one has $P_E A^{-1}\mathbf{u} \in H^s(G)$. Together with 3.23 this yields $Q\mathbf{u} \in U_s$. From 3.20 it follows that Q is Lipschitz-continuous on U_s (with respect to the H^s-topology) with Lipschitz-constant $L_2 < 1$. Hence Q has a unique fixed-point $\mathbf{u}_0 \in U_s$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = Q\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0 - \lambda P_E A^{-1}\mathbf{u}_0 + \lambda P_E A^{-1} P_E A\mathbf{E}$$

and thus $P_E A^{-1}[\mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E}] = 0$.
Since $\mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E} \in X_0(G, S_1)$, this yields

$$0 = \langle P_E A^{-1}[\mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E}], \mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E}\rangle_{L^2(G)}$$

$$= \langle A^{-1}[\mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E}], \mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E}\rangle_{L^2(G)} \geq c_0||\mathbf{u}_0 - P_E A\mathbf{E}||^2_{L^2(G)},$$

which implies

$$P_E A\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{u}_0 \in U_s \subset H^s(G) \tag{3.25}$$

Finally, 3.21, 3.25 yield $A\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G)$ and therefore $\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G)$ by 3.11.

4 Regularity-theorem for general domains

Let $\Omega \subset I\!R^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz-domain, $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\Gamma_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$.
Moreover, let $A \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be a uniformly positive variable matrix with the H^{s_0}-multiplier-property for some $s_0 \in (0, 1/2)$, i.e.

$$A\mathbf{w} \in H^s(\Omega) \text{ for all } s \in [0, s_0] \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in H^s(\Omega). \tag{4.26}$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following regularity-theorem

Theorem 2 *There exist $\bar{s} \in (0, s_0), c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on Ω, Γ_1 and A, such that for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$ and $\mathbf{E} \in W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ with $A\mathbf{E} \in X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ one has*

$$\mathbf{E} \in H^s(\Omega) \textit{ and } ||\mathbf{E}||_{H^s(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \left(||\mathbf{E}||_{W^s(\Omega,\Gamma_1)} + ||A\mathbf{E}||_{X^s(\Omega,\Gamma_1)} \right)$$

For this purpose some technical but mild regularity-assumptions are imposed on Ω and the decomposition of its boundary.

It is assumed that there are open sets $U_1, .., U_M \subset I\!R^3$ and bi-Lipschitz mappings $T_k : Q = (-1, 1)^3 \to U_k$ (i.e. T_k is bijective, T_k, T_k^{-1} are globally Lipschitz-continuous and $\det DT_k$ is uniformly positive), such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset \cup_{k=1}^{M} U_k$ and $U_k \cap \Omega$ is a Lipschitz-domain.
The sets U_k fall into four categories. In the first case $k \in \{1, .., M_1\}$ U_k does not intersect Γ_2, i.e.

$$G_k \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} T_k^{-1}(U_k \cap \Omega) = \{x \in Q : x_3 > 0\}$$
$$\text{and } U_k \cap \Gamma_1 = U_k \cap \partial\Omega = T_k(\{x \in Q : x_3 = 0\})$$

In the second case $k \in \{M_1 + 1, .., M_2\}$ the same holds with Γ_1 replaced by Γ_2 and vice versa, that means that U_k intersects only Γ_2.
The third category $k \in \{M_2 + 1, .., M_3\}$ consists of those sets, which intersect Γ_1 and Γ_2. Here T_k^{-1} maps the two parts of the boundary onto orthogonal planes, more precisely

$$\{x \in Q : x_2 = 0, x_3 > 0\} \subset T_k^{-1}(U_k \cap \Gamma_1) \subset \{x \in Q : x_2 = 0, x_3 \geq 0\},$$

$$\{x \in Q : x_2 > 0, x_3 = 0\} \subset T_k^{-1}(U_k \cap \Gamma_2) \subset \{x \in Q : x_2 \geq 0, x_3 = 0\}$$

and

$$G_k = T_k^{-1}(U_k \cap \Omega) = \{x \in Q : x_2 > 0, x_3 > 0\}.$$

For the sake of generality it is not assumed that any part Γ_j of the boundary is closed.
In the last case $k \in \{M_3 + 1, .., M\}$ U_k does not intersect $\partial\Omega$ and $G_k = Q$.

In the sequel the following mild additional regularity-property will be imposed on $\partial\Omega$ and its decomposition into Γ_1 and Γ_2.
For each $k \in \{1, .., M\}$ there are bounded Lipschitz-domains $K_1^{(k)}, ..., K_n^{(k)} \subset I\!R^3$ and

$\tilde{K}_1^{(k)}, ..., \tilde{K}_n^{(k)} \subset I\!R^3$ and Hölder-continuous functions $f_1^{(k)}, ..., f_n^{(k)} \in C^{1/2}(I\!R^3, I\!R^{3\times 3})$ and $\tilde{f}_1^{(k)}, ..., \tilde{f}_n^{(k)} \in C^{1/2}(I\!R^3)$, such that

$$DT_k(y)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j^{(k)}(y)\chi_{K_j^{(k)}}(y) \tag{4.27}$$

$$\det\ DT_k(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{f}_n^{(k)}(y)\chi_{\tilde{K}_j^{(k)}}(y) \text{ for all } y \in Q$$

This means in particular that these functions may be discontinuous on finitely many two-dimensional manifolds. The main purpose of this assumption is that the functions in 4.27 are H^s-multipliers for $s \in (0, 1/2)$.

