

A rigorous derivation and energetics of a wave equation with fractional damping

Dedicated to Matthias Hieber on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

Alexander Mielke^{1,2}, Roland R. Netz³, Sina Zendebroud³

submitted: April 27, 2020

¹ Weierstrass Institute
Mohrenstr. 39
10117 Berlin
Germany
E-Mail: alexander.mielke@wias-berlin.de

² Institut für Mathematik
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Rudower Chaussee 25
12489 Berlin-Adlershof
Germany

³ Freie Universität Berlin
Department of Physics
Arnimallee 14
14195 Berlin
E-Mail: rnetz@physik.fu-berlin.de
zendebroud@zedat.fu-berlin.de

No. 2718
Berlin 2020



2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 35Q74, 35R11, 74J15, 74K15.

Key words and phrases. Bulk-interface coupling, surface waves, energy-dissipation balance, fractional derivatives, convergence of semigroups, parabolic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, dispersion relation, damping of order 3/2.

The research was partially supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through SFB1114 *Scaling Cascades in Complex Systems*, Project Number 235221301, via the subprojects C02 *Interface Dynamics: Bridging Stochastic and Hydrodynamics Descriptions* and C05 *Effective Models for Materials and Interfaces with Multiple Scales*.

Edited by
Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS)
Leibniz-Institut im Forschungsverbund Berlin e. V.
Mohrenstraße 39
10117 Berlin
Germany

Fax: +49 30 20372-303
E-Mail: preprint@wias-berlin.de
World Wide Web: <http://www.wias-berlin.de/>

A rigorous derivation and energetics of a wave equation with fractional damping

Dedicated to Matthias Hieber on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

Alexander Mielke, Roland R. Netz, Sina Zendeheroud

Abstract

We consider a linear system that consists of a linear wave equation on a horizontal hypersurface and a parabolic equation in the half space below. The model describes longitudinal elastic waves in organic monolayers at the water-air interface, which is an experimental setup that is relevant for understanding wave propagation in biological membranes. We study the scaling regime where the relevant horizontal length scale is much larger than the vertical length scale and provide a rigorous limit leading to a fractionally-damped wave equation for the membrane. We provide the associated existence results via linear semigroup theory and show convergence of the solutions in the scaling limit. Moreover, based on the energy-dissipation structure for the full model, we derive a natural energy and a natural dissipation function for the fractionally-damped wave equation with a time derivative of order $3/2$.

1 Introduction

This work is stimulated by the physical models investigated in [KS*17a, KS*17b], where longitudinal elastic waves of a membrane are coupled to viscous fluid flow in the enclosing half space. The aims are to understand the damping of the elastic waves through the coupling to the viscous fluid, on the one hand, and to explain the appearance of the non-classical dispersion relation, on the other hand. Denoting by $k \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ the horizontal wave vector and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ the angular frequency, the classical elastic wave satisfies a dispersion relation $\omega^2 \approx |k|^2$, while the longitudinal pressure waves, here referred to as Lucassen waves (cf. [Luc68]), satisfy $|\omega|^{3/2} \approx |k|^2$ such that the wave speed $c(k) = \omega(k)/|k|$ depends on k .

The class of Lucassen waves attracted considerable attention over the last decade due to its biophysical relevance in living organisms, where the transmission of information over biologically relevant distances and time scales is fundamental. The standard model describes the propagation of signals on the vast network of nerve cells via a purely electrical mechanism, unable to explain a number of non-electric phenomena, like the effectiveness of anesthetics scaling with their solubility in lipid membranes [Mey99, Ove01] or the lower heat dissipation of a nerve in contrast to an electrical cable [TaB92]. As it is known that, alongside the electrical signal, a mechanical displacement travels along the nerve fiber [KK*07, EIM15], there is a need for a more complete model incorporating these aspects. On the one hand, experimental scientists (see [GB*12, ShS14]), using a lipid monolayer spread at the air-water interface as a minimal model, have shown that indeed pressure waves can propagate in such systems. On the other hand, from a more theoretical viewpoint, all possible surface-wave solutions for a visco-elastic membrane atop a half space of viscous fluid have been determined in [KaN15], including the experimentally observed Lucassen waves and their dispersion relations of the type $|\omega|^{3/2} \approx |k|^2$.

In particular, a fractionally-damped wave equation was derived for describing the Lucassen waves efficiently in [KS*17a, KS*17b]. The biophysical relevance of Lucassen wave is demonstrated by the fact that the wave solutions depend directly on the lateral membrane compressibility κ . For example, adsorption of lipophilic substances, like anesthetics, into the membrane presumably alter κ and, as a consequence, are expected to change the wave propagation properties. In addition to that, at large amplitudes, the pressure pulse locally modifies the compressibility κ and thereby significantly increases the propagation distance [ShS14]. This non-linear property suggests an all-or-none behavior, which indeed is observed in nerve pulse propagation.

Here we want to understand this phenomenon using the mathematically most simple model, which is given by the following coupled system:

$$\rho_{\text{memb}} \ddot{U} = \kappa \Delta_x U - \mu \partial_z v \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\dot{U}(t, x) = v(t, x, 0) \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\rho_{\text{bulk}} \dot{v} = \mu \Delta_{x,z} v \quad \text{for } t > 0, (x, z) \in \Omega := \Sigma \times]-\infty, 0[. \quad (1.1c)$$

In the physical setup of [KS*17a, KS*17b] the domain $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^1$ denotes the membrane and $U(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the horizontal displacement (longitudinal motion) of the membrane. The half space $\Omega = \Sigma \times]-\infty, 0[\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is filled by a viscous fluid whose horizontal velocity component is $v(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}$ (pure shear flow). Condition (1.1b) is a no-slip condition for the fluid along the membrane, while the induced stress of the sheared fluid is included in (1.1a) via $-\mu \partial_z v(t, x, 0)$.

For mathematical purposes we can allow $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, but to avoid any complications with boundary conditions we assume that Σ is of the form

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}/(\ell\mathbb{Z}))^n \quad \text{with } \ell > 0 \text{ and } k + n = d-1. \quad (1.2)$$

In particular, Σ is an additive group and (1.1) is translation invariant. In Section 2 we first show that the system has the natural energy

$$\mathcal{E}(U, \dot{U}, v) = \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \frac{\rho_{\text{memb}}}{2} \dot{U}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla U|^2 \right\} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho_{\text{bulk}}}{2} v^2 dz dx \quad (1.3)$$

as a Lyapunov function. This shows that the function space $\mathbf{H} := H^1(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is the natural state space. Note that this includes periodic boundary conditions for $x \in \Sigma = \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}/(\ell\mathbb{Z}))^n$.

Moreover, we discuss suitable scalings of time t , the horizontal variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, and the vertical variable $z \in]-\infty, 0[$. We can renormalize all constants such that the system of equations takes the form

$$\ddot{U} = \Delta_x U - \partial_z v|_{z=0} \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (1.4a)$$

$$\dot{U} = v|_{z=0} \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (1.4b)$$

$$\dot{v} = \varepsilon^2 \Delta_{x,z} v + \partial_z^2 v \quad \text{for } t > 0, (x, z) \in \Omega, \quad (1.4c)$$

with the parameter $\varepsilon = \mu / \sqrt{\rho_{\text{memb}} k}$. The essential point is here that the scaling of the horizontal variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is different from the vertical variable $z \in]-\infty, 0[$, thus breaking the isotropy of the diffusion $\mu \Delta_{x,z} v$ in (1.1c).

Our interest lies in the case $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, in Section 2.4 we simply set $\varepsilon = 0$ in (1.4c) and show that this limit allows us to solve the scalar one-dimensional (!!) heat equation $\dot{v} = \partial_z^2 v$ on $]-\infty, 0[$ for each $x \in \Sigma$ independently. Assuming the initial condition $v(0, x, z) = 0$ and using the Dirichlet

boundary condition $v(t, x, 0) = \Phi(t, x)$, the stress $\partial_z v(t, x, 0)$ can be explicitly expressed via the heat kernel, namely

$$\partial_z v(t, x, 0) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \dot{\Phi}(\tau, x) d\tau, \quad (1.5)$$

see (4.3). It is this one-dimensional parabolic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map that introduces the fractional damping into the wave equation. In particular, denoting the fractional (Caputo) derivative of order $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ of the function g with $g(0) = 0$ by

$${}^c D^\alpha g : t \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} \dot{g}(\tau) d\tau, \quad (1.6)$$

we see that the mapping in (1.5) takes the form $\partial_z v(t, x, 0) = ({}^c D^{1/2} \Phi)(t, x)$, which is a Caputo derivative of order $1/2$.

Indeed, if we solve (1.4) with $\varepsilon = 0$ and $v(0, x, z) = 0$, then we can eliminate v totally by exploiting (1.5) with $\Phi = \dot{U}$, and U has to solve

$$\ddot{U}(t, x) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \ddot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau = \Delta_x U(t, x) \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma. \quad (1.7)$$

This is a fractionally-damped wave equation where the damping is generated by a fractional Caputo derivative of order $3/2$, and this fractional derivative acts locally with respect to the space variable $x \in \Sigma$.

