

## *Exercise Sheet 5*

### Exercise 20 (Hermite Polynomials) - oral

The *Hermite polynomials* are defined by  $H_n(x) := (-1)^n \cdot e^{x^2} \cdot \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (e^{-x^2})$ . They satisfy the differential equation

$$H_n''(x) - 2xH_n'(x) + 2nH_n(x) = 0 \quad (1)$$

and are orthogonal with respect to the weighted scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_w = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)e^{-x^2} dx,$$

i.e. they satisfy

$$\langle H_m, H_n \rangle_w = C_n \cdot \delta_{mn} \quad (2)$$

with a constant  $C_n$  depending on  $n$ .

(a) Show (1). Moreover, explicitly calculate  $H_n$  and  $C_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

(b) Prove (2) and calculate  $C_n$  for general  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Conclude that the rescaled polynomials  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_n}} \cdot H_n$  are (weighted) orthonormal.

(c) Use the Hermite polynomials to construct functions  $\psi_n$  which are orthonormal with respect to the usual  $L^2$ -scalar product. Establish a differential equation for the  $\psi_n$ .

Remark: The  $\psi_n$  are called *Hermite functions*. They play an important role as solutions of the Schrödinger equation of the quantised harmonic oscillator.

Note: Of course, the rescaled polynomials were meant to be  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_n}} \cdot H_n$  instead of  $C_n \cdot H_n$ . Moreover, note that the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w$  is defined on the space

$$\left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \cdot e^{-x^2} dx < \infty \right\},$$

factorized by the usual relation of „equality almost everywhere“.

### Solution

(a): For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  it holds

$$H_n'(x) = (-1)^n \cdot 2xe^{x^2} \cdot \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (e^{-x^2}) + (-1)^n \cdot e^{x^2} \cdot \frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}} (e^{-x^2}) = 2xH_n(x) - H_{n+1}(x).$$

This gives the inductive formula

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - H_n'(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad H_{n+1} = 2 \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_n - H_n'. \quad (3)$$

Using this (or just calculating the derivatives explicitly), we get

$$H_0(x) = 1, \quad H_1(x) = 2x, \quad H_2(x) = 4x^2 - 2, \quad H_3(x) = 8x^3 - 12x.$$

Obviously,  $H_0$  satisfies the differential equation (1). We now use induction to show this for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, by inserting the inductive formula, we get for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{n+1}''(x) - 2xH_{n+1}'(x) + 2(n+1)H_{n+1}(x) \\ &= (2H_n'(x) + 2H_n'(x) + 2xH_n''(x) - H_n'''(x)) - 2x \cdot (2H_n(x) + 2xH_n'(x) - H_n''(x)) \\ &\quad + (2(n+1)2xH_n(x) - 2(n+1)H_n'(x)) \\ &= -(H_n'''(x) - 2H_n'(x) - 2xH_n''(x) + 2nH_n'(x)) \\ &\quad + 2x \cdot (H_n''(x) - 2H_n(x) - 2xH_n'(x) + 2(n+1)H_n(x)) \\ &= -(H_n'''(x) - 2xH_n'(x) + 2nH_n(x))' + 2x(H_n''(x) - 2xH_n'(x) + 2nH_n(x)) \\ &= -0 + 2x \cdot 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $C_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$  (see basic analysis courses) and this implies (by using integration by parts several times)

$$C_1 = 2\sqrt{\pi}, \quad C_2 = 8\sqrt{\pi}, \quad C_3 = 48\sqrt{\pi}.$$

**(b):** Let us first show the auxiliary result

$$H_{n+1}' = 2(n+1) \cdot H_n \tag{4}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n = 0$ , this is obviously true. Using induction, we get for  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+1}'(x) &\stackrel{(3)}{=} (2xH_n(x) - H_n'(x))' = 2H_n(x) + 2xH_n'(x) - H_n''(x) \\ &= 2H_n(x) + 4xnH_{n-1}(x) - 2nH_{n-1}'(x) = 2H_n(x) + 2n \cdot (2xH_{n-1} - H_{n-1}'(x)) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} 2H_n(x) + 2nH_n(x) = 2(n+1)H_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $H_{-1} := 0$ , then (4) obviously also holds for  $n = -1$  and (3) then implies

$$H_{n+1} = 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_n - H_n' = 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_n - 2n \cdot H_{n-1} \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

Now, we want to show that for all  $m \neq n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  we have  $\langle H_m, H_n \rangle_w = 0$ . To see this, we use induction over  $k := m+n$ , i.e. we show that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the following condition is fulfilled: For all  $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\})^2$  with  $m < n$  (w.l.o.g.!) and  $m+n = k$  we have  $\langle H_m, H_n \rangle_w = 0$ . If  $k = 0$ , then we necessarily have  $m = -1$  and  $n = 0$ , hence the scalar product is 0 as  $H_{-1} = 0$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $m = -1, n = 2$  or  $m = 0, n = 1$ . In the first case, the scalar product is again 0 and in the second case we have  $\langle H_0, H_1 \rangle_w = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2xe^{-x^2} dx = 0$  (the integrand is an odd function). As for the induction step, let now  $k \geq 2$  and let  $-1 \leq m < n$  satisfy  $m+n = k$ . W.l.o.g. we can again assume  $m \geq 0$ . Moreover, note that necessarily  $n \geq 2$  (as  $k \geq 2$ ). Then we get

$$\langle H_m, H_n \rangle_w \stackrel{(5)}{=} \langle H_m, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} \rangle_w - 2(n-1) \cdot \langle H_m, H_{n-2} \rangle_w.$$