In the sequel let $S_{2,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_k^{-1}(U_k \cap \Gamma_2)$ and $S_{1,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial G_k) \setminus S_{2,k}$.
Next, $A_k \in L^\infty(G_k, \mathbb{C}^{3\times 3})$ denotes for $k \in \{1, .., M\}$ the matrix-valued function defined by

$$A_k(y) = [\det DT_k(y)]DT_k(y)^{-1}A(T_k(y))(DT_k(y)^*)^{-1} \text{ for } y \in G_k \tag{4.28}$$

Let $\chi_k \in C_0^\infty(U^{(k)})$, $k \in \{1, .., M\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $U^{(k)}$, $k \in \{1, .., M\}$ of $\overline{\Omega}$.
For $\mathbf{F} \in L^2(\Omega)$ define $\mathcal{T}_k\mathbf{F} \in L^2(G_k)$ and $\mathcal{S}_k\mathbf{F} \in L^2(G_k)$ by

$$(\mathcal{T}_k\mathbf{F})(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_k(T_k(y))DT_k(y)^*\mathbf{F}(T_k(y))$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_k\mathbf{F})(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_k(T_k(y))[\det DT_k(y)]DT_k(y)^{-1}\mathbf{F}(T_k(y)) \text{ for } y \in G_k.$$

Lemma 5 *Suppose* $s \in [0, 1]$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{T}_k\mathbf{E} \in W^s(G_k, S_{1,k}) \textit{ for all } \mathbf{E} \in W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1). \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\textit{and } \mathcal{S}_k\mathbf{D} \in X^s(G_k, S_{1,k}) \textit{ for all } \mathbf{D} \in X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1). \tag{4.30}$$

Proof:
Suppose $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_{k,2}})$ and define $\mathbf{F} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D(T_k^{-1})^* \cdot (\mathbf{f} \circ T_k^{-1}) \in L^\infty(U_k)$. Then lemma 2 yields $\mathbf{F} \in H_{curl}(U_k)$ with

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = [\ \det\ D(T_k^{-1})]\,[(DT_k) \cdot\ \text{curl } \mathbf{f}] \circ T_k^{-1} \in L^\infty(U_k) \subset L^2(U_k). \tag{4.31}$$

Since (supp $\mathbf{f}$)$\cap$ T_k^{-1} (supp χ_k) is a compact subset of Q and
supp $\mathbf{f} \subset I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_{k,2}}$, it follows that the sets
$T_k(Q\cap$supp $\mathbf{f})\cap$ supp χ_k and $T_k(S_{k,2}) = U_k \cap \Gamma_2$ have positive distance. Hence

$$\text{supp } (\chi_k\mathbf{F}) \subset \overline{T_k(Q \cap \text{supp } \mathbf{f}) \cap \text{supp } \chi_k} \subset U_k \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2}, \tag{4.32}$$

After extending $\chi_k \mathbf{F}$ by zero outside supp χ_k it follows from 4.31 and 4.32 using the usual mollifying-argument that

$$\chi_k \mathbf{F} \text{ belongs to the closure of } C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2}), \text{ in } H_{curl}(I\!R^3). \tag{4.33}$$

Now suppose $\mathbf{E} \in W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$. Then 4.31 yield by the substution-formula

$$\int_{G_k} (\mathcal{T}_k \mathbf{E}) \text{ curl } \mathbf{f} dy = \int_{G_k} \chi_k(T_k(y))[DT_k(y)^* \mathbf{E}(T_k(y))] \text{ curl } \mathbf{f}(y) dy \tag{4.34}$$

$$= \int_{U_k \cap \Omega} \chi_k(x) \left[\det D(T_k^{-1})(x)\right] \mathbf{E}(x) \cdot \left[(DT_k)(T_k^{-1}(x)) \cdot (\text{ curl } \mathbf{f})(T_k^{-1}(x))\right] dx$$

$$= \int_{U_k \cap \Omega} \chi_k \mathbf{E} \text{ curl } \mathbf{F} dx = \int_\Omega \mathbf{E} \text{ curl } [\chi_k \mathbf{F}]\, dx - \int_{U_k \cap \Omega} \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \chi_k) \wedge \mathbf{F} dx$$

Since $\mathbf{E} \in W(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$, it follows from 4.33 that

$$\int_{G_k} (\mathcal{T}_k \mathbf{E}) \text{ curl } \mathbf{f} dy = \int_{U_k \cap \Omega} \mathbf{F} \text{ curl } [\chi_k \mathbf{E}]\, dx \tag{4.35}$$

$$= \int_{G_k} [\det DT_k(y)] \mathbf{F}(T_k(y)) \cdot [(\text{ curl } (\chi_k \mathbf{E}))(T_k(y))]\, dy$$

$$= \int_{G_k} [\det DT_k(y)] \left(DT_k(y)^{-1} \cdot [(\text{ curl } (\chi_k \mathbf{E}))(T_k(y))]\right) \cdot \mathbf{f}(y) dy$$

for all $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_{k,2}})$, which implies $\mathcal{T}_k \mathbf{E} \in W(G_k, S_{k,1})$ with

$$\text{curl } (\mathcal{T}_k \mathbf{E}) = (\text{ det } DT_k)(DT_k(\cdot))^{-1} [\text{ curl } (\chi_k \mathbf{E}) \circ T_k]\,. \tag{4.36}$$

Hence, 4.29 follows from interpolation.