In Section 2.5 we follow the approach in [KaN15, KS*17a, KS*17a] and discuss the dispersion relations for our normalized system (1.4) and show that, for the limit case $\varepsilon = 0$, the dispersion relation reads $(i\omega)^2 + (i\omega)^{3/2} + |k|^2 = 0$, where $\text{Im } \omega \geq 0$ is enforced by the stability through the Lyapunov function \mathcal{E} . Hence, for small $|k|$ we obtain the new dispersion relation

$$\omega(k) = \left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \right) |k|^{4/3} + O(|k|^2)_{k \rightarrow 0}.$$

There is a rich mathematical literature on linear and nonlinear partial differential equations involving fractional time derivatives, see e.g. [VeZ08, VeZ10, PVZ10, KS*16, VeZ17, Aka19]. Our focus is different, because we want to show that (1.7) appears as a rigorous limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (1.4). For this, in Section 3 we develop the linear semigroup theory by showing that the semigroups $e^{tA_\varepsilon} : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ exist for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and are bounded in norm by $C(1+t)$. In Theorem 3.3 we establish the strong convergence $e^{tA_\varepsilon} w_0 \rightarrow e^{tA_0} w_0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, which holds for all $t > 0$ and $w_0 \in \mathbf{H}$. For more regular initial conditions, w_0 we obtain the quantitative estimate

$$\|e^{tA_\varepsilon} w_0 - e^{tA_0} w_0\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon t} (2.3+t)^2 (\|w_0\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|\nabla_x w_0\|_{\mathbf{H}}).$$

In Section 4 we return to the energetics and the dissipation for the damped wave equation. By starting from the natural energy and dissipation in the PDE system (1.4) with $\varepsilon = 0$ and the explicit solution for $v(t, x, z)$ in terms of $\dot{U}(\tau, x)$, we obtain a natural energy functional \mathbf{E} for the fractionally-damped wave equation that is non-local in time:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(U(t), [\dot{U}(\cdot)]_{[0,t]}) &= \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{U}(t, x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla U(t, x)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}(2t-r-s)^{3/2}} \dot{U}(r, x) \dot{U}(s, x) ds dr \right\} dx, \quad (1.8a) \end{aligned}$$

where $[\dot{U}(\cdot)]_{[0,t]}$ indicates the dependence on $\dot{U}(s)$ for $s \in [0, t]$. For solutions U of (1.7), we obtain an energy–dissipation balance with a non-local dissipation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}(U(t), [\dot{U}(\cdot)]_{[0,t]}) = - \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(2t-r-s)^{1/2}} \ddot{U}(r, x) \ddot{U}(s, x) ds dr dx. \quad (1.8b)$$

Related results are obtained in [VeZ08, GY*15, VeZ15], but there typically only energy-dissipation inequalities are derived. It is surprising to see that the two non-local kernels in (1.8) that depend on $t-r$, $t-s \in [0, T]$ are only depending on the sum $(t-r) + (t-s) = 2t-r-s$, which derives from very specific scaling properties of the heat kernel.

2 The formal modeling

In this section we describe the formal modeling, including the energy functional, the scalings and the derivation of the fractionally-damped wave equation as the scaling limit.

2.1 The energy functional and the state space

We return to the full system (1.1) and observe that it has the form of a damped Hamiltonian system with the total energy $\mathcal{E}(U, \dot{U}, v)$ given in (1.3). Indeed, taking the time derivative along solutions $t \mapsto (U(t), v(t))$ of (1.1) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(U(t), \dot{U}(t), v(t)) &= \int_{\Sigma} (\rho_{\text{memb}} \dot{U} \ddot{U} + \kappa \nabla_x \dot{U} \cdot \nabla_x U) dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\text{bulk}} v \dot{v} dx dz \\ &\stackrel{(1.1a), (1.1c)}{=} \int_{\Sigma} U_t \mu v_z dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu v \Delta_{x,z} v dx dz \stackrel{(1.1b)}{=} - \int_{\Omega} \mu (|\nabla_x v|^2 + v_z^2) dx dz \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that the integration by parts $\int_{\Sigma} \nabla_x \dot{U} \cdot \nabla_x U dx = - \int_{\Sigma} \dot{U} \Delta_x U dx$ does not generate boundary terms because Σ has the form (1.2).

Thus, \mathcal{E} acts as a Lyapunov function and it is a bounded quadratic form on the Hilbert space $\mathbf{H} = H^1(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Omega)$, which we consider as the basic state space for our problem. In Section 3 we will show that (1.1) has a unique solution for each initial value $w_0 = (U(0), \dot{U}(0), v(0)) \in \mathbf{H}$.

More precisely, the system (1.1) can be written as a damped Hamiltonian system for the states $X = (U, P, p)$ where $P = \rho_{\text{memb}} \dot{U}$ and $p = \rho_{\text{bulk}} v$. With $\mathcal{H}(U, P, p) = \mathcal{E}(U, \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{memb}}} P, \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{bulk}}} p)$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{U} \\ \dot{P} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbb{J} - \mathbb{K}) D\mathcal{H}(U, P, p) \text{ with } \mathbb{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbb{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & \mu \partial_z \square|_{z=0} \\ 0 & * & -\mu \Delta_{x,z} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbb{K} : \text{dom}(\mathbb{K}) \subset \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} := L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is defined as the self-adjoint, unbounded operator induced by the quadratic dissipation potential

$$\mathcal{R}^*(\Pi, \Xi, \xi) := \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{x,z} \xi|^2 dx dz + \chi_*(\Xi, \xi), \quad \text{with } \chi_*(\Xi, \xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Xi = \xi|_{z=0}, \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

2.2 A long-wave scaling

To obtain a first understanding of the different scaling of horizontal and vertical spatial variables, we study the long-wave scaling for the wave equation. This means that we scale the horizontal space variable x and the time variable t with the same factor $\delta > 0$. For the moment we assume that the membrane constants ρ_{memb} and k are given and of order 1, while ρ_{bulk} and μ are much smaller. More general scalings are discussed in the following subsection.

Without loss of generality we keep U fixed and obtain velocities \dot{U} of order δ . Hence, to keep the no-slip condition, we also need to rescale v by a factor δ . The main point is that we want z to be rescaled by a smaller factor, let us say δ^α with $\alpha \in [0, 1[$. This implies that $\partial_z v$ scales like $\delta^{1+\alpha}$. Thus, to treat the coupling term $\mu \partial_z v|_{z=0}$ of the same order as \ddot{U} and $\nabla_x U$, we need to assume that μ also scales with δ , namely like $\delta^{1-\alpha}$. Finally, we also assume the appropriate scaling for ρ_{bulk} , namely

$$\hat{x} = \delta x, \quad \hat{t} = \delta t, \quad \hat{z} = \delta^\alpha z, \quad \hat{U} = U, \quad v = \delta \hat{v}, \quad \mu = \delta^{1-\alpha} \hat{\mu}, \quad \rho_{\text{bulk}} = \delta^\alpha \hat{\rho}_{\text{bulk}}.$$

Hence, this long-wave scaling with small δ is indeed suitable, if the bulk quantities ρ_{bulk} and μ are much smaller than the membrane quantities ρ_{memb} and stiffness k .

Inserting these scalings (and dropping the hats) we find the transformed system

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{memb}} \ddot{U} &= \kappa \Delta_x U - \mu \partial_z v|_{z=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{U} = v|_{z=0} \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \rho_{\text{bulk}} \dot{v} &= \mu (\delta^{2-2\alpha} \Delta_x + \partial_z^2) v \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Here, the case of small δ is relevant, and in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain the fractionally-damped wave equation.

