

Exercise Sheet 9

Exercise 33 (in written form). Weak derivatives. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ a function $g_\alpha \in L^1(\Omega)$ is called the weak α -derivative of $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ if

$$\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u(x) D^\alpha \phi(x) dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} g_\alpha(x) \phi(x) dx$$

We then write $D^\alpha u := g_\alpha$ (in the sense of distributions).

(a) Show that the weak derivative is unique and that for $u \in C^n(\overline{\Omega})$ all weak derivatives up to order n exist and coincide with the classical derivatives $D_{\text{cl}}^\alpha u$.

(b) Let $\Omega = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 2$ and $u(x) = 1/|x|$. For which p do we have $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and for which q does the classical gradient $\nabla_{\text{cl}} u$ lie in $L^q(\Omega)$? Show that for these values the weak gradient ∇u coincides with $\nabla_{\text{cl}} u$.

Exercise 34. Sobolev spaces. Let $p \in [1, \infty[$. For general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ one defines the Sobolev spaces $W^{n,p}(\Omega)$ using the weak derivatives as follows:

$$W^{n,p}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq n \exists g_\alpha \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha u = g_\alpha \},$$
$$\|u\|_{n,p} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Moreover, $W_0^{n,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{n,p}$.

For bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we define $\widetilde{W}^{n,p}(\Omega)$ as the completion of $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{n,p}$.

(a) Show the inclusion $\widetilde{W}^{n,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{n,p}(\Omega)$. (Hint: For Cauchy sequences $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $W^{n,p}(\Omega)$ consider the limits of $D^\alpha u_k$.)

(b) Show that $W^{n,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.

(Remark: For bounded domains Ω with Lipschitz boundary, one has $\widetilde{W}^{n,p}(\Omega) = W^{n,p}(\Omega)$.)

please turn

Exercise 35. DIRICHLET problem on the unit disc. Let $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| < 1\}$ and consider the DIRICHLET problem

$$\Delta u(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \Omega, \quad u(y) = g(y) \text{ for } y = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi) \in \partial\Omega =: \mathbb{S}. \quad (\text{DP})$$

(a) Construct for $g_N : \phi \mapsto \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{n=0}^N c_n e^{in\phi} \right)$ the associated solution u_N of (DP) and calculate the norm of u_N in $L^2(\Omega)$, $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega)$ explicitly. (Hint: $x \mapsto \operatorname{Re} \left(c_n (x_1 + ix_2)^n \right)$ solves (DP) for suitable boundary data and satisfies some orthogonality condition.)

(b) Investigate via the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ for which functions $g : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the solution of (DP) exists and lies in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega)$, respectively. For $s \in [0, \infty[$ use the function spaces

$$H_{\text{per}}^s(\mathbb{S}) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}) \mid \exists (c_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+|k|^2)^s |c_k|^2 < \infty \text{ and } f(\phi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\phi} \right\}.$$

Exercise 36. The LAX-MILGRAM lemma in an unbounded domain.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be any domain (i.e., open and connected). On $H = H_0^1(\Omega)$ define the bilinear form $a : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \cdot A(x) \nabla v(x) + c(x) u(x) v(x) \, dx$$

which $A \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})$ and $c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Further there is an $\alpha > 0$ with $\xi \cdot A(x) \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^2$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(a) Show that a is a symmetric and continuous bilinear form. Give sufficient conditions on c that guarantee that a is also coercive.

(b) Consider the Schrödinger operator $A_\lambda u = -\Delta u + V u + \lambda u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times]0, \pi[$, where $V(x) = 1/(1+x_1^2)$. Show that the bilinear form a_λ associated with A_λ is coercive for $\lambda > -1$ but not for $\lambda \leq -1$.