To prove ii) suppose $\mathbf{D} \in X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$.
Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_{1,k}})$ and $\psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi \circ T_k^{-1} \in H^1(U_k)$.
As in the proof of i) (supp φ)$\cap$ T_k^{-1} (supp χ_k) is a compact subset of Q and supp $\varphi \subset I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{S_{k,1}}$. Hence $T_k(Q\cap$ supp $\varphi)\cap$ supp χ_k has positive distance to $T_k(Q \cap S_{k,1})$ and therefore also to the set $U_k \cap \Gamma_1 = (U_k \cap \partial\Omega) \setminus (U_k \cap \Gamma_2) \subset T_k(Q \cap \partial G_k) \setminus T_k(S_{k,2}) \subset T_k(Q \cap S_{k,1})$. Thus,

$$\text{supp } (\chi_k \psi) \subset \overline{T_k(Q \cap \text{supp } \varphi) \cap \text{supp } \chi_k} \subset U_k \setminus \overline{\Gamma_1}, \tag{4.37}$$

After extending $\chi_k \psi$ by zero outside supp χ_k it follows from 4.37 that

$$\chi_k \psi \in \overset{0}{H^1} (I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_1}), \tag{4.38}$$

With 4.38 and $\mathbf{D} \in X(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ one obtains

$$\int_{G_k} (\mathcal{S}_k \mathbf{D}) \nabla \varphi dy = \int_{G_k} \chi_k(T_k(y))[\det DT_k(y)][DT_k(y)^{-1} \mathbf{D}(T_k(y))] \nabla \varphi(y) dy \tag{4.39}$$

$$= \int_{G_k} [\det DT_k(y)] \chi_k(T_k(y)) \mathbf{D}(T_k(y)) \cdot (\nabla \psi)(T_k(y)) dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega\cap U_k} \chi_k \mathbf{D}\nabla\psi dx = \int_\Omega \mathbf{D}\nabla[\chi_k\psi]dx - \int_{\Omega\cap U_k} (\nabla\chi_k)\mathbf{D}\psi dx$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega\cap U_k} \text{div } (\chi_k\mathbf{D})\psi dx = -\int_{G_k} [\det DT_k(y)][\text{ div } (\chi_k\mathbf{D})(T_k(y))]\varphi(y)dy$$

Now, 4.39 yields $\mathcal{S}_k\mathbf{D} \in X(G_k, S_{1,k})$ with

$$\text{div } (\mathcal{S}_k\mathbf{D}) = [\det DT_k][(\text{ div } (\chi_k\mathbf{D})) \circ T_k]$$

Finally, 4.30 follows for all $s \in [0,1]$ by interpolation

Lemma 6 *The A_k are H^{s_0}-multipliers, i.e. $A_k\mathbf{f} \in H^{s_0}(G_k)$ for all $\mathbf{f} \in H^{s_0}(G_k)$.*

Proof:
By the assumption 4.27 the functions $|\det DT_k(\cdot)|$ and $DT_k(\cdot)^{-1}$ are H^s-multipliers for $s \in (0,1/2)$. Hence, it remains to show that $A \circ T_k$ is a H^{s_0}-multiplier, i.e.

$$(A \circ T_k)\mathbf{f} \in H^{s_0}(G_k) \text{ for all } \mathbf{f} \in H^{s_0}(G_k). \tag{4.40}$$

For $\mathbf{f} \in H^1(G_k)$ we have $\mathbf{f} \circ T_k^{-1} \in H^1(U_k \cap \Omega)$, since T_k is a bi-Lipschitz mapping. Therefore it follows from interpolation

$$\mathbf{f} \circ T_k^{-1} \in H^s(U_k \cap \Omega) \text{ for all } s \in [0,1] \text{ and } \mathbf{f} \in H^s(G_k) \tag{4.41}$$

Now, it follows from 4.26 and 4.41 that

$$\mathbf{f} \circ T_k^{-1}A \in H^{s_0}(U_k \cap \Omega) \text{ for all } \mathbf{f} \in H^{s_0}(G_k). \tag{4.42}$$

In anologogy to 4.41 one has

$$\mathbf{g} \circ T_k \in H^s(G_k) \text{ for all } s \in [0,1] \text{ and } \mathbf{g} \in H^s(U_k \cap \Omega) \tag{4.43}$$

Finally 4.40 follows from 4.42 and 4.43.

Now, the proof of theorem 2 can be completed.

Proof of theorem 2:
By theorem 1 and lemma 6 there exists some $\bar{s} \in (0,1/2), c_0 \in (0,\infty)$ depending only on Ω, Γ_1, such that for all $s \in [0,\bar{s}]$ and $k \in \{1,..,M\}$ one has

$$\mathbf{F} \in H^s(G_k) \text{ for all } \mathbf{F} \in W^s(G_k, S_{1,k}) \text{ with } A_k\mathbf{F} \in X^s(G_k, S_{1,k}) \tag{4.44}$$

This follows from theorem 1 directly in the case $k \in \{M_2+1,..,M_3\}$. Obvious modifications of the proof of theorem 1 shows that assertion 4.44 also holds in the remaining, even easier cases.
Now, suppose $\mathbf{E} \in W^s(\Omega,\Gamma_1)$ with $A\mathbf{E} \in X^s(\Omega,\Gamma_1)$ for $s \in [0,\bar{s}]$.
Then lemma 5 yields $\mathcal{T}\mathbf{E} \in W^s(G_k, S_1)$ and $A_k\mathcal{T}_k\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{S}_k(A\mathbf{E}) \in X^s(G_k, S_1)$. With 4.44 one obtains $\mathcal{T}_k\mathbf{E} \in H^s(G_k)$ and hence

$$(\chi_k\mathbf{E}) \circ T_k = (DT_k(y)^*)^{-1} (\mathcal{T}_k\mathbf{E}) \in H^s(G_k), \tag{4.45}$$

since $(DT_k(\cdot)^*)^{-1}$ is a H^s-mulitiplier by the assumptions 4.27 on T_k.
Finally 4.45 and 4.41 yield $\mathbf{E} \in H^s(\Omega)$, since $\sum_{k=1}^M \chi_k = 1$ on Ω.