2.3 Non-dimensionalizing by a general scaling

We fully non-dimensionalize the system by considering general rescalings, where we scale x , z , and t independently:

$$\hat{x} = a x, \quad \hat{t} = b t, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{z} = c z,$$

but do not assume any scaling on the material parameters ρ_{memb} , κ , ρ_{bulk} , and μ . We keep $\hat{U} = U$ (which is always possible by linearity), but need to rescale $v = b \hat{v}$ to transform the no-slip condition $\dot{U} = v|_{z=0}$ into $\partial_{\hat{t}} \hat{U} = \hat{v}|_{\hat{z}=0}$. The transformed equations read (after dropping the hats) as follows

$$\rho_{\text{memb}} b^2 \ddot{U} = \kappa a^2 \Delta_x U - \mu b c \partial_z v|_{z=0} \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \quad \rho_{\text{bulk}} b^2 \dot{v} = \mu b c^2 \partial_z^2 v + \mu a^2 b \Delta_x v \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Dividing the equations by $\rho_{\text{memb}} b^2$ and $\rho_{\text{bulk}} b^2$ respectively, we can equate the first three of the four coefficients to 1, namely

$$\frac{\kappa a^2}{\rho_{\text{memb}} b^2} = 1, \quad \frac{\mu c}{\rho_{\text{memb}} b} = 1, \quad \frac{\mu c^2}{\rho_{\text{bulk}} b} = 1.$$

We obtain the solution

$$a^2 = \frac{\rho_{\text{bulk}}^2 \mu^2}{\rho_{\text{memb}}^3 \kappa}, \quad b = \frac{\rho_{\text{bulk}} \mu}{\rho_{\text{memb}}^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\rho_{\text{bulk}}}{\rho_{\text{memb}}}$$

and the remaining fourth coefficient reads

$$\varepsilon := \left(\frac{\mu a^2}{\rho_{\text{bulk}} b} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{a}{c} = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\rho_{\text{memb}} \kappa}}.$$

The non-dimensionalized coupled system now reads

$$\ddot{U} = \Delta_x U - \partial_z v|_{z=0} \text{ and } \dot{U} = v|_{z=0} \text{ on } \Sigma, \quad \dot{v} = \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v \text{ in } \Omega,$$

which is exactly the renormalized system (1.4), which is studied subsequently.

Hence, the system (1.1) has a unique non-dimensional parameter $\varepsilon = \mu / \sqrt{\rho_{\text{memb}} \kappa}$ that describes the effective anisotropy of the diffusion in the bulk Ω . Subsequently, we are interested in the case of very small ε and indeed in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

We can interpret ε as the ratio of three different length scales. Choosing an arbitrary time scale $t_0 > 0$, we have the diffusion length ℓ_{diff} , the ‘‘equivalent membrane thickness’’ ℓ_{thick} , and the membrane travel length ℓ_{trav} given by

$$\ell_{\text{diff}}(t_0) = \left(\frac{\mu t_*}{\rho_{\text{bulk}}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \ell_{\text{thick}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{memb}}}{\rho_{\text{bulk}}}, \quad \text{and } \ell_{\text{trav}}(t_0) = t_0 c_{\text{memb}} = t_0 \left(\frac{\kappa}{\rho_{\text{memb}}} \right)^{1/2},$$

where c_{memb} is the wave speed in the undamped membrane. Now our dimensionless parameter ε is given by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\ell_{\text{diff}}(t_0)^2}{\ell_{\text{thick}} \ell_{\text{trav}}(t_0)} \quad \text{for all } t_0 > 0.$$

To make the definition even more intrinsic, we may choose t_0 as a characteristic time t_* for the system. We ask that the time t_* is chosen such that the corresponding diffusion length scale equals the equivalent membrane thickness, viz. $\ell_{\text{diff}}(t_*) = \ell_{\text{thick}}$. This yields

$$t_* = \frac{\rho_{\text{memb}}^2}{\mu \rho_{\text{bulk}}} \quad \text{and } \ell_{\text{trav}}(t_*) = t_* c_{\text{memb}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{memb}}^{3/2} \kappa^{1/2}}{\mu \rho_{\text{bulk}}}.$$

The scalings of time and horizontal and vertical lengths are now given as

$$t = t_* \hat{t}, \quad x = \ell_{\text{trav}}(t_*) \hat{x}, \quad z = \ell_{\text{thick}} \hat{z}.$$

This leads to the final relation

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\ell_{\text{thick}}}{\ell_{\text{trav}}(t_*)} = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\rho_{\text{memb}} \kappa}}.$$

Typical parameters for the experimental setup consisting of a lipid monolayer, such as DPPC at the water-air interface, are $\rho_{\text{memb}} = 10^{-6} \text{ kg/m}^2$, $\mu = 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s} = 10^{-3} \text{ kg/(s m)}$, and $\kappa = 10^{-2} \text{ N/m}$, where for ρ_{memb} the surface excess mass density was used. These parameters yield $\varepsilon = 10$. Although this value is not small, it does not contradict our argumentation. As shown in [KaN15], different waves can coexist in such a system, the longitudinal capillary waves with $|\omega|^{3/2} \approx |k|^2$ being only one of them. In particular it is interesting that the dispersion of this wave, which has been known in the literature since [Luc68], follows from our general calculation as a rigorous limit.

2.4 The limit model and the fractionally-damped wave equation

We now study the limit equation by setting $\varepsilon = 0$ in the rescaled system (1.4). The justification of taking this limit is given in the following section.

After setting $\varepsilon = 0$ we obtain the system

$$\ddot{U}(t, x) = \Delta_x U(t, x) - \partial_z v(t, x, 0) \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\dot{U}(t, x) = v(t, x, 0) \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (2.2b)$$

$$\dot{v}(t, x, z) = \partial_z^2 v(t, x, z) \quad \text{for } t > 0, (x, z) \in \Omega. \quad (2.2c)$$

The point is now that the equation for (2.2c) can be solved explicitly by the use of the properly rescaled one-dimensional heat kernel $H(t, y) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-y^2/(4t)}$. Note that $x \in \Omega$ appears now as a parameter only, since the diffusion in x -direction is lost.

The solution of (2.2c) with the boundary condition (2.2b) and the initial condition $v(0, x, z) = 0$ takes the explicit form

$$v(t, x, z) = \int_0^t 2\partial_z H(t-\tau, z) \dot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau,$$

see Section 4.1 for a derivation. Taking the derivative with respect to z and using that the heat kernel H satisfies $\partial_z^2 H = \partial_t H$ we obtain

$$\partial_z v(t, x, z) = \int_0^t 2\partial_t H(t-\tau, z) \dot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau = \int_0^t 2H(t-\tau, z) \ddot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau,$$

where for the integration by parts in the last identity we exploited $\dot{U}(t, x, 0) = v(t, x, 0) = 0$ and $H(0, z) = 0$ for $z < 0$. Thus, evaluation at $z = 0$ and using $H(t, 0) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2}$, the coupling term in (2.2a) reduces to

$$\partial_z v(t, x, 0) = \int_0^t 2H(t-\tau, 0) \ddot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \ddot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau. \quad (2.3)$$

Through these formulas we see how kinetic energy is moved from the membrane via the no-slip condition (2.2b) into the one-dimensional diffusion equation. Through the memory kernel in (2.3) the energy is restored partially in a delayed fashion, which leads to a fractional damping, here of order $3/2$. Because in the bulk $\Omega = \Sigma \times]-\infty, 0[$ there is no coupling between different points $x \in \Sigma$, this damping is non-local in time but local with respect to $x \in \Sigma$.

Joining everything we see that the limiting system (2.2) contains the fractionally-damped wave equation

$$\ddot{U}(t, x) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \ddot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau = \Delta U(t, x) \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (2.4)$$

An analysis concerning existence of solutions and concerning the energetics is given in Section 4.

2.5 The dispersion relations

Following [KS*17a] we consider special solutions of (3.1) obtained by a Fourier ansatz. For the temporal growth factor $\mu = i\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \mu \leq 0$ and the wave vector $k \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ we set

$$(U(t, x), V(t, x), v(t, x, z)) = e^{\mu t + ik \cdot x} (a, b, c e^{\gamma z})$$

with $a, b, c, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$. From $V = U_t$ we obtain $b = a\mu$, while $v|_{z=0} = V$ implies $c = b = a\mu$. Finally, we have to satisfy the membrane equation $U_{tt} = \Delta_x U - v_z|_{z=0}$ and the diffusion equation $\partial_t v = \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v$, which leads to the algebraic relations (since only $a \neq 0$ is interesting) $\mu^2 = -|k|^2 - \mu\gamma$ and $\mu = -\varepsilon^2|k|^2 + \gamma^2$. As in [KS*17a] we eliminate the variable γ and obtain the dispersion relation

$$0 = \Gamma(\mu, k) = (\mu^2 + |k|^2)^2 - \varepsilon^2 \mu^2 |k|^2 - \mu^3,$$

where we still need to be careful to satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \mu \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ with $\gamma^2 = \mu + \varepsilon^2 |k|^2$.

For short waves, i.e. $|k| \gg 1$, we obtain the expansion

$$\mu = -i|k| - \frac{|k|}{2} \left(\varepsilon^2 - \frac{i}{|k|} \right)^{1/2} + \text{h.o.t.}$$

In the case $\varepsilon > 0$ this means that short waves travel at speed 1, but are damped proportional to $|k|$. The limit $\varepsilon = 0$ leads to a significantly smaller damping, namely one of order $|k|^{1/2}$.

As expected due to the scaling discussed in the previous subsections, the case of long waves, i.e. $|k| \ll 1$, is not so sensitive with respect to ε . For all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we find the expansion

$$\mu = -\left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) |k|^{4/3} + \text{h.o.t.}$$

In particular, we find that the waves slow down for $|k| \rightarrow 0$, because the wave speed takes the form $c(k) = \operatorname{Im}(\mu(k)/|k|) = \pm |k|^{1/3} \sqrt{3}/2 + \text{h.o.t.}$ Moreover, the damping is very low, because it is proportional to $|k|^{4/3}$.