Now, integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H_m, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} \rangle_w &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_m(x) H_{n-1}(x) \cdot 2x e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left[ -H_m(x) H_{n-1}(x) e^{-x^2} \right]_{-a}^a + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (H_m \cdot H_{n-1})'(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (H_m \cdot H_{n-1})'(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H'_m(x) \cdot H_{n-1}(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_m(x) \cdot H'_{n-1}(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= \langle H'_m, H_{n-1} \rangle_w + \langle H_m, H'_{n-1} \rangle_w \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} 2m \cdot \langle H_{m-1}, H_{n-1} \rangle + 2(n-1) \cdot \langle H_m, H_{n-2} \rangle_w \\
&= 2m \cdot \langle H_{m-1}, H_{n-1} \rangle + 2(n-1) \cdot \langle H_m, H_{n-2} \rangle_w. \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

By inserting this in the previous equation for the scalar product of  $H_m$  and  $H_n$ , we obtain

$$\langle H_m, H_n \rangle_w = 2m \cdot \langle H_{m-1}, H_{n-1} \rangle = 0$$

by the induction hypothesis.

Using this, we can calculate  $C_n$  inductively (for  $0 \leq n \leq 3$  see (a)). Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
C_n &= \langle H_n, H_n \rangle_w = \langle 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} - 2(n-1)H_{n-2}, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} - 2(n-1)H_{n-2} \rangle_w \\
&= \langle 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1}, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} \rangle_w - 2 \cdot 2(n-1) \cdot \langle H_{n-2}, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} \rangle_w \\
&\quad + 4(n-1)^2 \cdot \langle H_{n-2}, H_{n-2} \rangle_w.
\end{aligned}$$

By our calculations above, the second term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H_{n-2}, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} \rangle_w &\stackrel{(6)}{=} 2(n-2) \cdot \langle H_{n-3}, H_{n-1} \rangle + 2(n-1) \cdot \langle H_{n-2}, H_{n-2} \rangle_w \\
&= 0 + 2(n-1)C_{n-2} = 2(n-1)C_{n-2}
\end{aligned}$$

and by using integration by parts for the first term we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1}, 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot H_{n-1} \rangle_w &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (H_{n-1}(x))^2 2x \cdot 2x e^{-x^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2x(H_{n-1}(x))^2)' e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(H_{n-1}(x))^2 e^{-x^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2H_{n-1}(x)H'_{n-1}(x) \cdot 2x e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= 2 \cdot \langle H_{n-1}, H_{n-1} \rangle_w + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2H_{n-1}H'_{n-1})'(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2H_{n-1}H'_{n-1})'(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(H'_{n-1}(x))^2 \cdot e^{-x^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2H_{n-1}(x)H''_{n-1}(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} dx \\
&= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + 2 \cdot \langle H'_{n-1}, H'_{n-1} \rangle_w + 2 \langle H_{n-1}, H''_{n-1} \rangle_w \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + 2 \cdot (2(n-1))^2 \cdot \langle H_{n-2}, H_{n-2} \rangle_w + 2 \cdot 2(n-1) \cdot 2(n-2) \langle H_{n-1}, H_{n-3} \rangle_w \\
&= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + 2 \cdot (2(n-1))^2 \cdot C_{n-2} + 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + 2 \cdot (2(n-1))^2 \cdot C_{n-2} - 2 \cdot 2(n-1) \cdot 2(n-1)C_{n-2} + 4(n-1)^2 \cdot C_{n-2} \\ &= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + 4(n-1)^2 \cdot C_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that  $C_n = 2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}$  (of course, this is not trivial to guess, so one could look up the result or use a computer). This is easily proved by induction over  $n$ , because for  $n = 0, 1$  this follows from (a) and for  $n \geq 2$  the induction hypothesis implies

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &= 2 \cdot C_{n-1} + 4(n-1)^2 \cdot C_{n-2} = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot (2 \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot (n-1)! + 4(n-1)^2 \cdot 2^{n-2} \cdot (n-2)!) \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} \cdot 2^n \cdot (n-1)! \cdot (1+n-1) = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot 2^n \cdot n!. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}} \cdot H_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  is an orthonormal system with respect to the weighted scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

**(c):** By definition of the weighted scalar product, the functions  $\psi_n$  defined by

$$\psi_n(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}} \cdot H_n(x) \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

form an orthonormal system with respect to the standard scalar product on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}} \cdot (H'_n(x) - xH_n(x)) \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \\ \psi''_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}} \cdot ((H''_n(x) - xH'_n(x) - H_n(x)) - x(H'_n(x) - xH_n(x))) \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}} \cdot (H''_n(x) - 2xH'_n(x) + (x^2 - 1)H_n(x)) \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $H_n$  satisfies the differential equation  $H''_n(x) - 2xH'_n(x) + 2nH_n(x) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\psi''_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \cdot n! \cdot \sqrt{\pi}}} \cdot (x^2 - 1 - 2n) \cdot H_n(x) \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = (x^2 - 1 - 2n) \cdot \psi_n(x).$$

Hence,  $\psi_n$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\psi''_n(x) + (2n + 1 - x^2) \psi_n(x) = 0.$$