5 H^s-regularity-results for ME

Let $\Omega, \Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$ as in the previous section. Suppose $\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ are uniformly positive variable matrices in the sense that

$$(y^T \varepsilon(x) y) \geq m|y|^2 \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and all vectors } y \in \mathbb{C}^3 \text{ with some } m > 0.$$

In the sequel the operator B is defined by

$$B(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\varepsilon^{-1} \text{ curl } \mathbf{h}, -\mu^{-1} \text{ curl } \mathbf{E})$$

for $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in D(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \times \tilde{W}(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$.
Here $\tilde{W}(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2})$ in $H_{curl}(\Omega)$.
Therefore B has the form $D(B) = D(\mathcal{A}^*) \times D(\mathcal{A})$ and

$$B(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) = (\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{A}\mathbf{h}, -\mu^{-1}\mathcal{A}^*\mathbf{E}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{E} \in D(\mathcal{A}^*) \text{ and } \mathbf{h} \in D(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $D(\mathcal{A})$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2})$ in $H_{curl}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{h} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ curl } \mathbf{h}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is densely defined and closed, it follows that B is a densely defined skew self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert-space $\mathcal{X}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^6)$ endowed with the scalar-product $< (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}), (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{g}) >_{\mathcal{X}_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_\Omega (\varepsilon \mathbf{E}\overline{\mathbf{F}} + \mu \mathbf{h}\overline{\mathbf{g}}) dx$.
Hence, $-B^2$ is a positive, self-adjoint operator, and by the spectral-theorem

$$|B|^s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-B^2)^{s/2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^s dE_\lambda \tag{5.46}$$

can be defined as a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{X}_0$ for $s \in [0, 1]$. Here $(E_\lambda)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the spectral-family of the self-adjoint operator iB in $\mathcal{X}_0$. The domain $D(|B|^s)$ of $|B|^s$ can be characterized as the interpolation space $[\mathcal{X}_0, D(B)]_s$, see [18], and will be denoted by $\mathcal{X}_s$ in the sequel.
With $D(B) = W(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \times \tilde{W}(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$ it follows easily by interpolation that

$$\mathcal{X}_s = W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \times \tilde{W}^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2), \tag{5.47}$$

where $\tilde{W}^s(\Omega, \Gamma_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [L^2(\Omega), \tilde{W}(\Omega, \Gamma_k)]_s$.
Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2}) \subset W(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$, one has

$$\tilde{W}^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2) \subset W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2). \tag{5.48}$$

Remark 1 *It has been shown in [8], lemma 5i) that under the present assumptions on Ω and the partition of its boundary the space $W(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$ coincides with the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_2})$ in $H_{curl}(\Omega)$, i.e.*

$$\tilde{W}^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2) = W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2).$$

But this fact is not necessary for the following considerations.

Recall that $Z(\Omega, \Gamma_k)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(I\!R^3 \setminus \overline{\Gamma_k})$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.
Let $\varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $\psi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$.
Then $\nabla\varphi \in W_0(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $\nabla\psi \in \tilde{W}(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$, see [6], and thus

$$(\nabla\varphi, \nabla\psi) \in kerB. \tag{5.49}$$

In the sequel P denotes the orthogonal-projecor on $(kerB)^\perp = \overline{ranB}$ in $\mathcal{X}_0$.
Let $(\exp(tB))_{t \in I\!R}$ be the unitary group generated by B.
Then $(\mathbf{E}(t), \mathbf{h}(t)) = \mathbf{w}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0$ solves the homogeneous Maxwell equations

$$\varepsilon\partial_t\mathbf{E} = \text{ curl } \mathbf{h}, \text{ and } \mu\partial_t\mathbf{h} = -\text{ curl } \mathbf{E}, \tag{5.50}$$

supplemented by the initial-boundary-conditions

$$\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_1, \quad \vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{h} = 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty) \times \Gamma_2, \tag{5.51}$$

$$\mathbf{E}(0, x) = \mathbf{E}_0(x), \mathbf{h}(0, x) = \mathbf{h}_0(x). \tag{5.52}$$

for $\mathbf{w}_0 = (\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{h}_0) \in \mathcal{X}_0$. The aim of this section is to prove a H^s-regularity-theorem for Maxwell's equations. For this purpose it is assumed that ε, μ have the H^{s_0}-multiplier-property 4.26 for some $s_0 \in (0, 1/2)$.

The following theorem will be proved in this section.

Theorem 3 $(\exp(tB))_{t \in I\!R}$ *is a strongly continuous group in* $H^s(\Omega)$ *for all* $s \in [0, \bar{s})$, *i.e.*
$\exp(\cdot B)\mathbf{w} \in C(I\!R, H^s(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(I\!R, H^s(\Omega))$ *for all* $\mathbf{w} \in H^s(\Omega)$. *Here* $\bar{s} > 0$ *as in theorem 2.*

This theorem says that the initial-boundary-value-problem 5.50-5.52 is well-posed in $H^s(\Omega)$ for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$. In the case that Ω is two-dimensional this result can be found in [7].

Lemma 7 *Let* $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$ *with* $\bar{s} > 0$ *as in theorem 2.*

i) $\mathcal{X}_s \cap (kerB)^\perp \subset H^s(\Omega)$, *in particular* $P(\mathcal{X}_s) \subset H^s(\Omega)$.

ii) $P(H^s(\Omega)) \subset H^s(\Omega)$.

iii) $H^s(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{X}_s$.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{X}_s \cap (kerB)^\perp$. For $\varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ one has by 5.49

$$0 = < \mathbf{w}, (\nabla\varphi, 0) >_{\mathcal{X}_0} = \int_\Omega \varepsilon\mathbf{E}\nabla\varphi dx,$$

i.e.

$$\varepsilon\mathbf{E} \in X_0(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \subset X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \tag{5.53}$$

Now, 5.47, 5.53 and theorem 2 yield $\mathbf{E} \in W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}(X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)) \subset H^s(\Omega)$. By replacing Γ_1 by Γ_2 the same argument using 5.48 yields $\mathbf{h} \in H^s(\Omega)$, which completes the proof of i).