3 Convergence result for the semigroup

From now on it suffices to consider the rescaled system, where $\varepsilon > 0$ appears as the only small parameter:

$$\ddot{U} = \Delta_x U - \partial_z v|_{z=0} \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\dot{U} = v|_{z=0} \quad \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Sigma, \quad (3.1b)$$

$$\dot{v} = \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v \quad \text{for } t > 0, (x, z) \in \Omega = \Sigma \times]-\infty, 0[. \quad (3.1c)$$

In this section we first prove existence of solutions for the initial-value problem and then show that in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the corresponding solutions $t \mapsto w_\varepsilon(t) \in \mathbf{H}$ converge strongly to $t \mapsto w_0(t)$ in the Hilbert space \mathbf{H} . For this it is sufficient to employ the classical theory of Trotter and Kato, see e.g. [Paz83, Sec. 3.3], where convergence of the resolvent implies convergence of the semigroup.

3.1 Formulation via strongly continuous semigroups

By introducing the variable $V = \dot{U}$ and setting $w = (U, V, v)$, we rewrite system (3.1) in the form $\dot{w} = A_\varepsilon w$ and will show that the solutions w can be obtained in the form $w(t) = e^{tA_\varepsilon} w_0$, i.e.

we have to show that A_ε is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on the space $\mathbf{H} = H^1(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Omega)$. We define the unbounded linear operators $A_\varepsilon : D(A_\varepsilon) \subset \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ via

$$D(A_\varepsilon) = \left\{ (U, V, v) \in H^2(\Sigma) \times H^1(\Sigma) \times (X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega) \cup Y^\varepsilon(\Omega)) \mid v|_{z=0} = V \text{ on } \Sigma \right\},$$

$$A_\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V \\ \Delta_x U - (\partial_z v)|_{z=0} \\ \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the spaces $X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ with $\lambda > 0$ and $Y^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ are defined via

$$X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v - \lambda v = 0, v|_{z=0} \in H^1(\Sigma) \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$Y^\varepsilon(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v \in L^2(\Omega), v|_{z=0} = 0 \right\}.$$

We emphasize that the domain for $\varepsilon = 0$ is different from the domains for $\varepsilon > 0$, because of the missing x -derivatives for v in the first case. Nevertheless, the trace of v at $z = 0$ is well-defined in $L^2(\Sigma)$ because $\partial_z v$ lies in $L^2(\Sigma, H^1(\cdot, -\infty, 0])$ and $H^1(\cdot, -\infty, 0])$ embeds continuously into $C^0(\cdot, -\infty, 0])$.

More precisely, for $\varepsilon > 0$ we may apply the classical elliptic regularity theory from [LiM72] which shows that $Y^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $H^2(\Omega)$ whereas $X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is only contained in $H^{3/2}(\Omega)$ but not in $H^2(\Omega)$. In Step 3 of the proof below, we will show that for $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega) \cup Y^\varepsilon(\Omega) = X_1^\varepsilon(\Omega) \cup Y^\varepsilon(\Omega) \text{ for all } \lambda > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$ the spaces $X_\lambda^0(\Omega)$ and $Y^0(\Omega)$ have lower regularity in $x \in \Sigma$, namely

$$X_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v(x, z) = e^{\sqrt{\lambda}z} v(x, 0), v(\cdot, 0) \in H^1(\Sigma) \right\},$$

$$Y^0(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Sigma; H^2(\cdot, -\infty, 0]) \mid v(x, 0) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Sigma \right\}.$$

Since $z \mapsto e^z - e^{\sqrt{\lambda}z}$ lies in $H^2(\cdot, -\infty, 0])$ and vanishes at $z = 0$, we easily see $X_\lambda^0(\Omega) \cup Y^0(\Omega) = X_1^0(\Omega) \cup Y^0(\Omega)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Our first result in this section shows that for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$ the operator A_ε generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(e^{tA_\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbf{H} with a uniform growth rate 1.

Theorem 3.1 (Generation of semigroups) *For all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ the operators A_ε defined above are closed. For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ the resolvents $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1} : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow D(A_\varepsilon) \subset \mathbf{H}$ exist and satisfy the estimate*

$$\|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \text{ for } \lambda > 1. \quad (3.3)$$

In particular, A_ε is the generator of the strongly continuous semigroup $e^{tA_\varepsilon} : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ satisfying $\|e^{tA_\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}} \leq 1 + te/2$ for $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the functional energy

$$\mathcal{E}_0(U, \dot{U}, v) = \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{U}(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla U(x)|^2 + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{2} v(x, z)^2 dz \right\} dx \quad (3.4)$$

is a Lyapunov function, i.e. along solutions the estimate $\mathcal{E}_0(U(t), \dot{U}(t), v(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(U(s), \dot{U}(s), v(s))$ holds for $t > s \geq 0$.

Proof. We first treat the case $\varepsilon > 0$ in Steps 1 to 3 and then discuss the differences for the case $\varepsilon = 0$ in Step 4.

Step 1: A priori estimate. For $\alpha > 0$ we use the norm $|\cdot|_\alpha$ on $H^1(\Sigma)$ defined via $|U|_\alpha^2 = \alpha^2 \|U\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x U\|_2^2$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the standard L^2 norm on Σ .

For $w = (U, V, v) \in D(A_\varepsilon)$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle A_\varepsilon w, w \rangle\rangle_\alpha &:= \langle V, U \rangle_\alpha + \int_\Sigma (\Delta_x U - \partial_z v|_{z=0}) V \, dx + \int_\Omega (\varepsilon \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v) v \, dz \, dx \\ &= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \alpha^2 UV + \nabla U \cdot \nabla V - \nabla U \cdot \nabla V - (\partial_z v v)|_{z=0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^0 (\varepsilon^2 |\nabla_x v|^2 - (\partial_z v)^2) \, dz + (\partial_z v v)|_{z=0} \right\} dx \\ &= \int_\Sigma \alpha^2 UV \, dx - \int_\Omega (\varepsilon^2 |\nabla_x v|^2 - (\partial_z v)^2) \, dz \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} (\alpha^2 \|U\|_2^2 + \|V\|_2^2) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \langle\langle w, w \rangle\rangle_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|_\alpha^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where we used the norm $\|w\|_\alpha = \langle\langle w, w \rangle\rangle_\alpha^{1/2}$. For $\lambda > 0$ and $F = (A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)w$ we obtain the estimate

$$\|F\|_\alpha \|w\|_\alpha \geq -\langle\langle F, w \rangle\rangle_\alpha = -\langle\langle (A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)w, w \rangle\rangle_\alpha \geq (\lambda - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \langle\langle w, w \rangle\rangle_\alpha = (\lambda - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \|w\|_\alpha^2.$$

Thus, for $\alpha > 0$ we have established the estimate

$$\|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1} F\|_\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha/2} \|F\|_\alpha \quad \text{for all } \lambda > \alpha/2. \quad (3.6)$$

Because of $\|\cdot\|_1 = \|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ we obtain

$$\|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1} F\|_{\mathbf{H}} = \|w\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda - 1/\sqrt{2}} \|F\|_{\mathbf{H}}.$$

In particular, we have shown that the bounded linear operators $A_\varepsilon - \lambda I : D(A_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ are injective. The following steps show that these operators are also surjective, i.e. the resolvent equations have a solution in $D(A_\varepsilon)$.

Step 2: Reduction of resolvent equation. It remains to show that for all $\lambda > 0$ the resolvent equation $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)w = F \in \mathbf{H}$ has a solution in $w = (U, V, v) \in D(A_\varepsilon)$. In this step we reduce the problem to an equation for U alone.

Writing $F = (G, H, f)$ the system reads

$$\text{on } \Sigma : \quad V - \lambda U = G, \quad \Delta_x U - \partial_z v|_{z=0} - \lambda V = H, \quad V = v|_{z=0}, \quad (3.7a)$$

$$\text{in } \Omega : \quad \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v + \partial_z^2 v - \lambda v = f. \quad (3.7b)$$

Obviously, we can eliminate V using the first equation giving $V = G + \lambda U$.