Proof of ii) and iii): As in the proof of theorem 4 one has $\overset{0}{H}{}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \subset X(\Omega, \Gamma_k) \cap \tilde{W}(\Omega, \Gamma_k) \subset X(\Omega, \Gamma_k) \cap W(\Omega, \Gamma_k)$ and therefore by interpolation

$$H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) = [L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3), \overset{0}{H}{}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)]_s \subset X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \cap W^s(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$$

and

$$H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) = [L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3), \overset{0}{H}{}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)]_s \subset X^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2) \cap \tilde{W}^s(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$$

By 5.47 this implies iii). Moreover, it follows from i) and iii) that

$$P(H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)) \subset P(\mathcal{X}_s) = \mathcal{X}_s \cap (ker B)^\perp \subset H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^6).$$

Now, theorem 3 can be proved.

Proof of theorem 3: Let $\mathbf{w} \in H^s(\Omega)$. Since ran $(1-P) = \ker B$, one has

$$\exp(tB)\mathbf{w} = (1-P)\mathbf{w} + P\exp(tB)\mathbf{w} \tag{5.54}$$

Now, lemma 7 ii) yields

$$(1-P)\mathbf{w} \in H^s(\Omega) \text{ and } ||(1-P)\mathbf{w}||_{H^s} \leq C_1 ||\mathbf{w}||_{H^s} \tag{5.55}$$

It follows from lemma 7 iii) that $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{X}_s$ and thus $\exp(\cdot B)\mathbf{w} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X}_s) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X}_s)$. Next, lemma 7 i) yields

$$P\exp(\cdot B)\mathbf{w} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X}_s \cap (ker B)^\perp) \subset C(\mathbb{R}, H^s(\Omega)) \tag{5.56}$$

and $||P\exp(tB)\mathbf{w}||_{H^s} \leq C_2||\mathbf{w}||_{H^s}$ with some $C_1, C_2 \in (0, \infty)$ independent of $t, \mathbf{w}$. Finally, the desired result follows from 5.54 - 5.56.

6 L^p-regularity for solutions of ME

Let $\Omega, \Gamma_1, \varepsilon$ and μ as in the previous section. Only the H^{s_0}-multiplier-property 4.26 of the coefficients $\varepsilon, \mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is not necessary now.

In this section Maxwell's equations with nonlinear conductivity are considered.

$$\varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \text{ curl } \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}), \tag{6.57}$$

$$\mu\partial_t \mathbf{h} = -\ \mathrm{curl}\ \mathbf{E}, \tag{6.58}$$

supplemented by the initial-boundary-conditions

$$\vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } (0,\infty) \times \Gamma_1, \quad \vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{h} = 0 \text{ on } (0,\infty) \times \Gamma_2, \tag{6.59}$$

$$\mathbf{E}(0,x) = \mathbf{E}_0(x), \mathbf{h}(0,x) = \mathbf{h}_0(x). \tag{6.60}$$

Here $\mathbf{S} : L^2(\Omega, I\!R^3) \to L^2(\Omega, I\!R^3)$ is a generally nonlinear operator, which represent the electric current caused by the electric field. It is assumed that

$$||\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v})||_{L^2} \leq L||\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}||_{L^2} \text{ for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega) \tag{6.61}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}) \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ and } ||\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{u})||_{L^p} \leq K\,(1 + ||\mathbf{u}||_{L^p}) \tag{6.62}$$

for all $p \in [2, \infty)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(\Omega)$ with constants $L \in (0,\infty)$ and $K \in (0,\infty)$.
In particular the linear case $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}) = \sigma\mathbf{E}$ with an electric conductivity $\sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is possible.

For the definition of the notion of weak solutions of 6.57-6.60 see [6].
Setting $\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h})$ 6.57-6.60 reads as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = B\mathbf{u} + F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{w}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{h}_0) \tag{6.63}$$

where $F_\sigma : L^2(\Omega, I\!R^6) \to L^2(\Omega, I\!R^6) \subset \mathcal{X}_0$ is defined by

$$(F_\sigma(\mathbf{w})) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\varepsilon^{-1}\,(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}), 0) \ \text{ for } \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in L^2(\Omega, I\!R^6).$$

A function $\mathbf{u} \in C([0,\infty), \mathcal{X}_0)$ is called a weak solution to 6.63, if for all $\mathbf{a} \in D(B)$

$$\frac{d}{dt} < \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{a} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} = - < \mathbf{u}(t), B\mathbf{a} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} + < F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(t)), \mathbf{a} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} \tag{6.64}$$

This is equivalent to the variation of constant formula

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0 + \int_0^t \exp((t-s)B) F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(s)) ds, \tag{6.65}$$

where B is defined as in the previous section and $\exp(tB), t \in I\!R$ is the unitary group generated by B. Since F_σ is Lipschitz-continuous with respect to $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$ by assumption 6.61, it follows from a standard result that this integral equation has a unique solution $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in C([0,\infty), \mathcal{X}_0)$, see [6], chapter 6.
The main result of this section is the following L^p-regularity-theorem.

Theorem 4 *There exists some $\tilde{p} > 2$ depending only on $\Omega, \Gamma_1, \varepsilon$ and μ, such that for all $p \in [2, \tilde{p}]$ and $\mathbf{w}_0 \in D(B) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ one has*
$\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty_{loc}([0,\infty), L^p(\Omega)) \cap C([0,\infty), L^r(\Omega))$ *for all $r \in [2, p)$.*

In the sequel Y_p denotes for $p \in [2, \infty)$ the set of all $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{X}_0$, such that the semi-norm

$$||\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup\{|\int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \nabla \varphi dx| : \varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1), ||\varphi||_{W^{1,p^*}(\Omega)} \leq 1\}$$

$$+ \sup\{|\int_\Omega \mu \mathbf{h} \nabla \psi dx| : \psi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2), ||\psi||_{W^{1,p^*}(\Omega)} \leq 1\}$$

is finite. Here

$$||\psi||_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ||\psi||_{L^q(\Omega)} + ||\nabla \psi||_{L^q(\Omega)} \text{ for } q \in [1, \infty), \psi \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$$

Obviously Hölder's inequality yields

$$L^p(\Omega) \subset Y_p \text{ and } ||\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p} \leq \max \{||\varepsilon||_{L^\infty}, ||\mu||_{L^\infty}\} ||\mathbf{w}||_{L^p} \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in L^p(\Omega). \quad (6.66)$$

It follows from 5.49 that for $\mathbf{w}_0 = (\mathbf{E}_0, \mathbf{h}_0) \in \mathcal{X}_0$, $(\mathbf{E}(t), \mathbf{h}(t)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0$ and $\varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $\psi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$ one has

$$\int_\Omega \mu \mathbf{E}(t) \nabla \varphi dx + \int_\Omega \mu \mathbf{h}(t) \nabla \psi dx = \langle \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0, (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{X}}$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{w}_0, \exp(-tB)(\nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} = \langle \mathbf{w}_0, (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{X}}$$

$$= \int_\Omega \mu \mathbf{E}_0 \nabla \varphi dx + \int_\Omega \mu \mathbf{h}_0 \nabla \psi dx$$

This implies

$$\exp(tB)(Y_p) \subset Y_p \text{ and } ||\exp(tB)\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p} = ||\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p} \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in Y_p. \quad (6.67)$$

Next, a L^p-regularity-theorem for elements belonging to $\mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p} \cap Y_p$ is proved.

Theorem 5 *There exists $\tilde{p} \in (2, 6/(3 - 2\bar{s}))$, such that for all $p \in [2, \tilde{p}]$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p} \cap Y_p$ one has $\mathbf{w} \in L^p(\Omega)$ and*

$$||\mathbf{w}||_{L^p} \leq C_3 \left(||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p}} + ||\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p} \right)$$

with some $C_3 \in (0, \infty)$ independent of $\mathbf{w}$. Here $\bar{s} > 0$ as in theorem 2 in the case $A = 1$.

Proof:
Let $p \in (2, 6/(3 - 2\bar{s}))$ and $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p} \cap Y_p$ and define $f \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $g \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$ by

$$\int_\Omega \nabla f \nabla \varphi dx = \int_\Omega \mathbf{E} \nabla \varphi dx \text{ for all } \varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \quad (6.68)$$

$$\text{and } \int_\Omega \nabla g \nabla \psi dx = \int_\Omega \mathbf{h} \nabla \psi dx \text{ for all } \psi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$$

Then $\mathbf{E} - \nabla f \in X_0(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and also $\mathbf{E} - \nabla f \in W^{3/2-3/p}(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ by 5.47, since $\nabla f \in W_0(\Omega, \Gamma_1) \subset W^{3/2-3/p}(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$. With $3/2 - 3/p \leq \bar{s}$ we have by Sobolev's embedding-theorem for fractional-order spaces and the H^s-regularity-theorem 2 (in the case $A = 1$)

$$\mathbf{E} - \nabla f \in H^{3/2-3/p}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega) \text{ with} \tag{6.69}$$

$$||\mathbf{E} - \nabla f||_{L^p} \leq C_1 ||\mathbf{E} - \nabla f||_{W^{3/2-3/p}} \leq C_2 ||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p}}$$

with $C_2 > 0$ independent of $\mathbf{w}$. By the definition of $||\cdot||_{Y_p}$ Hölder's inequality yields for all $\varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ the estimate

$$|\int_\Omega \varepsilon \nabla f \nabla \varphi dx| \leq ||\varepsilon(\mathbf{E} - \nabla f)||_{L^p} ||\nabla \varphi||_{L^{p^*}} + |\int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \nabla \varphi dx| \tag{6.70}$$

$$\leq C_2(||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p}} + ||\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p}) ||\varphi||_{W^{1,p^*}}$$

It follows from 6.70 and the $W^{1,p}$-result in [5] that

$$f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ , i.e. } \nabla f \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ with} \tag{6.71}$$

$$||\nabla f||_{L^p} \leq C_3(||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p}} + ||\mathbf{w}||_{Y_p})$$

provided that p is sufficiently close to 2, that means $p \leq \bar{p}$ where $\bar{p} > 2$ depends on Ω, Γ_1 and ε. Now, 6.69 and 6.71 yield $\mathbf{E} \in L^p(\Omega)$. Analogously one obtains $\mathbf{h} \in L^p(\Omega)$ and the lemma is proved with $\tilde{p} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \min\{6/(3 - 2\bar{s}), \bar{p}\}$.

Remark 2 *The previous theorem does not follow immediately from the H^s-regularity-theorem 2, since the coefficients are not assumed to be H^s-multipliers in this section.*

Corollary 1 *For all $p \in [2, \tilde{p}]$ and $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$ with*

$$curl\ \mathbf{E} \in L^{p^*}(\Omega) \text{ and } \vec{n} \wedge \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \tag{6.72}$$

and

$$\sup\{|\int_\Omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \nabla \varphi dx| : \varphi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1), ||\varphi||_{W^{1,p^*}(\Omega)} \leq 1\} < \infty \tag{6.73}$$

one has $\mathbf{E} \in L^p(\Omega)$.
6.72 is understood in the sense that

$$\int_\Omega (\mathbf{E}\ curl\ \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{h}\ curl\ \mathbf{E}) dx = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{h} \in L^p(\Omega) \cap W(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$$

Proof:
Let $\mathbf{E} \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfy 6.72 and 6.73.
Then

$$(\mathbf{E}, 0) \in Y_p \tag{6.74}$$

The aim of the following considerations is to show that $(\mathbf{E}, 0) \in D((1 + |B|)^{1/2}) = \mathcal{X}_{1/2}$.