Next, we solve (3.7b) for v . Together with the Dirichlet boundary condition $v = V$ at $z = 0$, we obtain a unique solution $v = V_\lambda^\varepsilon(f, V)$. By classical elliptic regularity theory (see [LiM72]), for all $\lambda > 0$ the bounded linear operator V_λ^ε maps $H^s(\Omega) \times H^{s+3/2}(\Sigma)$ to $H^{s+2}(\Omega)$. Because we have $V \in H^1(\Sigma)$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we treat the two inhomogeneities separately, namely $V_\lambda^\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow Y^\varepsilon(\Omega) \subset$

$H^2(\Omega)$ and $V_\lambda^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) : H^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega) \subset H^{3/2}(\Omega)$. As the equation for U uses $\partial_z v|_{z=0}$, we define the operators

$$M_\lambda^\varepsilon : \begin{cases} L^2(\Omega) & \rightarrow & H^{1/2}(\Sigma), \\ f & \mapsto & \partial_z V_\lambda^\varepsilon(f, 0)|_{z=0}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad N_\lambda^\varepsilon : \begin{cases} H^1(\Sigma) & \rightarrow & L^2(\Sigma), \\ V & \mapsto & \partial_z V_\lambda^\varepsilon(0, V)|_{z=0}, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where N_λ^ε is a Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. It remains to solve an equation for U :

$$-\Delta_x U + (\lambda^2 I + \lambda N_\lambda^\varepsilon)U = -H - M_\lambda^\varepsilon f - (\lambda I + N_\lambda^\varepsilon)G. \quad (3.9)$$

Step 3. $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)F \in D(A_\varepsilon)$. Using $F = (G, H, f) \in \mathbf{H}$ and the mapping properties of M_λ and N_λ^ε , we see that the right-hand side in (3.9) lies in $L^2(\Sigma)$. Moreover, for $\lambda > 0$, the operator on the left-hand side generates a bounded and coercive bilinear form on $H^1(\Sigma)$, because $\int_\Sigma U N_\lambda^\varepsilon U \, dx = \int_\Omega (\varepsilon^2 |\nabla_x v|^2 + (\partial_z v)^2 + \lambda v^2) \, dz \, dx \geq 0$, where $v = V_\lambda(0, U)$. This is of course the same calculation as in Step 1. Thus, the Lax-Milgram theorem provides a unique solution $U \in H^1(\Sigma)$, which by classical linear regularity lies even in $H^2(\Sigma)$. From $V = G + \lambda U$ we obtain $V \in H^1(\Sigma)$. Finally, we obtain $v = V_\lambda^\varepsilon(f, V) \in X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega) \cup Y^\varepsilon(\Omega)$.

Thus, we are done, if the identity (3.2) is established. For this we take any $W \in H^1(\Sigma)$ and consider $v_\lambda := V_\lambda^\varepsilon(0, W) \in X_\lambda^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ and $v_1 := V_1(0, W) \in X_1^\varepsilon(\Omega)$. By the definition of $V_\lambda^\varepsilon(0, \cdot)$ we see that the difference $w := v_\lambda - v_1$ satisfies the linear PDE

$$\varepsilon^2 \Delta_x w + \partial_z^2 w - w = (1 - \lambda)v_\lambda \in H^{3/2}(\Omega), \quad w|_{z=0} = 0.$$

Hence, we conclude $w \in Y^\varepsilon(\Omega)$, which implies $v_\lambda = v_1 + w \in X_1^\varepsilon(\Omega) \cup Y^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ as desired.

Step 4. *The case $\varepsilon = 0$.* The a priori estimate in Step 1 works for this case, too. The elimination of V and v works similarly, but now with the simplification that N_λ is explicitly given, namely $N_\lambda^0 = \sqrt{\lambda}I$. Together with $X_\lambda^0(\Omega) \cup Y^0(\Omega) = X_1^0(\Omega) \cup Y^0(\Omega)$ (see above) we conclude, and Theorem 3.1 is established.

Step 5. Growth rates for the semigroup. From (3.6) we know that the semigroups e^{tA_ε} satisfy the growth estimate $\| \| e^{tA_\varepsilon} w \| \|_\alpha \leq e^{t\alpha/2} \| \| w \| \|_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$. Setting $\underline{\alpha} = \min\{1, \alpha\}$ and $\bar{\alpha} = \max\{1, \alpha\}$ and using the equivalence between $\| \cdot \|_\alpha$ and $\| \cdot \|_{H^1} = \| \cdot \|_1$, we obtain

$$\| \| e^{tA_\varepsilon} w \| \|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq \frac{1}{\underline{\alpha}} \| \| e^{tA_\varepsilon} w \| \|_\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\underline{\alpha}} e^{t\alpha/2} \| \| w \| \|_\alpha \leq \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\underline{\alpha}} e^{t\alpha/2} \| \| w \| \|_{\mathbf{H}} = \max\{\alpha, 1/\alpha\} e^{t\alpha/2} \| \| w \| \|_{\mathbf{H}}.$$

Optimizing with respect to $\alpha > 0$ yields the bound $e^{t/2}$ for $t \in [0, 2]$ and $t e/2$ for $t \geq 2$, which implies the final result $\| \| e^{tA_\varepsilon} \| \|_{\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}} \leq (1 + t e/2)$.

The final statement concerning \mathcal{E}_0 follows by setting $\alpha = 0$, observing $\mathcal{E}_0(w) = \frac{1}{2} \| \| w \| \|_0^2$, and the contraction property $\| \| e^{tA_\varepsilon} w \| \|_0 \leq e^{0 \cdot t} \| \| w \| \|_0 = \| \| w \| \|_0$. ■

3.2 Convergence of semigroups

The next result proves the convergence of the resolvents $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}$ as operators from \mathbf{H} into itself in the strong operator topology. The critical point is to understand the convergence of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators N_λ^ε to the limiting operator N_λ^0 , see (3.8).

Proposition 3.2 (Strong convergence of resolvents) *For all $\lambda > 0$ and all $F \in \mathbf{H}$ we have the strong convergence $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1} F \rightarrow (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1} F$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof $\lambda > 0$ is fixed.

Step 1. Reduction to F in a dense subset \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{H} . Let $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{H}$ be given such that \mathbf{Z} is dense in \mathbf{H} and that for all $F \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F \rightarrow (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}F$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

For an arbitrary $F \in \mathbf{H}$ we consider $F_n \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $F_n \rightarrow F$ in \mathbf{H} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we know that the resolvents $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded by C_λ with respect to $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F - (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}F\|_{\mathbf{H}} \\ & \leq \|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}(F - F_n)\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F_n - (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}F_n\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|(A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}(F_n - F)\|_{\mathbf{H}} \\ & \leq C_\lambda \|F - F_n\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F_n - (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}F_n\|_{\mathbf{H}} + C_\lambda \|F - F_n\|_{\mathbf{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for a given $\delta > 0$ we can make the difference small by first choosing n so big that $C_\lambda \|F - F_n\|_{\mathbf{H}} < \delta/3$ and then choosing $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so small that the middle term is less than $\delta/3$ for all $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$ as well. Thus, $(A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F \rightarrow (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}F$ holds for all $F \in \mathbf{H}$.

Step 2. Higher regularity for smooth right-hand sides F . We use that the system is translation invariant in the domain Σ . Thus, if the partial derivatives $\partial_{x_j}F$ lie in \mathbf{H} , then the solutions $w^\varepsilon = (A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F$ have an additional derivative in x_j direction as well and satisfy the a priori estimate $\|\partial_{x_j}w^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq C_\lambda \|\partial_{x_j}F\|_{\mathbf{H}}$. Thus, for F in the dense subset

$$\mathbf{Z} = \{ F \in \mathbf{H} \mid \nabla_x F \in \mathbf{H} \} \quad (3.10)$$

we obtain the improved estimate $\|w^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \leq C_\lambda \|F\|_{\mathbf{Z}}$ where $\|F\|_{\mathbf{Z}} := \|F\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|\nabla_x F\|_{\mathbf{H}}$.

Step 3. Convergence for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. We now assume $F \in \mathbf{Z}$ and compare $w^\varepsilon = (U^\varepsilon, V^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon) = (A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)^{-1}F$ with $w^0 = (U^0, V^0, v^0) = (A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}F$. As in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we estimate the difference $w^\varepsilon - w^0$ as follows (choosing $\alpha > 0$ with $\sqrt{\alpha} < 2\lambda$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\lambda - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2}\right) \langle\langle w^\varepsilon - w^0, w^\varepsilon - w^0 \rangle\rangle_\alpha \leq - \langle\langle (A_0 - \lambda I)(w^\varepsilon - w^0), w^\varepsilon - w^0 \rangle\rangle_\alpha \\ & \stackrel{*}{=} \langle\langle (0, 0, \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v^\varepsilon)^\top, w^\varepsilon - w^0 \rangle\rangle_\alpha = - \int_\Omega \varepsilon^2 \nabla_x v^\varepsilon (\nabla v^\varepsilon - \nabla v^0) \, dz \, dx \\ & \leq \widehat{C}_\alpha \varepsilon^2 \|w^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{Z}} (\|w^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{Z}} + \|w^0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}) \leq 2\varepsilon^2 \widehat{C}_\alpha C_\lambda \|F\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

In the identity $\stackrel{*}{=}$ we have used the cancellation arising from $(A_0 - \lambda I)w^0 = F$ and

$$(A_0 - \lambda I)w^\varepsilon = (A_\varepsilon - \lambda I)w^\varepsilon + (A_0 - A_\varepsilon)w^\varepsilon = F - (0, 0, \varepsilon^2 \Delta_x v^\varepsilon)^\top.$$

By the equivalence of the \mathbf{H} norm and the norm induced by $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_\alpha$, we conclude $\|w^\varepsilon - w^0\|_{\mathbf{H}} = C \varepsilon$, and Proposition 3.2 is proved. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 are the basis for the following result that states that the contraction semigroups $(e^{tA_\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbf{H} converge as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in the strong operator topology. Indeed, the proof of the first part is a direct consequence of the Trotter–Kato theory, see [Paz83, Sec. 3.3], while the second part uses explicit estimates.