Suppose $\mathbf{w} = (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_1, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_2) \in \mathcal{X}_1 = D(B)$ and define $\mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{w} - (\nabla f, \nabla g)$, where $f \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$ and $g \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$ are defined by

$$\int_\Omega \varepsilon \nabla f \nabla \varphi dx = \int_\Omega \varepsilon \underline{\mathbf{w}}_1 \nabla \varphi dx \text{ for all } \psi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_1)$$

$$\int_\Omega \mu \nabla g \nabla \psi dx = \int_\Omega \mu \underline{\mathbf{w}}_2 \nabla \psi dx \text{ for all } \psi \in Z(\Omega, \Gamma_2)$$

Then $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{X}_1$ by 5.49 and $\mathbf{u} \in Y_p$ with $||\mathbf{u}||_{Y_p} = 0$. With $3/2 - 3/p \leq 3/2 - 3/\tilde{p} \leq \bar{s} < 1/2$ one has by theorem 5

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ with } ||\mathbf{u}||_{L^p} \leq C_1 ||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{3/2-3/p}} \leq C_1 ||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{1/2}} \tag{6.75}$$

with $C_1 > 0$ independent of $\mathbf{u}$. By 5.49 we obtain from 6.72 and 6.75

$$| < (\mathbf{E}, 0), B\mathbf{w} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} | = | < (\mathbf{E}, 0), B\mathbf{u} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} | = | \int_\Omega \mathbf{E} \text{ curl } \underline{\mathbf{u}}_2 dx|$$

$$= | \int_\Omega (\text{ curl } \mathbf{E}) \underline{\mathbf{u}}_2 dx| \leq || \text{ curl } \mathbf{E}||_{L^{p^*}} ||\mathbf{u}||_{L^p} \leq C_1 || \text{ curl } \mathbf{E}||_{L^{p^*}} ||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{1/2}}$$

and hence

$$| < (\mathbf{E}, 0), B\mathbf{w} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} | \leq C_1 || \text{ curl } \mathbf{E}||_{L^{p^*}} ||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{1/2}} \tag{6.76}$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in D(B) = \mathcal{X}_1$.
Now, let $\mathbf{u} \in X_{1/2}$ and $\mathbf{w} = (1 + |B|)^{-1/2} \mathbf{u} \in X_1 = D(B)$.
Then 6.76 yields

$$| < (\mathbf{E}, 0), B(1 + |B|)^{-1/2} \mathbf{u} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} | = | < (\mathbf{E}, 0), B\mathbf{w} >_{\mathcal{X}_0} |$$

$$\leq C_1 || \text{ curl } \mathbf{E}||_{L^{p^*}} ||\mathbf{w}||_{\mathcal{X}_{1/2}} \leq C_1 || \text{ curl } \mathbf{E}||_{L^{p^*}} ||\mathbf{u}||_{\mathcal{X}_0}$$

Hence, $(\mathbf{E}, 0) \in D(B(1 + |B|)^{-1/2}) = D((1 + |B|)^{1/2}) = \mathcal{X}_{1/2}$, which implies by 6.74 and theorem 5 that $\mathbf{E} \in L^p(\Omega)$.

Now, the L^p-regularity-theorem for Maxwell's equations 6.57-6.60 can be proved.

Proof of theorem 4:
Let $\tilde{p} > 2$ as in theorem 5. Define $\mathcal{T} : C([0, T], \mathcal{X}_0) \to C([0, T], \mathcal{X}_0)$ by

$$(\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u})(t) = \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0 + \int_0^t \exp((t-s)B) F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(s)) ds$$

Since $\mathbf{w}_0 \in D(B) \cap L^p(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{X}_1 \cap Y_p$, it follows from 6.67 and theorem 5 that

$$\exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0 \in D(B) \cap Y_p \subset \mathcal{X}_1 \cap L^p(\Omega)$$

and

$$|| \frac{d}{dt} (\exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0)||_{\mathcal{X}_0} + || \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0||_{L^p} \leq K_0 \text{ for all } t \in I\!R. \tag{6.77}$$

Suppose $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0)$, i.e. $\mathbf{u} : [0,T] \to \mathcal{X}_0)$ is Lipschitz-continuous. Then one has by assumption 6.61

$$||(\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u})(t+h) - (\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u})(t)||_{\mathcal{X}_0} \leq ||(\exp{(\tau B)} - 1)\mathbf{w}_0||_{\mathcal{X}_0}$$

$$+||\int_0^{t+h} \exp{(rB)} F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(t+h-r))dr - \int_0^t \exp{(rB)} F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(t-r))dr||_{\mathcal{X}_0}$$

$$\leq C_1 h + h \sup_{s\leq h} ||F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(r))||_{\mathcal{X}_0} + \int_0^t ||F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(t+h-r)) - F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(t-r))||_{\mathcal{X}_0} dr$$

$$\leq C_2(1 + \sup_{s\leq h} ||F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(r))||_{\mathcal{X}_0})h + L\int_0^t ||\mathbf{u}(t+h-r) - \mathbf{u}(t-r)||_{\mathcal{X}_0} dr$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}) \in W^{1,\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0) \text{ and} \tag{6.78}$$

$$||\partial_t \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u})(t)||_{\mathcal{X}_0} \leq \limsup_{h\to 0} \left[h^{-1}||(\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u})(t+h) - (\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u})(t)||_{\mathcal{X}_0}\right]$$

$$\leq C_3 + L\int_0^t \limsup_{h\to 0} \left[h^{-1}||\mathbf{u}(s+h) - \mathbf{u}(s)||_{\mathcal{X}_0}\right] ds$$

$$\leq C_3 + L\int_0^t ||\partial_t \mathbf{u}(s)||_{\mathcal{X}_0} ds$$

Set

$$|\mathbf{u}|_{1,\infty} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} (\exp{(-2Lt)}||\partial_t \mathbf{u}(s)||_{\mathcal{X}_0})$$

for $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0)$. Then 6.78 yields $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}) \in W^{1,\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0)$ and

$$|\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u}|_{1,\infty} \leq C_3 + 1/2|\mathbf{u}|_{1,\infty} \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in W^{1,\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0). \tag{6.79}$$

Since $\frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}))(t) = B(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}))(t) - F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}(t))$ weakly, it follows easily from 6.79 that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}) \in L^\infty([0,T],D(B)) = L^\infty([0,T],\mathcal{X}_1)$ and

$$||\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u}||_{L^\infty(0,T,\mathcal{X}_1)} \leq C_4\left(1 + ||\mathcal{T}\mathbf{u}||_{W^{1,\infty}(0,T,\mathcal{X}_0)}\right) \tag{6.80}$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,\infty}([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0)$.

Now let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in C([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0)$ the unique solution of 6.65 and consider the Picard-iteration $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}^n(\mathbf{w}_0) \in C([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0)$. Then

$$\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{n}\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } C([0,T],\mathcal{X}_0) \text{ strongly.} \tag{6.81}$$

It follows inductively from 6.79 that $\mathbf{u}^{(n)} \in W^{1,\infty}((0,T),\mathcal{X}_0)$ with $|\mathbf{u}^{(n)}|_{1,\infty} \leq 2C_3$ and hence

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||\mathbf{u}^{(n)}||_{W^{1,\infty}(0,T,\mathcal{X}_0)} < \infty \tag{6.82}$$

6.80 and 6.82 yield

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||\mathbf{u}^{(n)}||_{L^\infty(0,T,D(B))} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||\mathbf{u}^{(n)}||_{L^\infty(0,T,\mathcal{X}_1)} < \infty \tag{6.83}$$

Next, it is shown inductively that $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(t) \in D(B) \cap L^p(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{X}_1 \cap Y_p$.
Recall that

$$\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)} = (\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}^{(n)})(t) = \exp(tB)\mathbf{w}_0 + \int_0^t \exp((t-s)B)F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(s))ds. \tag{6.84}$$

It follows from 6.62 and the induction-hypothesis that

$$F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(\cdot)) \in L^\infty((0,T), L^p(\Omega)) \subset L^\infty((0,T), Y_p)$$

and hence 6.67, 6.77 and 6.84 yield $\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)}(t) \in Y_p$.
By 6.83 and theorem 5 one has $\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)}(t) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \cap Y_p \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$||\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)}(t)||_{L^p} \leq C_5(||\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)}(t)||_{D(B)} + ||\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)}(t)||_{Y_p}) \tag{6.85}$$

$$\leq C_6(1 + ||\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)}(t)||_{Y_p}) \leq C_6\left(1 + ||\mathbf{w}_0||_{Y_p} + \int_0^t ||F_\sigma(\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(s))||_{Y_p} ds\right).$$

$$\leq C_7\left(1 + \int_0^t ||\mathbf{u}^{(n)}(s)||_{L^p} ds\right).$$

Using a weighted $L^\infty((0,T), L^p(\Omega))$-norm as in 6.79 one obtains
$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||\mathbf{u}^{(n)}||_{L^\infty(0,T,L^p(\Omega))} < \infty$ and hence together with 6.81

$$\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^\infty((0,T), L^p(\Omega)). \tag{6.86}$$

Finally, the assertion follows from $\mathbf{u}_0 \in C([0,T], L^2(\Omega))$ and 6.86.

References

[1] Adams, R.A., *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1975.

[2] Azzam,A., Kreyszig, E., 'On Solutions of Elliptic Equations Satisfying Mixed Boundary Conditons', *SIAM J. Math. Anal*, **13**, 254 - 262 (1982)

[3] Gajewski,H., Gröger, K., 'On the basic equations for carrier transport in semiconductors', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **113**, 12-35 (1989)

[4] Grisvard, P., *Singularites in Boundary Value Problems* Research Notes in Applied Mathematics RMA22, Springer-Verlag Berlin - New York - Paris 1992

[5] Gröger, K., 'A $W^{1,p}$-Estimate for Solutions to Mixed Boundary Value Problems for Second Order Elliptic Differential Equations', Math.Ann.**283**, 679-687 (1989)

[6] Jochmann, F., 'Existence of weak solutions of the drift diffusion model for semiconductors coupled with Maxwell's equations', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.***204**, 655-676 (1996)

[7] Jochmann, F., 'Uniqueness and regularity for the two dimensional drift diffusion model for semiconductors coupled with Maxwell's equations', *J. Diff. Equations* **147** (1998), 242-270.

[8] Jochmann, F., 'A compactness result for vector fields with divergence and curl in $L^q(\Omega)$ involving mixed boundary conditions', *Appl. Anal.* **66**, 189-203 (1997)

[9] Jochmann, F., 'The semistatic limit for Maxwell's equations in an exterior domain', *Comm. Part. Diff. Equations*, **23**, 11- 12, 2035-2076 (1998),

[10] Landau, L.D., Lifshitz, E. M., *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media* , Pergamon Press, New York, 1960.

[11] Lions, J.L., *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications* Springer Verlag, New York, 1972

[12] Pazy, A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York 1983.

[13] Milani, A., Picard, R., 'Weak Solution theory for Maxwell's equations in the Semistatic Limit', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **191**, 77 - 100 (1995).

[14] Picard, R., 'An elementary proof for a compact imbedding result in generalized electromagnetic theory', *Math. Z.* **187**, 151 - 161 (1984).

[15] Pryde, A. J., 'Second Order Elliptic Equations with Mixed Boundary Conditions', *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 80*, 203-244 (1981).

[16] Shamir, E., 'Regularization of Mixed Second Order Elliptic Problems', *Israel J. Math.*, **6**, 150-168 (1968).

[17] Simanca, S.R., 'Mixed Elliptic Boundary Value Problems', *Comm. Partial. Differential Equations* **4**, 293-319 (1979).

[18] Triebel, H., *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg 1990

[19] Weber, C., 'A local compactness theorem for Maxwell's equations', *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2**, 12-25 (1980).