Theorem 3.3 (Strong convergence of the solutions) *Consider the operators A_ε defined in Theorem 3.1 and the induced contraction semigroups $e^{tA_\varepsilon} : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ for $t \geq 0$. Then, for all initial conditions $w_0 \in \mathbf{H}$, the solutions $w^\varepsilon : [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{H}$, $t \mapsto w^\varepsilon(t) = e^{tA_\varepsilon} w_0$ satisfy for all $t \geq 0$ the convergence $w^\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow w^0(t)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

Moreover, for initial conditions with additional derivatives in x -direction, namely $w_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. (3.10)) we have the quantitative error estimate

$$\|w^\varepsilon(t) - w^0(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{t} (2.3+t)^2 \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. It remains to show (3.11). For this we set $\delta = w^\varepsilon - w^0$ and perform a simple energy estimate, where we use that $w^\varepsilon = (U^\varepsilon, V^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ and $w^0 = (U^0, V^0, v^0)$ are sufficiently smooth solutions of (3.1), because we have the extra regularity of $w_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$. We employ the norms $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ as defined in (3.5) and find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\delta\|_\alpha^2 &= \int_\Sigma \alpha^2 (U^\varepsilon - U^0)(V^\varepsilon - V^0) dx - \int_\Omega \{ |\partial_z v^\varepsilon - \partial_z v^0|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \nabla_x v^\varepsilon \cdot (\nabla_x v^\varepsilon - \nabla_x v^0) \} dz dx \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\delta\|_\alpha^2 - 0 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} (\|\nabla_x v^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_x v^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\delta\|_\alpha^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 2 (1 + t e/2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\|\nabla_x w^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq \|e^{tA_\varepsilon} \nabla_x w_0\|_{\mathbf{H}} \leq \|e^{tA_\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}} \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}$ and the growth estimate from Theorem 3.1. Using $\delta(0) = w_0 - w_0 = 0$, the Gronwall lemma yields

$$\|\delta(t)\|_\alpha^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t 2 e^{\alpha(t-s)} (1+s e/2)^2 ds \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2.$$

For $t \in [0, 2]$ we choose $\alpha = 1$ and obtain

$$\|\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 = \|\delta(t)\|_1^2 \leq C_* t \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 2]$$

where $C_* = \int_0^2 e^{2-s} (1+se/2)^2 ds \approx 27.14... \leq 2.3^4$. For $t \geq 2$ let $\alpha = 2/t \leq 1$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \|\delta(t)\|_\alpha^2 \leq \frac{t^2}{4} \int_0^t 2 e^{2(1-s/t)} (1+se/2)^2 ds \varepsilon \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \\ &= \frac{t^3}{32} \left((e^2-5)e^2 t^2 + 4(e^2-3)et + 8(e^2-1) \right) \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \leq \frac{t}{6} (1 + t e/2)^4 \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the result for $t \in [0, 2]$ and using $(e/2)^4 \leq 6$, we arrive at $\|\delta(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \leq t (2.3+t)^4 \varepsilon^2 \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2$ for all $t \geq 0$, which is the desired result (3.11). ■

4 Energy and dissipation functionals

We now show that the fractionally-damped wave equation (2.4) carries a natural energy-dissipation structure. This is done in two different ways. First, we reduce the natural energy-dissipation structure of the limiting system (3.1) with $\varepsilon = 0$ by eliminating the diffusion equation. For this we first study the one-dimensional diffusion equation $\dot{v} = \partial_z^2 v$ on the half line $]-\infty, 0[$ in detail. Second, we show by a direct calculation that the energy-dissipation structure extends to a more general class of fractionally-damped wave equations, where the time derivative of order $3/2$ is replaced by order $1+\alpha$ with $\alpha \in]0, 1[$.

4.1 Diffusion equation on the half line

We consider the following initial-boundary value problem on $I :=]-\infty, 0[$:

$$\dot{v} = \partial_z^2 v, \quad v(0, z) = v_0(z), \quad v(t, 0) = \varphi(t). \quad (4.1)$$

We always assume the compatibility condition $v_0(0) = \varphi(0)$.

Using the one-dimensional heat kernel $H(t, y) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \exp(-y^2/(4t))$ and the reflection principle, the influence of v_0 is described via $K_{\text{Dir}}(t, z, y) = H(t, z-y) - H(t, z+y)$ such that homogeneous Dirichlet data follow from $K_{\text{Dir}}(t, 0, y) = 0$:

$$v(t, z) = \int_I K_{\text{Dir}}(t, z, y) v_0(y) dy.$$

To obtain the influence of the inhomogeneous Dirichlet data at $z = 0$, we set $v_0 = 0$ and make the ansatz $v(t, z) = w(t, z) + \varphi(t)$ such that w has to satisfy

$$w_t = w_{zz} - \dot{\varphi}(t), \quad w(t, 0) = 0, \quad w(0, z) = -\varphi(0) = 0.$$

With Duhamel's principle (variation-of-constants formula) we obtain

$$w(t, z) = - \int_0^t \int_I K_{\text{D}}(t-s, z, y) \dot{\varphi}(s) dy ds.$$

Setting $G(y) := \int_{-\infty}^y H(1, \eta) d\eta$ (such that $G(-\infty) = 0$ and $G(\infty) = 1$) we obtain

$$w(t, z) = \int_0^t \dot{\varphi}(s) (G(z/\sqrt{t-s}) - G(-z/\sqrt{t-s})) ds.$$

Putting both cases together, the full solution formula for (4.1) reads

$$v(t, z) = \int_I K_{\text{Dir}}(t, z, y) v_0(y) dy + \varphi(t) + \int_0^t \dot{\varphi}(s) (G(z/\sqrt{t-s}) - G(-z/\sqrt{t-s})) ds.$$

For the analysis related to the fractionally-damped wave equation, we consider only the case $v_0 \equiv 0$, which implies $\varphi(0) = 0$ as well by continuity of the boundary-initial data. Doing integration by parts for the time integral and using

$$\partial_s (\mp G(\pm z/\sqrt{t-s})) = H(1, \pm z/\sqrt{t-s}) \frac{z}{2(t-s)^{3/2}} = -\partial_z H(t-\tau, z)$$

we arrive, for the case $v_0 \equiv 0$, at the relation

$$v(t, z) = \int_0^t K_0(t-\tau, z) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{with } K_0(t, z) = 2\partial_z H(t, z). \quad (4.2)$$

Using $\partial_z^2 H = \partial_t H$ and doing another integration by parts (using $\varphi(0) = 0$ again) we find

$$\partial_z v(t, z) = \int_0^t K_1(t-\tau, z) \dot{\varphi}(\tau) d\tau \quad \text{with } K_1(t, z) = 2H(t, z). \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, evaluating at $z = 0$, where $H(t, 0) = 1/\sqrt{4\pi t}$, we find

$$\partial_z v(t, 0) = \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\varphi}(\tau)}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} d\tau. \quad (4.4)$$

According to the definition (1.6), the boundary derivative $\partial_z v$ is the fractional Caputo derivative of order $1/2$ of φ , i.e. $\partial_z v(\cdot, 0) = {}^C D^{1/2} \varphi$.

We now derive an energy-dissipation balance for the diffusion equation by rewriting the natural L^2 integrals in terms of the boundary value φ . The starting point is the classical relation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_I \frac{1}{2} v(t, z)^2 dz = \int_I v \dot{v} dz = \int_I v \partial_z^2 v dz = v(t, 0) \partial_z v(t, 0) - \int_I (\partial_z v(t, z))^2 dz. \quad (4.5)$$

For solutions v of (4.1) with $v_0 \equiv 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$ we can rewrite this energy-dissipation balance totally in terms of φ by using the following result.

Proposition 4.1 *Assume that v is given via (4.2) and $\partial_z v$ by (4.3), then we can express twice the energy $\int_I v^2 dz$ and the dissipation $\int_I (\partial_z v)^2 dz$ via*

$$\int_I v^2 dz = \int_0^t \int_0^t M_0(t-r, t-s) \varphi(r) \varphi(s) dr ds \quad \text{and} \quad (4.6a)$$

$$\int_I (\partial_z v)^2 dz = \int_0^t \int_0^t M_1(t-r, t-s) \dot{\varphi}(r) \dot{\varphi}(s) dr ds \quad (4.6b)$$

$$\text{where } M_j(r, s) = \int_I K_j(r, z) K_j(s, z) dz = \frac{2^j}{\sqrt{4\pi} (r+s)^{3/2-j}}. \quad (4.6c)$$

In particular, $M_j(r, s) = \widetilde{M}_j(r+s)$ and $\partial_r M_1(r, s) = \partial_s M_1(r, s) = -M_0(r, s)$.

Proof. The relations (4.6a) and (4.6b) with $M_j(r, s) = \int_I K_j(r, z) K_j(s, z) dz$ follow simply by the definitions. Thus, it remains to establish the explicit formulas for M_0 and M_1 by exploiting the structure of $K_0 = 2\partial_z H = -\frac{z}{2t} 2H$ and $K_1 = 2H$. We obtain

$$K_j(r, z) K_j(s, z) = 4 \left(\frac{z^2}{4rs} \right)^{1-j} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{rs}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{4r} - \frac{z^2}{4s}\right) = \left(\frac{z^2}{4rs} \right)^{1-j} \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{rs}} \exp\left(-\frac{r+s}{4rs} z^2\right).$$

An explicit integration with $\int_0^\infty e^{-a^2 x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}/(2a)$ and $\int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-a^2 x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}/(4a^3)$ yields the stated formulas for M_0 and M_1 . ■

It is a surprising fact that M_0 and M_1 depend only on the sum $r+s$ rather than on r and s individually. The relations in (4.6) allow us to rewrite the energy-dissipation balance (4.5) in terms of φ alone. We obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} M_0(t-r, t-s) \varphi(s) \varphi(r) dr ds \\ &= \varphi(t) \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\varphi}(\tau)}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^t M_1(t-r, t-s) \dot{\varphi}(s) \dot{\varphi}(r) dr ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

4.2 An energy-dissipation relation for fractional derivatives

Here we show that the identity (4.7) can be derived in an independent way, not using the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the one-dimensional diffusion equation. We even generalize the result to the case of general fractional derivatives ${}^C D^\alpha \varphi$, where (4.7) is the special case $\alpha = 1/2$. For this we set

$$N_0^\alpha(r, s) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) (r+s)^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad N_1^\alpha(r, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) (r+s)^\alpha}. \quad (4.8)$$

With this, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.2 For all $\varphi \in C^1([0, \infty[)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} N_0^\alpha(t-r, t-s) \varphi(s) \varphi(r) dr ds \\ &= \varphi(t) {}^C D^\alpha \varphi(t) - \int_0^t \int_0^t N_1^\alpha(t-r, t-s) \dot{\varphi}(s) \dot{\varphi}(r) dr ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We set $r = t - \rho$ and $s = t - \sigma$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} N_0^\alpha(t-r, t-s) \varphi(s) \varphi(r) ds dr = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} N_0^\alpha(\rho, \sigma) \varphi(t-\sigma) \varphi(t-\rho) d\sigma d\rho \\ & \stackrel{1}{=} \int_{\rho=0}^t \int_{\sigma=0}^t \frac{1}{2} N_0^\alpha(\rho, \sigma) (\varphi(t-\sigma) \dot{\varphi}(t-\rho) + (\dot{\varphi}(t-\sigma) \varphi(t-\rho))) d\sigma d\rho. \\ & \stackrel{2}{=} \int_{\rho=0}^t \int_{\sigma=0}^t N_0^\alpha(\rho, \sigma) \varphi(t-\sigma) \dot{\varphi}(t-\rho) d\sigma d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\stackrel{1}{=}$ uses $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that the boundary terms arising from $\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau = g(t)$ vanish. In $\stackrel{2}{=}$ we simply use the symmetry $N_0^\alpha(r, s) = N_0^\alpha(s, r)$.

In the next step we perform an integration by parts with respect to $\sigma \in [0, t]$ and use the fundamental relation $N_0^\alpha(\rho, \sigma) = -\partial_\sigma N_1^\alpha(\rho, \sigma)$. Hence, we continue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\rho=0}^t \left\{ \left[-N_1^\alpha(\rho, \sigma) \varphi(t-\sigma) \right] \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t (-N_1^\alpha(\rho, \sigma)) (-\dot{\varphi}(t-\sigma)) d\sigma \right\} \dot{\varphi}(t-\rho) d\rho \\ &= \int_{\rho=0}^t N_1^\alpha(\rho, 0) \varphi(t) \dot{\varphi}(t-\rho) d\rho - \int_0^t \int_0^t N_1^\alpha(\rho, \sigma) \dot{\varphi}(t-\sigma) \dot{\varphi}(t-\rho) d\sigma d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where we again used $\varphi(0)=0$. The definition of N_1^α gives $N_1^\alpha(t-\tau, 0) = 1/(\Gamma(1-\alpha)(t-\tau)^\alpha)$, such that the first term is indeed equal to $\varphi {}^C D^\alpha \varphi$. With this, the result is established. \blacksquare

We emphasize that the above result does not need the exact form of N_0^α and N_1^α as given in (4.8). We only exploited the relations

$$N_0^\alpha(r, s) = N_0^\alpha(s, r), \quad N_0^\alpha(r, s) = -\partial_s N_1^\alpha(r, s), \quad N_1^\alpha(r, 0) = 1/(\Gamma(1-\alpha) r^\alpha).$$

Clearly, there are many more functions satisfying these conditions. However, we also want positive semi-definiteness of the kernels N_j^α , i.e.,

$$\int_0^t \int_0^t N_j^\alpha(r, s) \psi(s) \psi(r) ds dr \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C^0([0, \infty[), t > 0, \text{ and } j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

For general N_j^α this positive semi-definiteness is a significant restriction, but for our chosen cases it can be established as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{y=0}^\infty \left(\int_{r=0}^t \frac{y^{\alpha-1/2}}{r^\alpha} e^{-y^2/r} \psi(r) dr \right)^2 dy \\ &= \int_{y=0}^\infty \int_{r=0}^t \int_{s=0}^t \frac{y^{\alpha-1/2}}{r^\alpha} e^{-y^2/r} \psi(r) \frac{y^{\alpha-1/2}}{s^\alpha} e^{-y^2/s} \psi(s) ds dr dy \\ &= \int_{r=0}^t \int_{s=0}^t \int_{y=0}^\infty \frac{y^{2\alpha-1}}{(rs)^\alpha} e^{-y^2(r+s)/(rs)} dy \psi(r) \psi(s) ds dr. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\int_0^\infty |y|^{2\alpha-1} e^{-by^2} dy = \Gamma(\alpha)/(2b^\alpha)$, we obtain the desired result

$$0 \leq \int_{r=0}^t \int_{s=0}^t \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(r+s)^\alpha} \psi(r)\psi(s) ds dr \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C([0, t]),$$

which holds for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

4.3 Energetics for the fractionally-damped wave equation

To derive the physically relevant energy-dissipation balance for the the fractionally-damped wave equation

$$\ddot{U}(t, x) + \int_0^t \frac{\ddot{U}(s, x)}{\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}} ds = \Delta U(t, x) \quad (4.9)$$

we use the limiting system (2.2). The latter is a classical system of partial differential equations, and it is easy to write down the physically motivated energy functional \mathcal{E} and the corresponding dissipation function \mathcal{D} .

The total energy \mathcal{E} is the sum of the kinetic and potential energy in the membrane Σ plus the kinetic energy in the lower half space $\Omega = \Sigma \times]-\infty, 0[$, where we consider v as the horizontal component of a shear flow. This leads to \mathcal{E}_0 as defined in (3.4), and along the solutions of (2.2) the energy-dissipation balance takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_0(U(t), \dot{U}(t), v(t)) = -\mathcal{D}(U, \dot{U}, v) := \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} (\partial_z v)^2 dz dx.$$

This shows that the only dissipation occurs by the (shear) viscosity of the fluid in the lower half space Ω .

As explained in Section 2.4 and 4.1 we can eliminate v via (using $\varphi(t, x) = \dot{U}(t, x, 0)$ and assuming $v(0, x, z) = 0$ of all $(x, z) \in \Omega$)

$$v(t, x, z) = \int_0^t 2\partial_z H(t-\tau, z) \dot{U}(\tau, x) d\tau, \quad \text{where } H(t, z) = \frac{e^{-z^2/(4t)}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}.$$

This allows us to eliminate $\partial_z v(t, x, 0)$ via (4.4) and we obtain the fractionally-damped wave equation (4.9).

Using the formulas derived in Proposition 4.1 we obtain the reduced energy function \mathbf{E} and the reduced dissipation function \mathbf{D} in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}) &:= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{U}(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x U(t)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi} (2t-r-s)^{3/2}} \dot{U}(r, x) \dot{U}(s, x) dr ds \right\} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10a)$$

$$\mathbf{D}(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}) := \int_\Sigma \left\{ \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} (2t-r-s)^{1/2}} \ddot{U}(r, x) \ddot{U}(s, x) dr ds \right\} dx. \quad (4.10b)$$

Clearly, along solutions of the fractionally-damped wave equation (4.9) we have the reduced energy-dissipation balance

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}) = -\mathbf{D}(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}). \quad (4.11)$$

Of course, it is possible to check this identity directly without any reference to the limiting system (2.2) involving the hidden state variable v . For this we do the standard argument for energy conservation for the wave equation plus the calculation in the proof of Proposition 4.2 for the parts non-local in time.

In the related works [VeZ08, GY*15, VeZ15] other energy functionals were constructed for equations with fractional time derivatives. However, the approach there is quite different and is less inspired by the true energy and dissipation hidden in the eliminated state variable v .

Indeed, we may generalize the energy-dissipation balance (4.11) to the case of fractional damping of order $1+\alpha \in]1, 2[$. We consider (4.9) as a special case of the equation

$$\ddot{U} + {}^c\mathbf{D}^\alpha \dot{U} = \Delta U \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (4.12)$$

Taking into account the calculations in Section 4.2 we define the energy \mathbf{E}^α and the dissipation function \mathbf{D}^α via

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^\alpha(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}) &:= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \dot{U}(t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x U(t)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\alpha/2}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(2t-r-s)^{1+\alpha}} \dot{U}(r, x) \dot{U}(s, x) dr ds \right\} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13a)$$

$$\mathbf{D}^\alpha(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}) := \int_\Sigma \left\{ \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(2t-r-s)^\alpha} \ddot{U}(r, x) \ddot{U}(s, x) dr ds \right\} dx. \quad (4.13b)$$

Clearly, for sufficiently smooth solutions of the fractionally-damped wave equation (4.12) we have the reduced energy-dissipation balance

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}^\alpha(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}) = -\mathbf{D}^\alpha(U(t), [\dot{U}]_{[0,t]}). \quad (4.14)$$

5 Conclusion and outlook

In this work we have shown that the fractionally-damped wave equation can be obtained as a scaling limit from a bulk-interface coupling between a wave equation for a membrane and a viscous fluid motion in the adjacent half space. The coupling is such that the natural mechanical energies act as a Lyapunov function. We have identified the physical scaling parameters like the equivalent membrane thickness $\ell_{\text{thick}} = \rho_{\text{memb}}/\rho_{\text{bulk}}$ for the vertical scaling and the effective travel length $\ell_{\text{trav}}(t_*) = \rho_{\text{memb}}^{3/2} \kappa^{1/2}/(\mu \rho_{\text{bulk}})$ for the horizontal scaling. Thus, taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the critical parameter

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\ell_{\text{thick}}}{\ell_{\text{trav}}(t_*)} = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\rho_{\text{memb}} \kappa}}$$

leads to the appearance of the fractionally-damped wave equation.

The first main outcome of the mathematical analysis is that the system is stable uniformly with respect to ε and that it converges strongly in the natural energy space \mathbf{H} in the sense of linear semigroup theory. For initial data with higher horizontal regularity a convergence rate could be derived. Thus, the fractional time derivative of order $3/2$ appears naturally as a consequence of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of a one-dimensional parabolic equation on the half line.

The second outcome of our approach is the energy-dissipation structure for the fractionally-damped wave equation which is derived by integrating out the “hidden states” v in the fluid layer in the full

mechanical energy-dissipation structure of the coupled system of partial differential equations. As expected, we obtain quadratic functionals for the reduced energy and the reduced dissipation function that are non-local in time, thus keeping track of information stored in the hidden state variable v . It is surprising that both quadratic functionals obtained have memory kernels $M_j(t-r, t-s)$ that depend only on the sum $(t-r) + (t-s)$. It is certainly important to understand where this special structure comes from and how it relates to more general energy-dissipation structures as introduced in [VeZ08, GY*15, VeZ15].

A major restriction occurs through our assumption $v(0, x, z) = 0$ for a.a. $(x, z) \in \Omega$, which implies $\dot{U}(0, x) = 0$. We expect that this assumption can be avoided by suitably generalizing the Caputo derivative and by extending the memory kernel to negative time, thus allowing for some pre-initial conditions. This will be the content of further research.

This work is understood as a first step to understand the principles behind damping based on fractional time-derivatives. In subsequent works we plan to extend the analysis to a more physical model, namely that of a true membrane over a viscous incompressible fluid governed by the Navier-Stokes equations. The approach based on partial differential equations developed here, will then allow us to study the full vector-valued case $v(t, x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ including the associated nonlinearities. It will be interesting to see under what conditions the relevant scalings in the nonlinear setting will be the same as in the linear theory in [KS*17a, KS*17b]. Moreover, it will be critical to see the occurrence of fractional damping, which relies on the linearity of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of the parabolic equation on the vertical half line.

References

- [Aka19] G. AKAGI. Fractional flows driven by subdifferentials in Hilbert spaces. *Isr. J. Math.*, 234(2), 809–862, 2019.
- [EIM15] A. EL HADY and B. B. MACHTA. Mechanical surface waves accompany action potential propagation. *Nature Commun.*, 6, 6697, 2015.
- [GB*12] J. GRIESBAUER, S. BÖSSINGER, A. WIXFORTH, and M. F. SCHNEIDER. Propagation of 2D pressure pulses in lipid monolayers and its possible implications for biology. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108, 198103, 2012.
- [GY*15] J. F. GÓMEZ-AGUILAR, H. YÉPEZ-MARTÍNEZ, C. CALDERÓN-RAMÓN, I. CRUZ-ORDUÑA, R. F. ESCOBAR-JIMÉNEZ, and V. H. OLIVARES-PEREGRINO. Modeling of a mass-spring-damper system by fractional derivatives with and without a singular kernel. *Entropy*, 17, 6289–6303, 2015.
- [KaN15] J. KAPPLER and R. R. NETZ. Multiple surface wave solution on linear viscoelastic media. *Europhys. Lett.*, 112(1), 19002/6 pp., 2015.
- [KK*07] G. H. KIM, P. KOSTERIN, A. L. OBAID, and B. M. SALZBERG. A mechanical spike accompanies the action potential in mammalian nerve terminals. *Biophysical Journal*, 92(9), 3122–3129, 2007.
- [KS*16] J. KEMPPAINEN, J. SILJANDER, V. VERGARA, and R. ZACHER. Decay estimates for time-fractional and other non-local in time subdiffusion equations in \mathbb{R}^d . *Math. Ann.*, 366(3-4), 941–979, 2016.
- [KS*17a] J. KAPPLER, S. SHRIVASTAVA, M. F. SCHNEIDER, and R. R. NETZ. Nonlinear fractional waves at elastic interfaces. *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, 2(11), 114804, 2017. (look for supplement).
- [KS*17b] J. KAPPLER, S. SHRIVASTAVA, M. F. SCHNEIDER, and R. R. NETZ. Nonlinear fractional waves at elastic interfaces – supplemental information. *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, 2(11), 114804/suppl.26 pp., 2017.
- [LiM72] J.-L. LIONS and E. MAGENES. *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vol. I.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [Luc68] J. LUCASSEN. Longitudinal capillary waves. Part 1. Theory. *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 64, 2221–2229, 1968.
- [Mey99] H. H. MEYER. Zur Theorie der Alkoholnarkose. *Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharmacol.*, 42(2-4), 109–118, 1899.
- [Ove01] C. E. OVERTON. *Studien über die Narkose zugleich ein Beitrag zur allgemeinen Pharmakologie.* Gustav Fischer, Jena, Switzerland, 1901.

- [Paz83] A. PAZY. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 44 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [PVZ10] J. PRÜSS, V. VERGARA, and R. ZACHER. Well-posedness and long-time behaviour for the non-isothermal Cahn-Hilliard equation with memory. *Discr. Cont. Dynam. Systems*, 26(2), 625–647, 2010.
- [ShS14] S. SHRIVASTAVA and M. F. SCHNEIDER. Evidence for two-dimensional solitary sound waves in a lipid controlled interface and its implications for biological signalling. *J. Royal Soc. Interface*, 11, 20140098, 2014.
- [TaB92] I. TASAKI and P. M. BYRNE. Heat production associated with a propagated impulse in bullfrog myelinated nerve fibers. *Japan. J. Physiol.*, 42, 805–813, 1992.
- [VeZ08] V. VERGARA and R. ZACHER. Lyapunov functions and convergence to steady state for differential equations of fractional order. *Math. Z.*, 259(2), 287–309, 2008.
- [VeZ10] V. VERGARA and R. ZACHER. A priori bounds for degenerate and singular evolutionary partial integro-differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 73(11), 3572–3585, 2010.
- [VeZ15] V. VERGARA and R. ZACHER. Optimal decay estimates for time-fractional and other nonlocal subdiffusion equations via energy methods. *SIAM J. Math. Analysis*, 47(1), 210–239, 2015.
- [VeZ17] V. VERGARA and R. ZACHER. Stability, instability, and blowup for time fractional and other nonlocal in time semilinear subdiffusion equations. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 17(1), 599–626, 2017.